## **Crystal structures of commercial pharmaceuticals**

James A. Kaduk<sup>a</sup>, Zachary R. Butler<sup>a</sup>, Partha P. Das<sup>b</sup>, Amy M. Gindhart<sup>c</sup>, and Thomas N. Blanton<sup>c</sup>, <u>kaduk@polycrystallography.com</u>

<sup>a</sup>North Central College, 131 S. Loomis St., Naperville IL 60540 <sup>b</sup>Nanomegas, Brussels, Belgium, <u>partha@nanomegas.com</u> <sup>c</sup>ICDD, 12 Campus Blvd., Newtown Square PA 19073, <u>gindhart@icdd.com</u>, <u>tblanton@icdd.com</u>

As part of a continuing project, the challenging room-temperature crystal structures of six commercial pharmaceutical APIs have been solved by Monte Carlo simulated annealing techniques using synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data (11-BM at APS), and optimized using density functional techniques. **Bisoprolol fumarate**,  $(C_{18}H_{33}NO_4)_2(C_4H_2O_4)$ , crystallizes in P1, with a = 8.16570(5), b = 8.51639(12), c = 16.75179(18) Å,  $\alpha = 89.142(1)$ ,  $\beta = 78.155(1)$ ,  $\gamma = 81.763(1)^{\circ}$ , V = 1128.265(10) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 1. The structure was difficult to solve because the two ends of the bisoprolol cation are similar but not identical. Hyoscyamine sulfate monohydrate,  $(C_{17}H_{24}NO_3)_2(SO_4)(H_2O)$ , (generally described as a dihydrate) crystallizes in P2<sub>1</sub> with a = 6.60196(2), b = 12.95496(3), c = 20.93090(8) Å,  $\beta = 94.8839(2)^{\circ}$ , V = 1783.680(5) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 2. The multiple fragments led to a low success rate. Atropine sulfate monohydrate,  $(C_{17}H_{24}NO_3)_2(SO_4)(H_2O)$ , (racemic hyoscyamine) crystallizes in  $P2_4/n$  with a = 19.2948(5), b = 10.2948(5)6.9749(2), c = 26.9036(5) Å,  $\beta = 94.215(2)^{\circ}$ , V = 3610.86(9) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 4. The success rate of solution using DASH was only 1%, and required Mogul Distribution Bias and {010} preferred orientation. Despite being apparently orthorhombic cefprozil monohydrate, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S(H<sub>2</sub>O), crystallizes in P2<sub>1</sub> with a = 11.26503(5), b = 11.34017(4), c = 14.72628(10) Å,  $\beta = 90.1249(4)^{\circ}$ , V = 1881.24(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 4. DFT calculations suggest that the carboxylic acid proton on one (but not the other) of the two independent cefprozil molecules is transferred to an amino group, forming a salt. This suggestion needs to be confirmed by spectroscopic experiments and calculations of the vibrational spectrum. Despite being apparently monoclinic, **metolazone**,  $C_{16}H_{16}CIN_3O_7$ , crystallizes in P1 with a = 8.1976(5), b = 14.4615(69), c = 16.0993(86) Å,  $\alpha = 115.009(18)$ ,  $\beta =$ 90.096(7),  $\gamma = 106.264(4)^{\circ}$ , V = 1644.52(9) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 4. The broad 021 peak indicates stacking faults in the structure. Linagliptin,  $(C_{25}H_{28}N_8O_2)_2$ (solvent)( $H_2O$ ), crystallizes in P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2 with a = 24.85078(12), b = 21.5691(8), c = 9.74377(4) Å, V = 5222.77(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 8. The structure was solved by TEM electron tomography. Initial fit to the X-ray powder data was relatively poor, but the structure contains a channel, which is filled with water and solvent. Hydrogen bonding is important in all these crystal structures.