other point imperfections undoubtedly complicate the fault structure and cloud the interpretation of local fringe details. Bovin proposes that the profuse formation of spinel-like defects may account for the degradation of sodium β'-alumina in operating sodium/sulfur cells. It is difficult to see how such defects can be formed when long-range oxygen-ion transport is necessary. Instead, recent experiments by De Jonghe, Feldman & Millet (1979) and Virkar & Viswanatan (1979) indicate that degradation is initiated from the sodium-ion exit electrode interface. While the formation of the blocking intergrowth due to Na₂O loss indeed produces very significant stresses, other surface flaws such as preexisting microcracks are likely to be of more significance. At present, the initiation of breakdown in sodium β- and β'-alumina is still poorly understood. Current work by Buechele, Feldman & De Jonghe (1979) seems to indicate that intrinsic degradation is not a likely cause of failure initiation. Rather, it appears that effects such as impurity deposition at the electrochemical interfaces may dominate the breakdown initiation.
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Abstract

Earlier work on P2 made simplifying assumptions. The resulting restrictions are now removed, and the expected intensity of a general reflexion is shown to be

$$\Sigma [1 - 2aJ_1(4\pi a s)(C - 4\pi a^2) s],$$

where \(\Sigma\) is the sum of the squares of the moduli of the atomic scattering factors, \(a\) is an average atomic diameter, \(J_1\) is the usual Bessel function, \(s\) is \((2 \sin \theta)/\lambda\), and \(C\) is the area of the face of the cell perpendicular to the twofold axis. The expected value is altered for the special groups of reflexions \(h = k = 0\) and \(l = 0\), \(h\) and \(k\) even.

Introduction

Wilson (1964) showed that symmetry elements not producing systematic absences produced instead ripples in reciprocal space that modified the expected (mean) intensity of reflection. He treated the space group Pm in some detail, and indicated how the idea of inaccessible volume could be applied to other symmetry elements, such as 2 and 1. Nigam (1972) considered the space groups P2 and Pmm2; his treatment of the former involved some simplifying assumptions, roughly equivalent to replacing the actual unit cell by a cylinder having the same c (twofold) axis and cross-sectional area equal to that of the C face. As will be seen below, this procedure is unnecessary.

Since atoms are of finite diameter, the minimum distance between a pair related by a twofold rotation axis is equal to the diameter. This will vary with the type of atom, but for the present purpose it may be assumed that all that are in contact across the axis have the same average diameter \(a\). The \(x\) and \(y\) coordinates of the atoms, instead of being distributed with approximately uniform probability over the whole cross section of the unit cell, as assumed by Wilson (1949), are excluded from cylinders of radius \(a\) surrounding the twofold axes passing through 00, 0½, ½0, ½½, the area available to them being thus \(C - 4\pi a^2\) instead of \(C\). Integration over this restricted area, required in the evaluation of the expected intensity, is readily seen to be equivalent to integrating first over the whole cross section and then subtracting the integral over the interior of the excluded cylinders.

Calculation

By the use of trigonometric identities Nigam's equation (2) can be written

$$I = \Sigma + 2 \Sigma \sum_{i} f_i^2 \cos 4\pi(hx_i + ky_i)$$

$$+ 4 \Sigma \sum_{i} f_i f_i^* \cos 2\pi(hx_i + ky_i) \cos 2\pi(hx_j + ky_j)$$

$$\times \cos 2\pi(z_i - z_j).$$
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For the special case of $h = k = 0$ this reduces to
\[ I = 2\Sigma + 4 \sum_{i \neq j} f_i f_j^* \cos 2\pi(z_i - z_j), \] (2)
and for $l = 0$ to
\[ I = \Sigma + 2 \sum_i f_i^* \cos 4\pi(hx_i + ky_i) + 4 \sum_{i \neq j} f_i f_j^* \cos 2\pi(hx_i + ky_i) \cos 2\pi(hx_j + ky_j). \] (3)

The average value of the first (constant) term in these equations is, of course, always $\Sigma$ or $2\Sigma$, however the averaging is done. The values of the trigonometric terms require detailed consideration. In the general case of $h, k, l$ non-zero, the integral of the trigonometric terms over the entire unit cell is zero, and the $z$ integral in the final term is zero even in the interior of the excluded cylinders. The middle term, however, is finite within the cylinders, and it has in fact the same value for each, since $\cos 4\pi(hx + ky)$ is unaffected by the translations $\frac{1}{2}0$ etc. Changing to cylindrical polar coordinates gives
\[ a \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a \cos(4\pi r \cos \phi) r \, dr \, d\phi = (a/2\pi) J_1(4\pi a), \] (4)
where $J_1$ is the ordinary first-order Bessel function. Equation (1) then gives for the expected value of the intensity
\[ E(I) = 2\Sigma [1 - 2a J_1(4\pi a)/(C - 4\pi a^2) \phi], \] (5)
the integral having the same value for every atom. This corresponds to the first and third terms of Nigam's equation (7), the middle one having been introduced by his method of approximation.

In the special case of $l$ equal to zero there are additional terms arising from the double sum. Both the $i$th and the $j$th atom produce a factor like equation (4) but with $2\pi a$ instead of $4\pi a$. Also, the translations $0\frac{1}{2}$ etc. produce a change of sign unless $h$ and $k$ are even, so the contributions from the four cylinders cancel out for $h$ or $k$ or both odd.

For $l = 0$, $h$ and $k$ even, therefore,
\[ E(I) = 2\Sigma [1 - 2a J_1(4\pi a)/(C - 4\pi a^2) \phi] - 16(\phi - 2\Sigma a^2) J_1(2\pi a)/(C - 4\pi a^2)^2 \phi], \] (6)
where
\[ \phi = \left| \sum_i f_i \right|^2. \] (7)

For $h$ or $k$ or both odd, equation (5) holds even for $l = 0$. For $h = k = 0$
\[ E(I) = 2\Sigma \] (8)
for all values of $l$.

AJCW is indebted to The Royal Society and the Indian National Science Academy for supporting an exchange visit to India, and to Professor G. B. Mitra for the specific invitation to Kharagpur.
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Abstract

Four errors should be corrected in Rossmanith (Acta Cryst. (1980). A36, 416–420). In Fig. 1 the lowest curve (of the curves labelled 3) should be labelled $T = 100$ K (not 300 K).
In the penultimate line of the caption to Fig. 2 the reference should be to equation (6), rather than to equation (5), while in the caption to Fig. 4 the calculations for line 5 and points $\bullet$ should refer to equation (5), rather than to equation (6). In equation (5) the solidus and the letter $m$ should be transposed:
\[ \langle u^2(T) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{800} W(u)w_n g(\omega_n) / m \sum_{n=0}^{800} g(\omega_n). \] (5)

Die gesamte Information ist im Abstract enthalten.
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