

MS.14.3

Acta Cryst. (2011) **A67**, C48

Intracrystalline-molecule-induced changes in the crystal structure of biogenic and biomimetic calcium carbonates

Boaz Pokroy, Faculty of Materials Engineering and the Russell Berrie Nanotechnology Institute, Technion Israel Institute of Technology. (Israel). E-mail: bpokroy@tx.technion.ac.il

Nature is replete with materials which are superior to their man-made counterparts. More specifically, in the course of Biomineralization (the formation of minerals in nature) at least 70 biominerals are deposited. The nucleation and growth of these crystals is highly controlled via organic/inorganic interfaces.

These hybrid interfaces are present both between crystallites (intercrystalline) as well as within crystals themselves (intracrystalline). The latter have been found to induce strains in the inorganic crystal which lead not only to deformation of the lattice but also to changes in the crystalline structure, as compared to their non-biogenic counterparts.

Using high resolution synchrotron powder diffraction we were able to measure these strains and changes in crystal structure both in biogenic as well as in biomimetic crystals [1-3]. We found that upon mild annealing we can destroy these hybrid interfaces, leading to relaxation of the strains and to the crystal structure returning to a structure identical to non biogenic counterparts. We also found microstructural changes upon the annealing which are unique to biogenic crystals [4].

It will be shown in addition, that biomimetic crystals which are grown in the lab and contain different biological molecules, exhibit the same structural and microstructural characteristics as those of biogenic crystals.

These results demonstrate that organisms can control not only the polymorph, shape and morphology, but even the crystal structure of their skeletal crystals.

[1] B. Pokroy, E.N. Caspi, J.P. Quintana, A. Berner, E. Zolotoyabko, *Nature Materials* **2004**, *4*, 900-902. [2] B. Pokroy, A.N. Fitch, F. Marin, M. Kapon, N. Adir, E. Zolotoyabko, *Journal of Structural Biology* **2006**, *155*, 96-103. [3] B. Pokroy, V. Demensky, E. Zolotoyabko. *Advanced Functional Materials* **2009**, *19*, 1054-1059. [4] B. Pokroy, A.N. Fitch, E. Zolotoyabko. *Advanced Materials* **2006**, *18*, 2363-2368.

Keywords: biomineralization, biomimetic, structure

MS.14.4

Acta Cryst. (2011) **A67**, C48

Control of calcium carbonate precipitation during avian eggshell formation

Alejandro Rodriguez-Navarro,^a Angeles Hernandez-Hernandez,^b Jaime Gomez-Morales,^b Joel Gautron,^c Yves Nys,^c Juan Manuel Garcia-Ruiz,^b ^aDpto. Mineralogía y Petrología, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain). ^bLaboratorio de Estudios Cristalográficos, Edificio Instituto López-Neira. Armilla (Spain). ^cINRA, UR83 Recherches avicoles, Nouzilly (France). E-mail: anava@ugr.es

The avian eggshell is a calcified structure which consists mainly of a mineral part (> 95 %) made of calcite crystals and a pervading organic matrix (1 -3.5 %), making a composite material with excellent mechanical properties. The eggshell is a protective barrier for the egg contents that prevents bacterial penetration while allowing the interchange of water and gases needed for the extra-uterine development of the chick embryo. Eggshells have a constant mineralogy and defined microstructure characteristics which are species specific, implying a strict genetic control of this material design [1]. The Eggshell

formation is a simple model of controlled biomineralization processes. Calcium carbonate crystals making the eggshells precipitate out of the uterine fluid which contains calcium and bicarbonate ions as well as the precursor organic components of the eggshell organic matrix. At each stage of eggshell formation, specific organics components are expressed at a given concentration [2]. They are very active and strongly influence calcium carbonate precipitation as has been demonstrated by in vitro crystallization tests [3]. In particular, they affect the nucleation flux, polymorphic phase, crystal size and morphology. Additionally, the characteristic columnar architecture of eggshells and preferential orientation of constituting calcite crystals is the result of a competitive crystal growth process of crystals emerging from pseudoperiodic nucleation centers or mammillary knobs on organic membrane.

[1] A.B. Rodríguez Navarro, A. Yebra, Y. Nys, C. Jiménez López, J.M. García Ruiz, *Eur. J. Mineral.* **2007**, *19*, 391-398. [2] A.M.H. Ahmed, A. Rodríguez Navarro, M.L. Vidal, J. Gautron, J.M. García Ruiz, Y. Nys, *British Poultry Science*, **2005**, *46*, 268-279. [3] A. Hernández Hernández, M.L. Vidal, J. Gómez Morales, A.B. Rodríguez Navarro, B. Labas, J. Gautron, Y. Nys, J.M. Garcia-Ruiz, *J. Cryst. Growth*, **2008**, *310*, 1754-1759.

Keywords: biomineralization, calcite, proteins

MS.14.5

Acta Cryst. (2011) **A67**, C48-C49

Biodiversity of hierarchical architectures and texture in calcite biomaterials

Wolfgang W. Schmahl,^a Erika Griesshaber,^a Andreas Goetz,^a Klemens Kelm,^b Julia Deuschle,^c Guntram Jordan,^a Alexander Gigler,^a ^aDepartment für Geo- und Umweltwissenschaften, LMU München, (Germany). ^bInstitute for Materials Research, DLR, Köln, (Germany). ^cMPI für Metallforschung, Stuttgart, (Germany). E-mail: wolfgang.schmahl@lrz.uni-muenchen.de

The shell structure of a number of brachiopod species, molluscs, as well as teeth and spines of echinoderms were investigated in high detail and over many length-scales with SEM, AFM, TEM, nanoindentation, micro-Raman spectroscopy and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) [1-5]. We are now in a position to identify systematics on the hierarchical architecture of these biomaterials. On the molecular scale the TEM shows that the biocalcite incorporates intracrystalline macromolecules which explains the usual three-to-four-fold increase of hardness of the biocalcite compared to the inorganic mineral as well as the lack of (104) cleavage. With AFM on the nanoscale we always find a mesocrystal architecture which consists of compartments in the 100 nm size-range in the calcite, which are assembled in crystallographic register to form crystals on the higher length scales. In the brachiopod „primary layer“, these crystals form a nanoscale jigsaw-puzzle-like structure of interdigitating dendritic crystals which extend up to 20 micrometers over all branches. The brachiopod „secondary layer“ features mesocrystal fibres which show single-crystal-like coherence over lengths of several hundred micrometers and diameters in the 10-20 micrometer range. The fibres have an axial [0001] texture with the morphological axes perpendicular (sic !) to [0001]. The fibres are separated by organic matrix sheaths. Some molluscs and some brachiopods show layers of columnar calcite, where the [0001] axis is parallel to the columns. The single-crystal columns reach lengths of up to 1mm and widths in the order of 100 micrometers. In the echinodermata the single-crystal-like orientational coherence reaches lengths of several centimeters, covering the length of complete spines, teeth or other skeletal elements. Nevertheless there is a microscale architecture inside these single-crystal-like entities. It leads to a mosaic-spread of crystal orientation in the order of several degrees, however, instead of random mosaic blocks there is an organized fibre- and laminated

composite microstructure of the building units, which we imaged by EBSD down to the submicron scale and which is essential for the mechanical strength and self-sharpening ability of the calcite teeth. There is direct as well as indirect evidence supporting the paradigm [6] that most if not all of the biocalcites grow from amorphous precursors. Crystallographic textures vary from weakly cylindrical (in coralline red algae) via the very frequent strongly cylindrical textures in shell valves to 3-dimensional single crystal-like coherence.

[1] Griesshaber et al, *Am. Mineral* **2007**, *92*, 722-734. [2] Schmahl et al. *Mineral. Mag* **2008**, *72*, 541-562. [3] Griesshaber et al., *Eur. J. Mineral* **2009**, *21*, 715-723. [4] Goetz et al. *Acta Biomaterialia* **2011**, *7*, 2237-2243. [5] Goetz et al. submitted to *J. Struct. Biol.* **2011**. [6] Sharir et al. *PNAS* **2008**, *105*, 12748-54.

Keywords: biomineralisation, microstructure, texture

MS.15.1

Acta Cryst. (2011) **A67**, C49

Structural and functional analysis of the ISW1a chromatin remodeling complex

Kazuhiro Yamada, Timothy D. Frouws, Brigitte Angst, Kyoko Schimmele, David F. Sargent and Timothy J. Richmond. *Institute of Molecular Biology and Biophysics, ETH-Honggerberg, 8093, Zurich (Switzerland)*. E-mail: yamada@mol.biol.ethz.ch

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Yeast) ISW1a is classified into the Imitation Switch (ISWI) subgroup of the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeler superfamily including the SWI2/SNF2-, CHD1- and INO80-subgroups, each with various chromosomal processing activities. The main function of ISW1a is the repression of gene expression by an ATP-dependent modulation of nucleosome positioning around the promoter region. ISW1a is comprised of two subunits, Isw1 and Ioc3, with a total mass of 220 kDa. Isw1 contains the N-terminal ATPase domain of 633 amino acids as its catalytic motor domain connected by a linker of 133 amino acids to the C-terminal domain composed of three consecutive subdomains (Hand, Sant and Slide: "HSS"). This HSS region, comprising 300 amino acids, has been shown by many biochemical studies to be the DNA binding module of Isw1. The Ioc3 subunit is composed of 787 amino acids and has a significant amino acid similarity to yeast Esc8, which is implicated in gene silencing. Ioc3 is as yet poorly characterized, with only one biochemical study suggesting it to be a general DNA binding protein. Its domain composition and structure are entirely unknown. Here we present the crystal structures of ISW1a lacking the ATPase domain (Δ ATPase) as a HSS-Ioc3 complex, either without DNA (resolution 3.25Å) or with two DNA segments bound independently to Ioc3 and HSS (resolution 3.6 Å). The structures reveal four fundamental aspects for the ISW1a complex. (1) The complex is 'L'-shaped (approximately 130 x 80 x 60 Å) with a large, tight interface between HSS and Ioc3 (buried surface area 5303 Å²). (2) The novel protein fold architecture of Ioc3 has two major protruding subdomains: a domain composed entirely of loops functionally involved with the Sant subdomain interaction, and a domain containing a five-helix bundle potentially involved in nucleosome recognition. (3) Ioc3 has a novel recognition motif and binds the major groove of DNA with some sequence specificity. This motif is formed from two loops originating from two widely separated regions of the primary sequence. (4) Sant and Slide are structurally similar subdomains, each composed of three-helix bundles. Together the two subdomains bind a second copy of DNA, but in a topologically unique manner: the first helix of Sant lies across the DNA minor groove while with Slide the third helix contacts the DNA and is aligned parallel to the minor groove. Neither of these interactions shows any sequence specificity.

In further studies, EM analysis of a complex of ISW1a with a

nucleosome revealed two forms of HSS-Ioc3-monomer nucleosome complexes. By interpreting the cryo-EM 3D images of these two complexes on the basis of our atomic-resolution structures of the nucleosome core particle and of the HSS-Ioc3-DNA complex, it was apparent that the HSS-Ioc3 complex had opposite orientations with respect to the nucleosome in the two forms (with either the Hand subdomain or Ioc3 bound to the nucleosome). These two structures can be integrated into a model with one HSS-Ioc3 complex located on linker DNA between two adjacent nucleosomes (a di-nucleosome). Using biochemical mobility assays with ISW1a (with ATPase) complexed with a di-nucleosome, we have shown that the ATPase domain of ISW1a drives the uni-directional relocation of the DNA wrapped around a nucleosome. Taken together, our data allows us to describe the entire remodeling mechanism of ISW1a for nucleosomes in the higher order chromatin structure.

Keywords: chromatin, nucleosome, structure

MS.15.2

Acta Cryst. (2011) **A67**, C49

Combinatorial readout of histone H3 modifications specifies localization of ATRX to heterochromatin

Sebastian Eustermann,^a Ji-Chun Yang,^a Martin Law,^b Rachel Amos,^b Clare Jelinska,^b Lynda Chapman,^a Richard Gibbons,^b Daniela Rhodes,^a Douglas Higgs^b and David Neuhaus,^a ^a*Structural Studies Division, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge (UK)*. ^b*Molecular Haematology Unit, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford (UK)*. E-mail: se@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

Accurate read-out of chromatin modifications is essential for eukaryotic life. Mutations in the X-linked ATRX gene cause a mental retardation syndrome [1], while wild-type ATRX protein targets pericentric and telomeric heterochromatin for deposition of the histone variant H3.3 [2] via a largely unknown mechanism. Here, we show that the ADD domain of ATRX, where most syndrome-causing mutations occur, engages the N-terminal tail of histone H3 through two rigidly oriented binding pockets, one for unmodified Lys4, the other trimethylated Lys9. *In vivo* experiments show that this combinatorial readout is required for ATRX localization, with recruitment enhanced by a third interaction via heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) that also recognizes trimethylated Lys9. The cooperation of ATRX ADD domain and HP1 in chromatin recruitment results in a tripartite interaction that may span neighbouring nucleosomes and illustrates how the "histone-code" is interpreted by a combination of multivalent effector-chromatin interactions.

[1] R.J. Gibbons, D.J. Picketts, L. Villard, & D.R. Higgs *Cell* **1995**, *80*, 837-45.

[2] A.D. Goldberg et al. *Cell* **2010**, *140*, 678-91

Keywords: Epigenetic Memory, Disease Mechanism, Multivalent Chromatin Interactions

MS.15.3

Acta Cryst. (2011) **A67**, C49-C50

Molecular mimicry in the assembly of chromatin protein complexes

Andrea Mattevi, Marcello Tortorici, Simona Pilotto, Daniele Bonivento, Giuseppe Ciozzani, Aristotele Karytinis, *Department of Dept. Genetics and Microbiology, University of Pavia (Italy)*. E-mail: andrea.mattevi@unipv.it