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Yeast cell wall remodeling is controlled by the equilibrium between glycoside hydrolases, glycosyltransferases, and transglycosylases. Family 72 glycoside hydrolases (GH72) are ubiquitous in fungal organisms and are known to possess significant transglycosylase activity, producing elongated β(1–3) glucan chains. However, the molecular mechanisms that control the balance between hydrolysis and transglycosylation in these enzymes are not understood. Here we present the first crystal structure of a glucan transglycosylase, Saccharomyces cerevisiae Gas2 (Sc-Gas2), revealing a multidomain fold, with a (βα) catalytic core and a separate glucan binding domain with an elongated, conserved glucan binding groove. Structures of Sc-Gas2 complexes with different β-glucan substrate/product oligosaccharides provide “snapshots” of substrate binding and hydrolysis/transglycosylation giving the first insights into the mechanisms these enzymes employ to drive β(1–3) glucan elongation. Together with mutagenesis and analysis of reaction products, the structures suggest a “base occlusion” mechanism through which these enzymes protect the covalent protein-enzyme intermediate from a "base occlusion" mechanism. Moreover, neither of the conserved histidines nor any other potential proton donor is available to protonate the N3 atom to assist oxetane formation. However, integrating data from crystal structures of the photolyase bound to the lesion and repaired DNA and of two mutants with biochemical data and quantum mechanical calculations allows for the proposal of a revised mechanism.
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UV-induced intramolecular reactions in DNA result in highly mutagenic lesions such as CPD- and (6-4) photolyses. To counteract this, organisms have evolved light-powered energy and electron transfer-based DNA repair systems, the CPD- and (6-4) photolyses. The mechanism of (6-4) lesion recognition and repair is not well understood. Previously, it was proposed that upon binding of the lesion to the photolyase an oxetane intermediate is formed assisted by the protonation of the lesion’s N3 atom by one of two conserved histidines. This oxetane was then proposed to be cleaved after insertion of an electron by the light-excited FAD cofactor [1] [2]. Here, we report that the high-resolution crystal structure of Drosophila (6-4) photolyase with bound lesion does not show an oxetane bound to the active site as would have been expected. Moreover, neither of the conserved histidines nor any other potential proton donor is available to protonate the N3 atom to assist oxetane formation. However, integrating data from crystal structures of the photolyase bound to the lesion and repaired DNA and of two mutants with biochemical data and quantum mechanical calculations allows for the proposal of a revised mechanism.
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To maintain the highest fidelity of protein synthesis, twenty kinds of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (ARSs) exist in general for twenty kinds of amino acids, each ARS being highly specialized to recognize only the cognate amino acid (A) and the cognate tRNA. ARS is generally composed of a set of two domains for catalyzing and for anticodon-binding. For some AS difficult to be distinguished, however, ARS contains an additional editing domain in which the mis-acylated non-cognate A is released. It was found that some crenarchael organisms have two genes for ThrRS. A multiple-sequence alignment of these gene products (ThrRS-1 and ThrRS-2) against the canonical ThrRSs [1,2] has shown that ThrRS-1 is missing the editing domain and ThrRS-2 is missing the catalytic domain. This suggests that ThrRS-1 and ThrRS-2 perform a function by supplementing the defects to each other in these crenarchaeal organisms. Furthermore, ThrRS-1 is similar to the bacteria Ec-ThrRS [1] and ThrRS-2 is similar to archaea Pa-ThrRS [2]. In addition, ThrRS-2 contains an additional region between the editing domain and the anticodon binding domain. These structural features stimulated us to reveal their tertiary structures. ThrRS-1 (APE0809) and ThrRS-2 (APE0117) from Aeropyrum pernix (Ap), and those
(ST0966 and ST2187) from Sulfolobus tokodaii (St) were overexpressed in E. coli, purified, and crystallized. The crystal structure of Ap-ThrRS-1 has been successfully determined at 2.3 Å resolution, as the first example. Ap-ThrRS-1 is a dimeric enzyme, the two identical subunits being associated to each other. Each subunit is composed of the two domains for the catalytic reaction and for the anticodon-binding, as expected. Their structures are similar to those of Ec-ThrRS. The amino acid residues essential for the catalysis and for the anticodon recognition are highly conserved at the positions and in the orientations. The essential editing domain of ThrRS is completely missing in Ap-ThrRS-1 as expected, suggesting the necessity of the second enzyme ThrRS-2 for editing. Since the N-terminal sequence of Ap-ThrRS-2 is similar to the N-terminal sequence of Ap-ThrRS-1, it is expected that the editing domain of Pa-ThrRS, Ap-ThrRS-2 is expected to catalyze de-aminoacylation of the misacylated serine moiety at the CCA terminus.
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Nicotianamine is a ubiquitous metabolite in plants that is able to bind heavy metals both in vitro and in vivo [1]. It is the main precursor in phytosiderophore synthesis and also an important metal chelator allowing long distance iron transport and sequestration. The action of NA is not restricted to iron homeostasis but extends to that of other metal ions such as Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Ni²⁺ [2].

Nicotianamine synthase (NAS) is the enzyme catalysing NA synthesis by the condensation of three aminopropyl moieties of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and the cyclization of one of them to form an azetidine ring (Fig. 1). An intriguing feature of NAS is when compared to other aminopropyltransferase enzymes, is that it uses three molecules of SAM without any other aminopropyl acceptor. By comparison, spermidine synthase and spermine synthase, molecules of SAM without any other aminopropyl acceptor, use putrescine or spermidine as their respective acceptors and are limited to only one aminopropyl transfer.
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Lyases cleave the backbone of polysaccharides by a β-elimination mechanism, which results in a double bond in the non-reducing end of the cleaved substrate. Six out of the 21 families of polysaccharide lyases contain enzymes that assist in the degradation of the pectic network of the primary cell wall of plants [1]. We report recent results on investigations of enzymes assigned to Family 1 and 4. The pectate lyases in Family 1 act on the smooth region of pectin, homogalacturonan (HGA) a homopolymer of (1,4)-α-linked D galacturonic acid (GalUA) residues. Pectin has “hairy” rhamnogalacturonan regions (RG-I) interspersed and the Family 4 lyases act on this part of pectin. The backbone of RG-I is composed of alternating rhamnose (Rha) and galacturonic acid (GalUA) residues with [3-α-D-Rha(1,4)-α-D-GalUA(1,4)-α-D-GalUA(1,4)-α-D-GalUA(1,4)-α-D-GalUA(1,4)] as the repeating unit, the family 4 lyases cleave the (1,4)-α-glycosidic bond. Among the differences