# inorganic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## David R. Allan

School of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail: d.r.allan@ed.ac.uk

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 K Mean  $\sigma$ (O–O) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.038 wR factor = 0.034 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Sodium peroxodisulfate

The asymmetric unit of disodium peroxodisulfate  $2Na^+ \cdot S_2 O_8^{2-}$  consists of a single  $Na^+$  cation and half of a peroxodisulfate dianion, the latter lying across a crystal-lographic inversion centre. The crystal structure is isostructural with that of potassium peroxodisulfate and it is composed of layers of molecules, partitioned by the  $Na^+$  cations, parallel to the  $(0\overline{1}1)$  plane of the triclinic cell. Neighbouring molecules within each layer are bridged end-to-end by pairs of short  $S \cdots O$  intermolecular contacts  $[S \cdots O = 3.074 (2) \text{ Å}].$ 

### Comment

Sodium peroxodisulfate, (I), readily forms  $SO_4$  radicals in hot aqueous solution. It is a powerful oxidizing and bleaching agent and it can also be used as a polymerization promoter, as well as providing a cleaner alternative to ferric chloride for copper etchant solutions (Serguchev *et al.*, 1980).



Compund (I) crystallizes from aqueous solution in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$ , with one Na<sup>+</sup> cation and half of the peroxodisulfate dianion, the latter located across an inversion centre, in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). Its crystal structure,



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

i44

Received 18 January 2006 Accepted 3 February 2006



#### Figure 2

The packing of sodium peroxodisulfate, viewed along the a axis.



#### Figure 3

A polyhedral representation of the crystal structure of sodium peroxodisulfate. The structure is viewed along the *c* axis, with the *a* axis directed to the right and the *b* axis directed upwards. The blue polyhedra indicate the layers of distorted edge-sharing NaO<sub>6</sub> octahedra while the yellow tetrahedra indicate the SO<sub>4</sub> groups of the peroxodisulfate dianion.

which is isostructural with that of potassium peroxodisulfate,  $K_2S_2O_8$ , [Naumov *et al.*, 1997; ICSD (Belsky *et al.*, 2002) refcode 54024] is composed of layers of peroxodisulfate anions, which are aligned parallel to the (011) plane and partitioned by corrugated layers of Na<sup>+</sup> cations (Fig. 2).

The intramolecular S–O distances and O–S–O bond angles for the peroxodisulfate dianion are very similar to those reported for the potassium analogue (see Table 1). However, the cation environments for the two analogues are quite different. In potassium peroxodisulfate, the K<sup>+</sup> cations are coordinated by nine O atoms with interatomic distances ranging from 2.751 (3) to 3.347 (3) Å. For sodium peroxodisulfate, the Na<sup>+</sup> cations are coordinated by six O atoms, with Na–O interatomic distances between 2.340 (2) and 2.596 (2) Å. It is interesting to note that in the sodium



Figure 4

The dashed lines indicate short  $S \cdots O$  contacts in sodium peroxodisulfate. This view is approximately perpendicular to  $(0\overline{1}1)$ .

analogue, the O atom involved in the intramolecular peroxo bond, O1, is not involved in the cation coordinate environment, although it does exhibit the shortest Na–O distance outside this range [Na1–O1 = 3.167(2) Å]. The K1–O1 distance in the potassium analogue [3.089(3) Å] is of an intermediate length compared with the other O atoms defining the coordination environment. The overall effect of the Na– O coordination environment in sodium peroxodisulfate is the formation of a three-dimensional network. This is indicated by the polyhedral plot shown in Fig. 3. The Na<sup>+</sup> cations form layers of distorted edge-sharing octahedra (shown as the blue polyhedra in Fig. 3), while the tetrahedra formed by each end of the dianions (shown as the yellow polyhedra in Fig. 3) form corner-sharing bridges between the layers.

Perhaps the most striking difference between the two structures concerns the  $S \cdots O$  intramolecular contact distances. Within the layers, neighbouring anions are aligned end-to-end so that pairs of relatively short  $S \cdots O$  contacts are formed. In the sodium analogue these contacts are extremely short  $[S1\cdots O3 = 3.074 (2) \text{ Å}]$  (Fig. 4), while in the crystal structure of the potassium analogue these contacts are significantly longer  $[S1\cdots O3 = 3.417 (3) \text{ Å}]$ .

### **Experimental**

696 independent reflections

The sample of sodium peroxodisulfate was prepared from anhydrous starting material (of 99% purity, as received from Aldrich) and recrystallized from an aqueous solution by slow evaporation. A suitable crystal was selected from the resulting batch. The sample was cooled to 150 K using an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) during data collection.

Crystal data	
2Na <sup>+</sup> ·O <sub>8</sub> S <sub>2</sub> <sup>2-</sup> $M_r = 238.11$ Triclinic, <i>P</i> I a = 4.780 (2) Å b = 5.575 (2) Å	Z = 1 $D_x = 2.603 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 680 reflections
$c = 6.091 (3) \text{ Å}  \alpha = 101.871 (7)^{\circ}  \beta = 103.337 (7)^{\circ}  \gamma = 97.418 (7)^{\circ}  V = 151.89 (11) \text{ Å}^{3}$	$\theta = 7-57^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150  K Needle, colourless $0.20 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART diffractometer $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan <i>SADABS</i> (Sheldrick, 2004) $T_{\min} = 0.67, T_{\max} = 0.95$ 1326 measured reflections	590 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{max} = 28.9^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -8 \rightarrow 7$

Refinement

Refinement on F	Modified Chebychev polynomial
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	(Watkin, 1994; Prince, 1982) with
$wR(F^2) = 0.034$	the coefficients 1.89, -1.11, 1.17
S = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
590 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.48 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
56 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.42 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-O1	1.6392 (19)	Na1-O3 <sup>ii</sup>	2.380 (2)
S1-O2	1.4389 (18)	$Na1-O2^{m}$	2.389 (2)
S1-O3	1.4408 (19)	$Na1 - O2^{iv}$	2.476 (2)
S1-O4	1.4396 (19)	Na1-O4 <sup>v</sup>	2.340 (2)
O1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	1.479 (3)	Na1-O4 <sup>vi</sup>	2.596 (2)
Na1-O3	2.376 (2)		
O1-S1-O2	97.30 (11)	O2-S1-O4	115.65 (12)
O1-S1-O3	105.92 (11)	O3-S1-O4	113.08 (11)
O1-S1-O4	106.87 (11)	$O1^{i} - O1 - S1$	106.26 (17)
02-\$1-03	115.78 (12)		. ,

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z; (iii) x - 1, y - 1, z; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi) x - 1, y, z.

Indexing with *GEMINI* (Sparks, 1999) revealed that the sample was twinned non-merohedrally with two domains. The data set was integrated using the orientation matrix of the stronger subset of reflections, corresponding to the larger domain. During refinement, the *ROTAX* procedure, as implemented in the *CRYSTALS* refinement package (Cooper *et al.*, 2002), was used to identify the relationship between the two domains. This could be expressed by the matrix ( $\overline{100}$ ,  $0\overline{10}$ , 0.667 0.523 1), which corresponds to a twofold rotation about the *c*\* axis. Subsequent refinement indicated that the twin fraction of the second domain was 0.379 (8).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker Nonius, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker Nonius, 2003); program(s)

used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *CRYSTALS* (Betteridge *et al.*, 2003); molecular graphics: *CAMERON* (Watkin *et al.*, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *CRYSTALS* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

We thank Dr F. P. A. Fabbiani for her help during the data collection and Professor A. J. Blake for his help in preparing this manuscript. We also thank the EPSRC for funding both this project and DRA's Advanced Research Fellowship.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, G., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A. & Polidori, G. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Belsky, A., Hellenbrandt, M., Karen, L.V. & Luksch, P. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 364–369.
- Betteridge, P. W., Carruthers, J. R., Cooper, R. I., Prout, K. & Watkin, D. J. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1487.
- Bruker-Nonius (2001). SMART. Bruker-Nonius AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker-Nonius (2003). SAINT. Bruker-Nonius AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cooper, R. I., Gould, R. O., Parsons, S. & Watkin, D. J. (2002). J. Appl. Cryst. 35, 168–174.
- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 105-107.
- Naumov, D. Yu. & Virovets, A. V. (1997). J. Struct. Chem. 38, 772-778.
- Prince, E. (1982). Mathematical Techniques in Crystallography and Materials Science. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Serguchev, Yu. A. & Beletskaya, I. P. (1980). Usp. Khim. 49, 2257-2285.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2004). SADABS. University of Gottingen, Germany.
- Sparks, R. A. (1999). GEMINI. Bruker AXS Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13. Watkin, D. J., (1994). Acta Cryst. A50, 411–437.
- Watkin, D. J., Prout, C. K. & Pearce, L. (1996). *CAMERON*. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2006). E62, i44-i46 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536806004302]

# Sodium peroxodisulfate

# David R. Allan

disodium peroxodisulfate

Crystal data

2Na<sup>+</sup>·O<sub>8</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>  $M_r = 238.11$ Triclinic, P1Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 4.780 (2) Å b = 5.575 (2) Å c = 6.091 (3) Å a = 101.871 (7)°  $\beta = 103.337$  (7)°  $\gamma = 97.418$  (7)° V = 151.89 (11) Å<sup>3</sup>

Data collection

Bruker SMART
diffractometer
Graphite monochromator
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
SADABS (Sheldrick, 2004)
$T_{\min} = 0.67, \ T_{\max} = 0.95$
1326 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on *F* Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$  $wR(F^2) = 0.034$ S = 1.06590 reflections 56 parameters 0 restraints Z = 1 F(000) = 118  $D_x = 2.603 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 680 reflections  $\theta = 7-57^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 150 KNeedle, colourless  $0.20 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ 

696 independent reflections 590 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.021$   $\theta_{max} = 28.9^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.6^{\circ}$   $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$   $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$  $l = -8 \rightarrow 7$ 

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Modified Chebychev polynomial (Watkin, 1994; *P*rince, 1982) with the coefficients 1.89, -1.11, 1.17  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.000217$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.48 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.42 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ 

### Special details

PLAT029\_ALERT\_3\_C\_diffrn\_measured\_fraction\_theta\_full Low ...... 0.97

This value is only marginally smaller than the ideal (0.99).

PLAT432\_ALERT\_2\_C Short Inter X...Y Contact S1.. O3.. 3.07 A ng.

This short intermolecular contact bridges persulfate molecules end-on to form infinite chains. This interaction is similar to that observed by Naumov *et al.* (1997) for the isostructural potassium persulfate where the molecules are also bridged by short S...O intermolecular bonds. In this instance, however, the distance is 3.417 A ng.

Fractional atomic coordinates a	nd isotropic o	r equivalent	isotropic	displacement	parameters	$(Å^2)$	)
---------------------------------	----------------	--------------	-----------	--------------	------------	---------	---

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Na1	0.0359 (2)	0.31397 (19)	0.20685 (18)	0.0131	
S1	0.61154 (13)	0.78124 (11)	0.24744 (11)	0.0080	
01	0.5785 (4)	1.0388 (3)	0.4180 (3)	0.0143	
02	0.7722 (4)	0.8959 (3)	0.1113 (3)	0.0113	
03	0.3176 (4)	0.6526 (3)	0.1263 (3)	0.0111	
O4	0.7701 (4)	0.6451 (3)	0.3949 (3)	0.0130	

### Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
0.0141 (5)	0.0136 (5)	0.0102 (5)	0.0005 (4)	0.0012 (4)	0.0032 (4)
0.0088 (3)	0.0082 (3)	0.0068 (3)	0.00165 (19)	0.0025 (2)	0.00122 (19)
0.0223 (10)	0.0094 (8)	0.0132 (9)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0125 (8)	0.0006 (7)
0.0135 (9)	0.0106 (8)	0.0112 (8)	0.0013 (7)	0.0063 (7)	0.0031 (6)
0.0099 (9)	0.0106 (8)	0.0114 (8)	0.0004 (7)	0.0016 (7)	0.0017 (7)
0.0119 (9)	0.0165 (9)	0.0116 (9)	0.0046 (7)	0.0013 (7)	0.0065 (7)
	$U^{11}$ 0.0141 (5) 0.0088 (3) 0.0223 (10) 0.0135 (9) 0.0099 (9) 0.0119 (9)	$\begin{array}{c cccc} U^{11} & U^{22} \\ \hline 0.0141  (5) & 0.0136  (5) \\ 0.0088  (3) & 0.0082  (3) \\ 0.0223  (10) & 0.0094  (8) \\ 0.0135  (9) & 0.0106  (8) \\ 0.0099  (9) & 0.0106  (8) \\ 0.0119  (9) & 0.0165  (9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

<u>81—01</u>	1.6392 (19)	Na1—O3 <sup>ii</sup>	2.380 (2)	
S1—O2	1.4389 (18)	Na1—O2 <sup>iii</sup>	2.389 (2)	
S1—O3	1.4408 (19)	Na1—O2 <sup>iv</sup>	2.476 (2)	
S1—O4	1.4396 (19)	Na1—O4 <sup>v</sup>	2.340 (2)	
0101 <sup>i</sup>	1.479 (3)	Na1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	2.596 (2)	
Na1—O3	2.376 (2)	Na1—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.565 (2)	
O1—S1—O2	97.30 (11)	O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	121.43 (7)	
O1—S1—O3	105.92 (11)	O2 <sup>iv</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	73.92 (6)	
01—S1—O4	106.87 (11)	O4 <sup>vi</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	79.90 (6)	
O2—S1—O3	115.78 (12)	O4 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O3	103.99 (8)	
O2—S1—O4	115.65 (12)	O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Na1—O3	82.92 (8)	
O3—S1—O4	113.08 (11)	O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Na1—O3	153.31 (8)	
01 <sup>i</sup> 01S1	106.26 (17)	O2 <sup>iv</sup> —Na1—O3	77.27 (7)	
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O3 <sup>ii</sup>	154.76 (8)	O4 <sup>vi</sup> —Na1—O3	85.88 (8)	

# supporting information

O4 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O2 <sup>iii</sup>	97.98 (8)	Na1 <sup>ii</sup> —Na1—O3	41.50 (5)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Na1—O2 <sup>iii</sup>	83.62 (7)	Na1 <sup>vii</sup> —O2—Na1 <sup>iv</sup>	102.44 (7)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O2 <sup>iv</sup>	126.29 (8)	Na1 <sup>vii</sup> —O2—S1	127.66 (11)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Na1—O2 <sup>iv</sup>	78.77 (7)	Na1 <sup>iv</sup> —O2—S1	127.67 (11)
O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Na1—O2 <sup>iv</sup>	77.56 (7)	Na1—O3—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	97.08 (8)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	77.36 (7)	Na1—O3—S1	128.52 (11)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Na1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	79.00 (7)	Na1 <sup>ii</sup> —O3—S1	134.38 (11)
O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Na1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	113.94 (8)	Na1 <sup>v</sup> —O4—Na1 <sup>viii</sup>	102.64 (7)
O2 <sup>iv</sup> —Na1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	153.52 (7)	Na1 <sup>v</sup> —O4—S1	137.82 (12)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	139.91 (7)	Na1 <sup>viii</sup> —O4—S1	117.76 (11)
O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Na1—Na1 <sup>ii</sup>	41.42 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*; (iii) *x*-1, *y*-1, *z*; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (v) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (vi) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (vii) *x*+1, *y*+1, *z*; (viii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*.