cotranscribed genes, termed the mercury resistance mer operon, allows them to survive in environments contain mercurial compounds. The mer operon encodes proteins capable of converting inorganic (Hg(II)) and organiomercurial compounds (such as methylmercury, MeHg) to less toxic form (Hg(0)). The *mer* operon transcription is activated by MerR family protein. MerR family protein turns into a transcription activator upon Hg(II) binding. To understand how MerR family protein regulates the transcription of mer operon, we have determined the structure of MerR family protein from Gram-positive bacteria Bacillus megaterium MB1 by the multiwavelength anomalous diffraction method. The MerR family protein monomer contains a DNA-binding domain, a dimerization helix and a metal-binding motif. Like most other transcription activators, dimerization of MerR family protein is required for function. A total of four MerR family protein dimers are present in the asymmetric unit, all exhibiting similar quaternary structure. The N-terminal DNA-binding domain contains three helices which form a helix-turn-helix motif. The motif is followed by an 8-residue loop and the dimerization domain that is composed of an 8.5-turn alfa-helix. Dimerization of MerR family protein is mediated by packing the two long helices as an antiparallel coiled-coil. The C-terminal metal-binding motif is quite small, consisted of two 3_{10} helices and two connecting residues. A total of four MerR family protein dimers are present in the asymmetric unit, all exhibiting similar quaternary structure. Compared with the structures of other MerR family members, our structure suggests that Hg(II) binding may alter the quaternary structure of MerR family protein. Such a structural transition may reposition the two DNA-binding domains, thus allows the promoter DNA to interact productively with the RNA polymerase to turn on transcription.

[1] D.A. Rouch, B.T. Lee, A.P. Morby, *J Ind Microbiol* **1995**, *14*, 132-134.
[2] K. Murata *et al.*, *Appl Environ Microbiol* **1985**, *50*, 1200-1205.

Keywords: mercury resistance, transcription activator, multiwavelength anomalous diffraction

MS78.P05

Acta Cryst. (2011) A67, C689

Allostery and disorder mediate transcription regulation by conditional cooperativity

<u>Abel Garcia-Pino</u>,^{a,b} Remy Loris,^{a,b} ^aStructural Biology Brussels, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, (Belgium). ^bDepartment of Molecular and Cellular Interactions, VIB, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, (Belgium). E-mail: agarciap@vub.ac.be

A common theme observed in the allosteric control of several well-studied transcription factors is the involvement; to some extend, of induced folding of partially unfolded regions. This phenomenon is well established for eukaryotic transcription factors, which frequently possess intrinsically disordered segments or entire domains. Intrinsic disorder is however less well understood for prokaryotes despite the fact that these disordered regions are also predicted in many prokaryotic genomes [1], [2].

Regulation of the *phd/doc* toxin-antitoxin operon involves the toxin Doc as co- or de-repressor depending on the ratio between Phd and Doc. This unexplained transcriptional regulatory phenomenon is known as conditional cooperativity [3]. Binding of Doc to the intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain of Phd structures the DNA binding domain of Phd, for the first time illustrating allostery between two distinct disordered protein domains [4], [5].

In this work we show that a monomeric Doc molecule, capable of interacting with two Phd dimers simultaneously, acts as a bridge between two Phd dimers, increasing the avidity of Phd for DNA and thus enhancing the repression of the operon. Both sites are required for Docmediated enhancement of the Phd-operator DNA affinity. Moreover our studies on Phd provide for the first time direct experimental evidence demonstrating allosteric coupling between two (partially) disordered domains. This coupling is disrupted in certain mutants of Phd that nevertheless retain wild type like binding of Doc. The N-terminal domain of Phd exists in solution as an equilibrium between a DNA binding-competent ordered state and a DNA binding-incompetent disordered state. The equilibrium between both states is influenced not only by its direct ligand, the operator site, but also by binding of the Doc co-repressor to the intrinsically disordered C-terminal segment of Phd. Our combined structural and biochemical data allow us to put forward a model for the regulation of the *phd/doc* operon that explains conditional cooperativity [5].

A.K. Dunker, C.J. Brown, Z. Obradovic LAdv Protein Chem. 2002, 62, 25-49.
P. Tompa, TRENDS Biochem Sciences 27(10), 527-531.
M. Overgaard, J. Borch, M.G. Jorgensen, K. Gerdes, Mol Microbiol 2008, 69, 841-857.
A. Garcia-Pino, M. Christensen-Dalsgaard, L. Wyns, M. Yarmolinsky, R.D. Magnuson, K. Gerdes, R. Loris J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283(45), 30821-30827.
A. Garcia-Pino, S.L. Balasubramanian, E. Ehud Gazit, R.D. Magnuson, D. Charlier, N.A.J. van Nuland, R. Loris Cell 2010, 142, 101-111.

Keywords: toxin-antitoxin, allostery, transcription

MS78.P06

Acta Cryst. (2011) A67, C689

Structural basis for DNA recognition and binding specificity by the transcription factor Ets2

<u>Yoshiaki Suwa</u>, Teruya Nakamura, Sachiko Toma, Tomoaki Koga, Tsuyoshi Shuto, Shinji Ikemizu, Hirofumi Kai, Hiroshi Morioka, Yuriko Yamagata, *Faculty of Life Sciences, Kumamoto Univ., Kumamoto 862-0973, (Japan).* E-mail: ysuwa@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

The transcription factor Ets2 is a member of the Ets transcription factor family, which regulates target gene transcriptions during various cellular events, such as differentiation, proliferation, senescence and oncogenic transformation. All the family members bear a highly conserved DNA binding domain, termed the Ets domain (ETSD), and recognize the consensus sequence containing 5'-GGA/T-3' and additional flanking sites. While each Ets family member recognizes the specific target promoter and binding site, how the precise machinery discriminates the specific target promoter sequence is poorly understood. Here we show the molecular details of the DNA recognition machinery, Ets2. To clarify the molecular details of DNA binding mechanisms, we determined a 3.0 Å crystal structure of the Ets2ETSD in complex with the target DNA. Ets2 recognized the target DNA via almost similar mechanism, as did Ets1. Furthermore, to evaluate that the additional flanking sequences around consensus binding site affect DNA binding affinity of Ets2, we measured kinetic parameters of interactions between Ets2ETSD and various Ets2 target sequences by the SPR method. These showed that additional flanking sequences around the consensus sequence and base-base stacking energy of the respective target sequence provided target promoter specificity and selectivity by Ets2. Additionally, we performed Luciferase assay to estimate that these physicochemical properties for DNA binding attribute cellular transcriptional activities. This indicated that down-regulation of the Ets2 transactivation activity was correlated to reducing DNA binding affinity of Ets2. Our results demonstrate that DNA binding affinity derived from both of amino acid sequence of transcription factor and target promoter sequence affects subsequent transcriptional regulation activity.

Keywords: Transcription factor, DNA-protein complex structure, DNA recognition