Received 5 April 2005 Accepted 10 May 2005

Online 14 May 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

#### Helen P. Jones,\* Amy L. Gillon‡ and Roger J. Davey

Colloids, Crystals and Interfaces Group, School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Sciences, The University of Manchester, PO Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, England

‡ Current address: Pharmaceutical R&D, Pfizer Global R&D (IPC 435), Ramsgate Road, Sandwich, Kent CT13 9NJ, England

Correspondence e-mail: h.jones-2@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.025 wR factor = 0.066 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate

Sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate,  $Na^+ C_7H_3N_2O_6^-$ , was obtained by evaporation at room temperature of an aqueous solution of ethylenediammonium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate in sodium hydroxide. The structure is trigonal and the benzoate ion has twofold crystallographic symmetry.

#### Comment

During work on crystallization of the salt ethylenediammonium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate, an aqueous solution of the salt at pH 12 was prepared and allowed to evaporate at room temperature, giving red prisms of sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate (NaDNB), (I). The crystal structure was not found in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.25; Allen, 2002) and hence its structure was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction at 150 K.

# $(I) NO_2 NO_2 NO_2 NO_2 OCO_2^- OCO_$

The benzoate ion is on a twofold axis of symmetry, passing through the carboxylate group (Fig. 1).

#### **Experimental**

3,5-Dinitrobenzoic acid (Aldrich, 99%) was dissolved in sodium hydroxide solution and a solution of ethylenediamine (Aldrich, 99%) was added. The solution was filtered and the pH recorded as 12.14. The solution pH was measured using an Accumet Basic AB15 pH



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved View of NaDNB, showing the whole benzoate anion. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (i)  $x - y, -y, -z + \frac{2}{3}$ .]



Figure 2

The packing of sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate, viewed along the c axis, showing the threefold symmetry.



Figure 3 The twofold axis of symmetry perpendicular to the c axis.

meter with an Accumet glass calomel pH electrode. The solution was allowed to evaporate to dryness in air at room temperature. Crystals of ethylenediammonium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate, sodium hydroxide and red prisms of sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate formed.

#### Crystal data

$Na^+ \cdot C_7 H_3 N_2 O_6^-$ M = 234.1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 2522
Trigonal, $P3_121$	reflections
a = 10.7701 (5)Å	$\theta = 1.0-27.5^{\circ}$
c = 6.3526 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.20 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 638.15 (5) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 150  K
Z = 3	Prism, red
$D_x = 1.828 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.25$ $\times$ $0.25$ $\times$ $0.25$ mm

537 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int}=0.027$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^\circ$ 

 $h = -12 \rightarrow 13$ 

 $k = -8 \rightarrow 13$ 

 $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

#### Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Thick-slice  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)  $T_{\min} = 0.796, T_{\max} = 0.951$ 3498 measured reflections 554 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0336P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	+ 0.1582P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.066$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.09	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
554 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
76 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
-	Extinction coefficient: 0.14 (2)

In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were merged. The choice of space group  $P3_121$  rather than P3<sub>2</sub>21 is arbitrary. All H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding, with C-H = 0.93–0.98 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: SORTAV (Blessing, 1987,1989, SCALEPACK and DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

The authors thank Sanofi-Aventis Ltd for funding.

#### References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Blessing, R. H. (1987). Crystallogr. Rev. 1, 3-58.
- Blessing, R. H. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 396-397.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Nonius (2000). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2005). E61, m1131-m1132 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536805014893]

### Sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate

#### Helen P. Jones, Amy L. Gillon and Roger J. Davey

Sodium 3,5-dinitrobenzoate

Crystal data

Na<sup>+</sup>·C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>  $M_r = 234.1$ Trigonal,  $P3_121$ Hall symbol: P 31 2" a = 10.7701 (5) Å c = 6.3526 (2) Å V = 638.15 (5) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 3F(000) = 354

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Radiation source: Enraf Nonius FR590 Graphite monochromator CCD rotation images, thick slices scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)  $T_{min} = 0.796, T_{max} = 0.951$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$  $wR(F^2) = 0.066$ S = 1.09554 reflections 76 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map  $D_x = 1.828 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2522 reflections  $\theta = 1.0-27.5^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.20 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 KPrism, red  $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ 

3498 measured reflections 554 independent reflections 537 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.027$  $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.8^{\circ}$  $h = -12 \rightarrow 13$  $k = -8 \rightarrow 13$  $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0336P)^2 + 0.1582P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.24$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.18$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> Extinction correction: SHELXL97, Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc<sup>2</sup>\lambda<sup>3</sup>/sin(2 $\theta$ )]<sup>-1/4</sup> Extinction coefficient: 0.14 (2)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

x	v		
	y	Z	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
0.2002 (2)	1	-0.1667	0.0113 (5)
0.3418 (2)	1	-0.1667	0.0122 (5)
0.3701 (2)	0.92732 (19)	-0.0104 (2)	0.0131 (4)
0.3033	0.8786	0.0952	0.016*
0.11648 (14)	0.93745 (13)	-0.01613 (17)	0.0138 (3)
0.4994 (2)	0.9287 (2)	-0.0143 (2)	0.0151 (4)
0.6034 (2)	1	-0.1667	0.0155 (5)
0.6897	1	-0.1667	0.019*
0.52972 (17)	0.85204 (18)	0.1513 (2)	0.0186 (4)
0.87486 (9)	0.87486 (9)	0	0.0131 (3)
0.63430 (15)	0.83610 (16)	0.1274 (2)	0.0242 (4)
0.45083 (19)	0.8090 (2)	0.3043 (2)	0.0329 (4)
	0.2002 (2) 0.3418 (2) 0.3701 (2) 0.3033 0.11648 (14) 0.4994 (2) 0.6034 (2) 0.6897 0.52972 (17) 0.87486 (9) 0.63430 (15) 0.45083 (19)	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.2002 \ (2) & 1 \\ 0.3418 \ (2) & 1 \\ 0.3701 \ (2) & 0.92732 \ (19) \\ 0.3033 & 0.8786 \\ 0.11648 \ (14) & 0.93745 \ (13) \\ 0.4994 \ (2) & 0.9287 \ (2) \\ 0.6034 \ (2) & 1 \\ 0.6897 & 1 \\ 0.52972 \ (17) & 0.85204 \ (18) \\ 0.87486 \ (9) & 0.87486 \ (9) \\ 0.63430 \ (15) & 0.83610 \ (16) \\ 0.45083 \ (19) & 0.8090 \ (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0111 (8)	0.0108 (11)	0.0118 (10)	0.0054 (5)	-0.0016 (4)	-0.0031 (8)
C2	0.0115 (9)	0.0135 (11)	0.0122 (10)	0.0067 (6)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0024 (9)
C3	0.0136 (9)	0.0142 (9)	0.0121 (8)	0.0073 (7)	0.0010 (6)	0.0001 (6)
O3	0.0118 (6)	0.0162 (7)	0.0133 (6)	0.0069 (5)	0.0013 (4)	0.0010 (5)
C4	0.0170 (8)	0.0185 (9)	0.0132 (8)	0.0115 (7)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0006 (7)
C5	0.0130 (9)	0.0179 (13)	0.0173 (11)	0.0089 (6)	0.0002 (5)	0.0005 (9)
N2	0.0179 (8)	0.0226 (9)	0.0183 (7)	0.0125 (7)	0.0007 (6)	0.0056 (6)
Na1	0.0131 (4)	0.0131 (4)	0.0128 (4)	0.0064 (4)	-0.00068 (18)	0.00068 (18)
O1	0.0170 (7)	0.0323 (9)	0.0300 (7)	0.0174 (7)	0.0027 (6)	0.0104 (6)
O2	0.0356 (9)	0.0548 (11)	0.0228 (7)	0.0335 (9)	0.0132 (6)	0.0209 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—O3	1.2547 (16)	O3—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.3416 (14)	
C1—C2	1.525 (3)	C4—C5	1.386 (2)	
С2—С3	1.389 (2)	C4—N2	1.471 (2)	
C3—C4	1.386 (2)	С5—Н5	0.93	
С3—Н3	0.93	N2—O2	1.220 (2)	
O3—Na1 <sup>i</sup>	2.3083 (11)	N2—O1	1.231 (2)	
O3 <sup>iii</sup> —C1—O3	126.5 (2)	O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Na1—O3 <sup>v</sup>	167.89 (8)	
O3—C1—C2	116.77 (11)	O3 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	86.31 (5)	
C3 <sup>iii</sup> —C2—C3	119.9 (2)	O3 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	102.24 (5)	
C3—C2—C1	120.06 (11)	O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Na1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	91.20 (7)	

C4—C3—C2	118.90 (16)	O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Na1—O1	79.55 (5)
С4—С3—Н3	120.5	O3 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O1	93.24 (5)
С2—С3—Н3	120.5	O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Na1—O1	82.64 (5)
C1—O3—Na1 <sup>i</sup>	131.53 (9)	O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Na1—O1	162.96 (5)
C1—O3—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	125.81 (12)	O1 <sup>viii</sup> —Na1—O1	107.43 (8)
Na1 <sup>i</sup> —O3—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	85.34 (5)	O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ix</sup>	47.77 (3)
C3—C4—C5	123.23 (16)	O3 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ix</sup>	143.85 (5)
C3—C4—N2	119.02 (15)	O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ix</sup>	77.64 (3)
C5—C4—N2	117.74 (16)	O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ix</sup>	46.88 (4)
C4 <sup>iii</sup> —C5—C4	115.8 (2)	O1 <sup>viii</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ix</sup>	108.62 (3)
С4—С5—Н5	122.1	O1—Na1—Na1 <sup>ix</sup>	116.10 (3)
O2—N2—O1	123.91 (16)	Na1 <sup>ix</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>x</sup>	100.20 (3)
O2—N2—C4	118.34 (14)	N2—O1—Na1	160.96 (12)
O1—N2—C4	117.74 (15)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*y*+1, *x*-*y*+1, *z*+1/3; (ii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (iii) *x*-*y*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*-1/3; (iv) -*x*+1, -*x*+*y*, -*z*+1/3; (v) -*x*+*y*, -*x*+1, *z*-1/3; (vi) *y*, *x*+1, -*z*; (vii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (viii) *y*, *x*, -*z*; (ix) -*y*+2, *x*-*y*+1, *z*+1/3; (x) -*x*+*y*+1, -*x*+2, *z*-1/3.