Structural insights into lipid-dependent reversible dimerization of human GLTP

Valeriya Samygina^a, Borja Ochoa-Lizarralde^a, Alexander Popov^b, Aintzane Cabo-Bilbao^a, Felipe Goni-de-Cerio^a, Dinshaw J. Patel^c, Rhoderick E. Brown^d* and Lucy Malinina^a*

^aStructural Biology Unit, CICbioGUNE, Derio, Technology Park of Bizkaia, 48160, Spain, ^bEuropean Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, 38043, France, ^cStructural Biology Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New Yoork, NY, 10021, United States, and ^dHomel Institute, University of Minnesota, Austin, MN, 55912, United States

Correspondence email: reb@umn.edu; lucy@cicbiogune.es

Supplementary Material







Supplementary Figure S1 Comparative superpositions of GLTP dimers observed in various complexes and diverse crystal forms. Only 'left' monomers are superimposed to highlight the positional distinction (if any) between 'right' monomers because of the different dimer 'openness'. Protein molecules are in Cα-backbone representation; ligand molecules are omitted for clarity. (a) Two dimers, composed of chains A&B (blue), and D&E (light blue), observed in the *same crystal* (form#3) of wtGLTP complexed with 12:0 monoSF. (b) The *most different* (by dimer-openness) among the wtGLTP•SF complexes dimer D&E from (a), shown in light blue, and crystal form #2 of wtGLTP•diSF, shown in green. (c) *Locked dimers* of D48V-GLTP•12:0-monoSF (yellow-colored), and D48V-GLTP•12:0-diSF as in crystal form#1(orange-colored) and crystal form#4 (red-colored). (d) Dimers from isomorphous crystal forms #1 of wtGLTP bound with *sulfated* ligands *versus non-sulfated* one: 12:0 monoSF (blue) and diSF (pink) versus 12:0 LacCer (grey).





Supplementary Figure S2 Representative 2Fo-Fc electron density maps for different crystal forms of 12:0-diSF bound to wtGLTP (magenta-colored) versus D48V-GLTP (cyan-colored). (a) and (b) Ligand headgroup surrounded by residues of the recognition center in wtGLTP, crystal form#1 (a) and D48V-GLTP, crystal form #4 (b). (c) and (d) Disulfatides within electron density map bound to wtGLTP, crystal form #1 (c) and D48V-GLTP, crystal form #1 (d). (e) Headgroup of disulfatide molecule from the complex with wtGLTP within the low-resolution electron density map, crystal form #2. (f) Same complex as in(b) in crystal form #1. Oxygen and nitrogen atoms are red and blue, respectively. Maps are countered at 1 sigma level.





Supplementary Figure S3 C-end positions in GLTP-like proteins and His140 'knockdown' paralleled with C-end outward movement, in locked-dimer of mutated human GLTP complexed with diSF. (a) Different positions of C-ends in hsGLTP, HET-C2 from fungi and GLTP-like protein from *G*. *Sulphuraria*. Protein chains are in ribbon representation, lipid (12:0-monoSF as bound to hsGLTP) is in stick representation. Color codes are blue, yellow and green for hsGLTP, HET-C2 (PDB 3kv0) and *G. Sulphuraria* (PDB 2q52) colored green. C-ends are highlighted by black

dashed ovals. Side chain of H140 in hsGLTP and counterparts from other proteins are highlighted by magenta oval. (b) and (c) Shift of residue H140 found in the locked-dimer of D48V•diSF compared to H140-position observed in all other complexes. Independently on the rotational angle ((b) or (c)) around C β -C γ bond (that cannot be discriminated by the electron density map), the changed position of H140 worsens its ligand-anchoring properties. Protein and lipid are in stick representation. Oxygen and nitrogen atoms are red and blue, respectively. Carbon atoms are gray-colored for wtGLTP, yellow-colored for D48V and orange-colored for a potential alternative conformation around C β -C γ bond. Dashed lines and orange zig-zag line indicate H-bonds and van-der-Waals contact, respectively.

<u>Remark.</u> It is noteworthy that in another crystal form of D48V•diSF (form #1, Table 1), the 6-O-sulfogroup adopts the conformation-2 (Figure 4b) and protein rearranges only the side chain of Tyr207 (Figure S2f). The C-end is still involved in the network of interactions supporting His140, which therefore conserves the usual H-bond with the ceramide amide group. Both sulfo-groups and protein regions under discussion are clearly visible in electron density maps (Figures S2) except for the Tyr207 side chain, which is partially disordered in crystal form #1.

Supplementary Tables

		Number of	Unit Cell			
GLTP	Ligand	Complexes	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	
WT	Neutral GSLs	5	75.7 (±0.2)	49.2 (±0.1)	68.5 (±0.1)	
WT	sulfatides	3	74.8 (±0.2)	50.2 (±0.2)	65.6 (±0.2)	
D48V	sulfatides	3	78.0 (±0.1)	48.2 (±0.9)	63.0 (±0.8)	
A47D D48V	sulfatides	2	76.6 (±0.3)	48.6 (±0.5)	68.5 (±0.5)	

Table S1. Unit cell parameters of 'isomourphous' C2-crystals of GLTP-GSL complexes

Table S2. DLS characterization of lipid- induced protein dimerization.

	Sample name	R (nm) ^a	$MW (kDa)^{b}$	Oligomeric form
Apoform	Wild Type	2.2	21	monomer
Complex	WT-12:0 monoSF	3.1	46	dimer
Apoform	Wild Type	2.3	23	monomer
Complex	WT-12:0 diSF	3.1	49	dimer
Apoform	D48V mutant	2.2	21	monomer
Complex	D48V-12:0 monoSF	3.1	48	dimer
Apoform	A47D D48V	2.4	26	monomer
Complex	A47D D48V-12:0 monoSF	2.8	38	dimer

^a Hydrodynamic radius ^bMolecular weight estimated from R

		Number of dimeric contacts ¹ in structural elements involved in					
Ligand	Cryst. form	α6•α6'	α2•α2'	$\alpha 2_{tip} \cdot \alpha 6'$	α2 _{tip} •Cend'	Lip•Lip'	Lip•Prot'
				WT-C	GLTP		
	#1	25	0	2	2	6	40
12:0	#2	20	0	2	6	2	24
monoSF	#3AB	23	0	1	0	6	26
	#3DE	21	0	1	0	13	37
24:1 SF	#1	24	0	0	2	6	24
24:1Gal	#1	23	1	2	2	2	26
12:0	#1	19	0	0	0	6	34
diSF	#2	11	0	2	6	10	43
				D48V-	GLTP		
12:0 SF	#1	16	26	4	18	3	18
12:0	#1	10	18	6	12	10	50
diSF	#4	8	14	4	12	5	56
24:1 SF	#1	12	22	6	14	0	16
		1		A47D D4	8V-GLTP	I	
12:0SF	#1	8	0	4	0	4	40
24:1SF	#1	14	0	4	4	4	20

Table S3. Number of 'close' monomer•monomer	contacts in	different crystals
---	-------------	--------------------

¹Only close contacts considered, with the contact distance less than 4 Å