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Genomic DNA carrying genetic information is constantly damaged by various internal and external agents. Most types of DNA damage are removed by multiple DNA repair mechanisms, but some of them, especially those generating relatively small distortion of the DNA double helix structure, may escape DNA repair and persist in S-phase. When a replicative DNA polymerase encounters such a persisting lesion, it often stalls there. Translesion synthesis (TLS) is a DNA damage tolerance mechanism that allows continued DNA synthesis, even in the presence of damaged DNA templates. Mammals have multiple DNA polymerases specialized for TLS (TLS polymerases), including Pol-eta, Pol-iota, and Pol-kappa. These enzymes show preferential bypass for different lesions. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), which is a ring-shaped homo-trimeric protein and functions as a sliding clamp for the replicative polymerase Pol-delta, also interacts with the three TLS polymerases. Although many PCNA-binding proteins have a highly conserved sequence termed the PCNA-interacting protein box (PIP-box), Pol-eta, Pol-iota, and Pol-kappa have a non-canonical PIP-box sequence. In response to DNA damage, Lys164 of PCNA undergoes mono-ubiquitination by the RAD6-RAD18 complex, and the ubiquitination is considered to facilitate TLS. Consistent with this, these three TLS polymerases have one or two ubiquitin binding domains (UBDs) and are recruited to replication forks via interactions with ubiquitinated PCNA involving the non-canonical PIP-box and UBD. However, it is unclear how these TLS polymerases interact with PCNA. To address the structural basis for interactions between different TLS polymerases and PCNA, we determined the first crystal structures of PCNA bound to peptides containing the non-canonical PIP-box of these polymerases. Crystal structures reveal that the non-canonical PIP-boxes of Pol-eta, Pol-iota and Pol-kappa interact with PCNA differently from one another, explaining why Pol-eta, Pol-iota, and Pol-kappa have a lower affinity for PCNA than replicative polymerase with a canonical PIP-box. Our results also provide that the PIP-box of Pol-kappa has much lower affinity for PCNA than those of Pol-eta and Pol-iota. Furthermore, mutational and structural analyses reveal that the PIP-box sequence of Pol-iota differs from that previously assigned by one residue and that it has a very novel structure with multiple intra-molecular interactions. The revised alignment based on our structures indicates that acidic residues are conserved in the non-canonical PIP-boxes of Pol-eta, Pol-iota, and Pol-kappa, and those form ion-pairs with His44 of PCNA. These structures enable us to speculate how these TLS polymerases interact with Lys164 mono-ubiquitinated PCNA. We discuss how the different interactions between PCNA and the non-canonical PIP-boxes of the three TLS polymerases correlate with interactions between Lys164 mono-ubiquitinated PCNA and the UBDs of these polymerases.
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Archaeal ATP synthases are energy providing machines and this multi-subunit complex is composed of two domains: a water soluble A domain containing the catalytic site contributed by subunits A and B as well as the central stalk subunits and the membrane bound B domain involved in ion translocation. The stoichiometry of the entire complex is proposed to be A3B3C:D:E2:F:H2:A:cx [1]. The ATP synthesis is carried out in the A3B3 hexamer of the A domain. Subunit A has been regarded as having catalytic function while subunit B has nucleotide binding and/or regulatory function [2,3]. In our attempt to understand the nucleotide binding ability of A-subunit, we have co-crystallized the catalytic A subunit from Pyrococcus horikoshii OT3 with various nucleotides and its analogue Mg-AMPPNP (5’-adenylyl-β,γ-imidodiphosphate) with defined parameters. The structures of nucleotide bound subunit A were determined to 2.6 Å for Phosphate and 2.4 Å resolutions for AMPPNP and ADP (Adenosine diphosphate). All the nucleotides are found to bind to the P-loop region (GGAGVGKT) with notable conformational difference in the side chains of residues S238, K240 and T241 upon nucleotide binding. Comparison of the P-loop sequence with the catalytic β-subunit (GGAGVGKT) of the related F, δ ATP synthases revealed a significant replacement, the polar Ser238 to the non-polar Val residue. In order to understand this significance, a S238A mutant was created and its structure was determined to 2.4 Å resolutions which showed a vast conformational difference in the backbone of the P-loop. This structural variation in light with the functional diversity of F-ATP synthases and A-ATP synthases will be discussed.
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