

Ritu Bala,^a Alan R. Kennedy,^b
 Kalpna Saneja^a and
 Raj Pal Sharma^{a*}

^aChemistry Department, Panjab University,
 Chandigarh 160 014, India, and ^bWestCHEM,
 Department of Pure & Applied Chemistry,
 University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street,
 Glasgow G1 1XL, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail:
 rpsharmapu@yahoo.co.in

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
 $T = 123$ K
 Mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.009$ Å
 Disorder in main residue
 R factor = 0.041
 wR factor = 0.067
 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.1

For details of how these key indicators were
 automatically derived from the article, see
<http://journals.iucr.org/e>.


catena-Poly[benzyltrimethylammonium [cadmium(II)-tri- μ_2 -thiocyanato]]

The title compound, $\{(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{16}\text{N})[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]\}_n$, contains $[(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2)\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3]^+$ cations lying between one-dimensional chains of stoichiometry $\{[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}\}_n$. Each Cd^{II} ion is 3*N*,3*S*-hexacoordinated by thiocyanate ligands, in an octahedral *fac* arrangement. The asymmetric unit contains two cations and two anions.

Received 1 June 2006
 Accepted 14 June 2006

Comment

In recent years, studies of the synthesis and properties of semiconductor materials such as CdS and CdSe have become an area of interest owing to the great scope for fundamental understanding of materials as well as potential technological applications (Zhang *et al.*, 1999), such as light-emitting devices, non-linear optical devices, solar cells and biological labels. As a result, the search for new precursors, such as salts containing $[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}$, is receiving much attention. As the d^{10} configuration and softness of Cd^{II} permit a wide variety of geometries and coordination numbers, especially with the ambidentate ligand thiocyanate (SCN^{-}), various structural types have been observed. Which structural type occurs depends on the size, shape and symmetry of the counter-cations and also on the ratio of Cd^{2+} to SCN^{-} ions. Thus, the structures of a number of one-dimensional single chains (Zhang *et al.*, 2001), two-dimensional networks (Zhang *et al.*, 1997) and three-dimensional structures (Thiele & Messer, 1980) have been reported and reviewed (Sun *et al.*, 2001). Of special interest are the low-dimensional structural motifs, since these relate to highly anisotropic physical properties. In continuation of our interest in the supramolecular chemistry of salts of simple metal complexes (Sharma *et al.*, 2005, 2006), the synthesis and characterization of the title compound, (I), was undertaken.



For (I), structure determination revealed the presence of four crystallographically independent components in the solid state: two $[(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2)\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3]^+$ cations and two $[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}$ anions (Fig. 1). Each Cd^{II} ion is 3*N*,3*S*-hexacoordinated, and adopts a slightly deformed *fac* octahedral geometry. Thus, each S atom is *trans* to an N atom. One of the thiocyanate ions ($\text{S6}/\text{C6}/\text{N6}$) appears to be rotationally disordered about its central C atom, which modifies the Cd^{II}




Figure 1
The contents of the asymmetric unit of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms. The minor disorder component is indicated by dashed bonds.




Figure 2
The polymeric $\{[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}\}_n$ chains extending along the *b*-axis direction.

coordination geometry at 9% of the Cd2 metal sites. Both the Cd–S and Cd–N bond lengths show considerable variation (Table 1). Similar distances (Cd–S = 2.688–2.743 Å and Cd–N = 2.279–2.379 Å) are observed in $[(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{N}][\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]$, which is also 3*N*,3*S*-coordinated (Kuniyasu *et al.*, 1987). The average Cd–N–C and Cd–S–C angles in (I) (142.11 and 98.93°, respectively) are also comparable with those in $[(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{N}][\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]$. The $\{[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}\}_n$ chains (Fig. 2) propagate along the *b*-axis direction, with $[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_6]$ octahedra linked in a face-sharing manner *via* the shared SCN[−] ligands. The $[(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2)\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3]^+$ cations occupy positions between the chains. It is generally believed that the relative arrangement of the anionic $\{[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}\}_n$ chains is strongly influenced by the size and shape of the cation. With larger cations, parallel alignment of the $\{[\text{Cd}(\text{SCN})_3]^{-}\}_n$ chains is expected; this is observed in (I).

Experimental

Analytical grade reagents were used without any further purification. Benzyltrimethylammonium chloride (1.0 g, 0.005 mol) was dissolved in 10 ml water, while CdCl₂ (0.98 g, 0.004 mol) and ammonium thiocyanate (1.22 g, 0.016 mol) were dissolved in 20 ml water by mechanical stirring. The solutions were mixed and a curd-like white solid precipitated immediately. This was filtered off and dried in air. Crystals of (I) were obtained after redissolving the white solid in an acetone–water mixture (1:1) at room temperature. The salt decomposes at 393 K and is insoluble in organic solvents (C₂H₅OH, CCl₄ and CH₃Cl), but soluble in DMSO and hot water. IR (KBr, ν , cm^{−1}): 2116 (s), 2087 (s, SCN), 1660 (m), 1553 (m), 1081 (s), 1028 (s), 1002 (s). ¹H NMR (*d*₆-DMSO, 298 K): δ 7.2 (s, 5H, HAr), 4.2 (s, 2H, ArCH₂), 2.6 (s, 9H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (*d*₆-DMSO, 298 K): δ 128–133 (Ar), 126 (SCN), 68 (ArC), 25 (CH₃).

Crystal data

(C₁₀H₁₆N)[Cd(SCN)₃]
*M*_r = 436.88
 Monoclinic, *P*2₁
a = 9.9668 (3) Å
b = 10.8210 (3) Å
c = 16.5299 (5) Å
 β = 102.351 (2)°
V = 1741.50 (9) Å³

Z = 4
*D*_x = 1.666 Mg m^{−3}
 Mo *K*α radiation
 μ = 1.61 mm^{−1}
T = 123 (2) K
 Needle, colourless
 0.35 × 0.08 × 0.06 mm

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer
 φ and ω scans
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (SORTAV; Blessing, 1997)
*T*_{min} = 0.880, *T*_{max} = 0.908

31141 measured reflections
 7120 independent reflections
 5777 reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*)
*R*_{int} = 0.060
 θ _{max} = 27.1°

Refinement

Refinement on *F*²
R [*F*² > 2σ(*F*²)] = 0.041
wR (*F*²) = 0.067
S = 1.06
 7120 reflections
 394 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0138P)^2 + 2.3495P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.92 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
 3074 Friedel pairs
 Flack parameter: −0.04 (2)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cd1–N1 ⁱ	2.293 (5)	Cd2–N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.294 (5)
Cd1–N3 ⁱⁱ	2.320 (5)	Cd2–N5 ^{iv}	2.341 (5)
Cd1–N2 ⁱⁱ	2.369 (5)	Cd2–N6 ^{iv}	2.361 (6)
Cd1–S3	2.6749 (15)	Cd2–S5	2.6925 (15)
Cd1–S1	2.7231 (15)	Cd2–S4	2.7097 (15)
Cd1–S2	2.7350 (15)	Cd2–S6	2.762 (2)
C1–S1–Cd1	94.81 (18)	C2–N2–Cd1 ⁱ	144.8 (4)
C2–S2–Cd1	99.67 (17)	C3–N3–Cd1 ⁱ	146.7 (4)
C3–S3–Cd1	99.07 (18)	C4–N4–Cd2 ^{iv}	155.3 (4)
C4–S4–Cd2	95.24 (18)	C5–N5–Cd2 ⁱⁱⁱ	149.3 (4)
C5–S5–Cd2	98.12 (18)	C6–S6–Cd2	102.2 (2)
C1–N1–Cd1 ⁱⁱ	155.1 (4)	C6–N6–Cd2 ⁱⁱⁱ	140.2 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z - 1$; (ii) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z - 1$; (iii) $-x + 2, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z$; (iv) $-x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$.

One SCN[−] ligand (S6/C6/N6) was modelled as disordered by a rotation about the C atom, giving two S and two N sites. The site occupancies of the two components were refined to 0.911 (7):0.089 (7). All H atoms were placed in geometrically ideal

lized positions and refined using a riding model: C–H = 0.95 Å for CH, 0.99 Å for CH₂ and 0.98 Å for CH₃; $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for CH and CH₂, and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for CH₃. We have noted that many crystals from the sample were twinned so that they appeared C-centred monoclinic.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1988) and *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); cell refinement: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; data reduction: *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

RB thanks the CSIR, New Delhi, India, for providing financial support for this work.

References

- Blessing, R. H. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 421–426.
Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
Hooft, R. (1988). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Kuniyasu, Y., Suzuli, Y., Taniguchi, M. & Ouchi, A. (1987). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **60**, 179–183.
Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A*, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
Sharma, R. P., Bala, R., Sharma, R., Vermani, B. K., Gill, D. S. & Venugopalan, P. (2005). *J. Coord. Chem.* **58**, 309–316.
Sharma, R. P., Sharma, R., Bala, R., Vermani, B. K., Gill, D. S., Salas, J. M. & Quiros, M. (2006). *J. Mol. Struct.* **784**, 222–227.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Sun, D., Cao, R., Liang, Y., Shi, Q., Su, W. & Hong, M. (2001). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 2335–2340.
Thiele, G. & Messer, D. (1980). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **464**, 255–267.
Zhang, H., Wang, X., Zelmon, D. E. & Teo, B. K. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* **40**, 1501–1507.
Zhang, H., Wang, X., Zhang, K. & Teo, B. K. (1999). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **183**, 157–195.
Zhang, H., Wang, X., Zhu, H., Xiao, W. & Teo, B. K. (1997). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **119**, 5463–5464.