

Zoltán A. Gál, Phillip M.
Mallinson‡ and Simon J. Clarke*Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford,
Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks
Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, England‡ Current address: Department of Chemistry,
University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZD,
England.Correspondence e-mail:
simon.clarke@chem.ox.ac.uk**Key indicators**Single-crystal X-ray study
 $T = 150$ K
Mean $\sigma(\text{N}-\text{C}) = 0.006$ Å
 R factor = 0.026
 wR factor = 0.050
Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.6For details of how these key indicators were
automatically derived from the article, see
<http://journals.iucr.org/e>.**Strontium nitride carbodiimide, $\text{Sr}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$** Strontium nitride carbodiimide, $\text{Sr}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$, is isostructural with the calcium analogue and consists of a framework of edge- and vertex-sharing Sr_6N octahedra forming channels within which almost linear and almost symmetrical carbodiimide anions reside, surrounded by eight strontium ions.


Received 19 September 2005

Accepted 27 September 2005

Online 30 September 2005

Comment

There is increasing interest in the chemistry of the nitrides of the elements and one way to grow crystals of alkaline earth main group nitrides is to make use of a molten sodium flux (Yamane & DiSalvo, 1996; Reckeweg & DiSalvo, 2000). In attempting to grow crystals of strontium aluminium nitrides we grew crystals of the title phase. Strontium nitride carbodiimide is isostructural with the calcium analogue $\text{Ca}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$ (Reckeweg & DiSalvo, 2000) and with $\text{Ca}_{3.2}\text{Sr}_{0.8}\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$ (Höhn *et al.*, 2000). The structure consists of a three-dimensional framework of Sr_6N octahedra, centred by atoms N3 and N4, linked by their edges and vertices. Channels are formed which accommodate the carbodiimide anions. Each N atom of the carbodiimide anion is within 3.0 Å of four strontium ions and the $[\text{CN}_2]^{2-}$ anions should be considered eight-coordinate by strontium cations. Atoms Sr1 and Sr3 are coordinated by five N atoms within 3 Å, Sr2 is in approximately octahedral coordination by six N atoms, and Sr4 is in distorted tetrahedral coordination by four N atoms within 2.7 Å, with a fifth N atom 3.228 (4) Å distant. The carbodiimide anions are almost linear, with an N–C–N bond angle of 178.0 (5)°, and the anion is in the symmetrical carbodiimide form, with C–N bond lengths of 1.240 (6) and 1.235 (6) Å, which are equal within experi-

**Figure 1**

The structure of $\text{Sr}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$, showing the framework of Sr_6N octahedra and the channels containing the carbodiimide anions. The detail shows the asymmetric unit, with 99% displacement ellipsoids.

mental uncertainty. The geometry of the carbodiimide anions in $\text{Ca}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$ is similar: C–N bond lengths of 1.22 (1) and 1.24 (1) Å, and an N–C–N angle of 179.7 (10)° (Reckeweg & DiSalvo, 2000). The structure of $\text{Sr}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$ is shown in Fig. 1.

Experimental

Strontium nitride carbodiimide was synthesized by reacting together Sr (99%, Aldrich, 100 mg), NaN_3 (99%, Aldrich, 85 mg), Al (99.99%, Aldrich, 31 mg) and Na (99+ %, BDH, 200 mg) in a sealed nickel tube at 1073 K for 4 d, with slow cooling to 673 K prior to removal of the tube from the furnace. A small number of colourless crystals of the product were obtained after sublimation of excess sodium from the reactants. No other crystalline products were identified in the reaction. The carbon forming the carbodiimide units presumably arises adventitiously from the nickel tube or from one or more of the reactants, as noted by Reckeweg & DiSalvo (2000).

Crystal data

$\text{Sr}_4\text{N}_2(\text{CN}_2)$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 418.53$	Cell parameters from 43855 reflections
Orthorhombic, $Pnma$	$\theta = 1.0\text{--}33.1^\circ$
$a = 12.2928$ (4) Å	$\mu = 31.39$ mm $^{-1}$
$b = 3.8261$ (1) Å	$T = 150$ (2) K
$c = 14.3291$ (5) Å	Prism, colourless above
$V = 673.95$ (4) Å 3	$0.09 \times 0.05 \times 0.02$ mm
$Z = 4$	
$D_x = 4.125$ Mg m $^{-3}$	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer ω scans	942 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: analytical (Alcock, 1970)	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.076$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.062$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.301$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.5^\circ$
14693 measured reflections	$h = -17 \rightarrow 17$
1156 independent reflections	$k = -5 \rightarrow 5$
	$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0142P)^2 + 1.6092P]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.050$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
$S = 1.07$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.12$ e Å $^{-3}$
1156 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.99$ e Å $^{-3}$
56 parameters	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i>
	Extinction coefficient: 0.00093 (15)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Sr1–N3 ⁱ	2.551 (3)	Sr3–N4	2.490 (4)
Sr1–N3 ⁱⁱ	2.551 (3)	Sr3–N3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.616 (3)
Sr1–N2	2.799 (4)	Sr3–N3 ⁱ	2.616 (3)
Sr1–N1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.837 (3)	Sr3–N1 ⁱ	2.998 (3)
Sr1–N1 ^{iv}	2.837 (3)	Sr3–N1 ⁱⁱ	2.998 (3)
Sr2–N4 ^v	2.674 (3)	Sr4–N4 ^{viii}	2.500 (2)
Sr2–N4 ^{vi}	2.674 (3)	Sr4–N4 ^{ix}	2.500 (2)
Sr2–N3	2.740 (4)	Sr4–N3	2.592 (4)
Sr2–N4 ^{vii}	2.774 (4)	Sr4–N2	2.683 (4)
Sr2–N2 ^{vi}	2.867 (3)	N1–C5	1.240 (6)
Sr2–N2 ^v	2.867 (3)	N2–C5	1.235 (6)
N2–C5–N1	178.0 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x, -y, -z + 1$; (iv) $-x, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (viii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (ix) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$.

The highest residual electron-density peak is located 1.57 Å from atom Sr3. [1.12 e Å $^{-3}$].

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *SCALEPACK* and *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELX97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ATOMS* (Dowty, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

References

- Alcock, N. W. (1970). *Crystallographic Computing, Proceedings of the International Summer School*, edited by S. R. Hall, pp. 271–278. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Dowty, E. (2005). *ATOMS*. Version 6-2. Shape Software, 521 Hidden Valley Road, Kingsport, TN 37663, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Höhn, P., Niewa, R. & Kniep R. (2000). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **215**, 323–324.
- Nonius (2000). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Reckeweg, O. & DiSalvo, F. J. (2000). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **39**, 412–414.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. Release 97-2. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yamane, H. & DiSalvo, F. J. (1996). *J. Alloys Compd.* **240**, 33–36.