

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

 2-Methyl-*N*-phenylbenzamide

 B. Thimme Gowda,^{a*} Sabine Foro,^b B. P. Sowmya^a and Hartmut Fuess^b


^aDepartment of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri 574 199, Mangalore, India, and ^bInstitute of Materials Science, Darmstadt University of Technology, Petersenstrasse 23, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany
Correspondence e-mail: gowdabt@yahoo.com

Received 28 December 2007; accepted 31 December 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 100$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.038; wR factor = 0.116; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.0.

In the structure of the title compound (NP2MBA), $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}$, the conformation of the $\text{C}-\text{O}$ bond is *syn* to the *ortho*-methyl substituent in the benzoyl phenyl ring, while the $\text{N}-\text{H}$ bond is *anti* to the *ortho*-methyl substituent. The structure of NP2MBA closely resembles that of 2-chloro-*N*-phenylbenzamide, with similar bond parameters. The dihedral angle between the phenyl and benzoyl rings is $88.05(5)^\circ$. Molecules are linked into a chain through $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonding.

Related literature

 For related literature, see: Gowda *et al.* (2003, 2007, 2008).


Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}$
 $M_r = 211.25$
Orthorhombic, *Pbca*
 $a = 14.404(1)$ Å

$b = 8.6824(6)$ Å
 $c = 18.710(1)$ Å
 $V = 2339.9(3)$ Å³
 $Z = 8$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.08$ mm⁻¹

$T = 100(2)$ K
 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.16$ mm

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer with Sapphire CCD detector
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SCALE3 ABSPACK*;

Oxford Diffraction, 2007)
 $T_{\min} = 0.970$, $T_{\max} = 0.981$
11005 measured reflections
2387 independent reflections
1686 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.029$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.115$
 $S = 1.05$
2387 reflections
149 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.24$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.21$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1N}\cdots\text{O1}^i$	0.878 (17)	2.012 (18)	2.8751 (16)	167.7 (15)

 Symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2004); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXS97*.

BTG thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Bonn, Germany, for extensions of his research fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN2308).

References

- Gowda, B. T., Foro, S., Sowmya, B. P. & Fuess, H. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o3789.
Gowda, B. T., Jyothi, K., Paulus, H. & Fuess, H. (2003). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A*, **58**, 225–230.
Gowda, B. T., Tokarčík, M., Kožíšek, J. & Sowmya, B. P. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o83.
Oxford Diffraction (2004). *CrysAlis CCD*. Version 1.171.26. Oxford Diffraction Ltd. Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
Oxford Diffraction (2007). *CrysAlis RED*. Version 1.171.32.5. Oxford Diffraction Ltd. Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2003). *PLATON. J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o383 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807068821]

2-Methyl-*N*-phenylbenzamide

B. T. Gowda, S. Foro, B. P. Sowmya and H. Fuess

Comment

As part of a study of the substituent effects on the structures of benzanilides, in the present work, the structure of 2-methyl-*N*-(phenyl)benzamide (NP2MBA) has been determined (Gowda, *et al.*, 2003; 2007; 2008). In the structure of NP2MBA, (Fig. 1), the conformation of the C—O bond is *syn* to the *ortho*-methyl substituent in the benzoyl phenyl ring, while the N—H bond is *anti* to the *ortho*-methyl substituent. The bond parameters in NP2MBA are similar to those in 2-chloro-*N*-(phenyl)-benzamide (Gowda, *et al.*, 2003), 2-chloro-*N*-(2-chlorophenyl)-benzamide (Gowda, *et al.*, 2007), *N*-(4-methylphenyl)-benzamide (Gowda, *et al.*, 2008) and other benzanilides. The dihedral angle between the phenyl and benzoyl rings in NP2MBA is 88.05 (5)°. The packing diagram of NP2MBA molecules showing the hydrogen bonds N1—H1N···O1 (Table 1) involved in the formation of molecular chain is shown in Fig. 2.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the literature method (Gowda *et al.*, 2003). The purity of the compound was checked by determining its melting point. It was characterized by recording its infrared and NMR spectra. Single crystals of the title compound were obtained from an ethanolic solution and used for X-ray diffraction studies at room temperature.

Refinement

The NH atom was located in difference map with N—H = 0.88 (2) %Å. The other H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry using a riding model with C—H = 0.95–0.98 Å. All H atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters (set to 1.2 times of the U_{eq} of the parent atom).

Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom labeling scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are represented as small spheres of arbitrary radii.




Fig. 2. Molecular packing of the title compound with hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bondings have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry code: (i) $3/2 - x, 1/2 + y, z$]

2-Methyl-*N*-phenylbenzamide

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{13}NO$	$F_{000} = 896$
$M_r = 211.25$	$D_x = 1.199 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, <i>Pbca</i>	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 14.404 (1) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 3291 reflections
$b = 8.6824 (6) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 1.5\text{--}26.9^\circ$
$c = 18.710 (1) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 2339.9 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	$T = 100 (2) \text{ K}$
$Z = 8$	Rod, colourless
	$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer with Sapphire CCD Detector	2387 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1686 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.029$
$T = 100(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.4^\circ$
Rotation method data acquisition using ω and ϕ scans.	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.6^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SCALE3 ABSPACK; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)	$h = -17 \rightarrow 17$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.970$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.981$	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
11005 measured reflections	$l = -23 \rightarrow 23$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.115$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0589P)^2 + 0.6762P]$
$S = 1.05$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2387 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.007$
149 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.24 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.21 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.69394 (10)	0.28490 (16)	0.53783 (8)	0.0226 (3)
C2	0.60165 (11)	0.32710 (18)	0.52773 (9)	0.0298 (4)
H2	0.5740	0.4029	0.5575	0.036*
C3	0.55046 (12)	0.2578 (2)	0.47398 (10)	0.0395 (5)
H3	0.4876	0.2869	0.4667	0.047*
C4	0.59039 (14)	0.1463 (2)	0.43075 (10)	0.0421 (5)
H4	0.5544	0.0966	0.3950	0.051*
C5	0.68238 (13)	0.1079 (2)	0.43987 (9)	0.0360 (4)
H5	0.7102	0.0334	0.4094	0.043*
C6	0.73475 (11)	0.17696 (18)	0.49311 (8)	0.0276 (4)
H6	0.7983	0.1505	0.4990	0.033*
C7	0.80898 (9)	0.28653 (16)	0.63583 (7)	0.0197 (3)
C8	0.85208 (9)	0.38985 (16)	0.69023 (8)	0.0203 (3)
C9	0.85849 (9)	0.34624 (16)	0.76232 (8)	0.0231 (3)
C10	0.90322 (10)	0.44704 (19)	0.80890 (9)	0.0284 (4)
H10	0.9075	0.4210	0.8581	0.034*
C11	0.94171 (11)	0.58472 (19)	0.78533 (9)	0.0323 (4)
H11	0.9735	0.6498	0.8180	0.039*
C12	0.93373 (11)	0.62687 (18)	0.71470 (9)	0.0310 (4)
H12	0.9590	0.7218	0.6986	0.037*
C13	0.88876 (10)	0.53029 (16)	0.66721 (9)	0.0248 (4)
H13	0.8828	0.5597	0.6185	0.030*
C14	0.81853 (11)	0.19677 (19)	0.78942 (8)	0.0311 (4)
H14A	0.8571	0.1108	0.7730	0.037*
H14B	0.7552	0.1842	0.7711	0.037*
H14C	0.8172	0.1981	0.8418	0.037*
N1	0.74373 (8)	0.35464 (14)	0.59463 (7)	0.0220 (3)
H1N	0.7283 (11)	0.448 (2)	0.6078 (9)	0.026*
O1	0.83366 (7)	0.15084 (11)	0.62885 (6)	0.0245 (3)

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0295 (8)	0.0138 (7)	0.0244 (7)	-0.0039 (6)	-0.0054 (6)	0.0032 (6)
C2	0.0308 (8)	0.0223 (8)	0.0362 (9)	-0.0009 (7)	-0.0051 (7)	0.0040 (7)
C3	0.0335 (9)	0.0373 (10)	0.0477 (10)	-0.0048 (8)	-0.0176 (8)	0.0095 (9)
C4	0.0563 (12)	0.0291 (9)	0.0410 (10)	-0.0117 (9)	-0.0223 (9)	0.0006 (9)
C5	0.0541 (11)	0.0221 (8)	0.0316 (9)	-0.0039 (8)	-0.0079 (8)	-0.0018 (8)
C6	0.0348 (8)	0.0204 (8)	0.0276 (8)	-0.0022 (7)	-0.0039 (7)	0.0007 (7)
C7	0.0189 (7)	0.0154 (7)	0.0248 (7)	-0.0018 (5)	0.0013 (6)	0.0015 (6)
C8	0.0172 (7)	0.0154 (7)	0.0284 (8)	0.0023 (5)	-0.0008 (6)	-0.0020 (6)
C9	0.0183 (7)	0.0217 (7)	0.0294 (8)	0.0040 (6)	-0.0005 (6)	-0.0019 (7)
C10	0.0249 (8)	0.0316 (9)	0.0287 (8)	0.0065 (7)	-0.0055 (7)	-0.0063 (8)
C11	0.0246 (8)	0.0273 (8)	0.0452 (10)	0.0000 (7)	-0.0082 (7)	-0.0151 (8)
C12	0.0263 (8)	0.0190 (8)	0.0478 (10)	-0.0038 (6)	-0.0030 (7)	-0.0031 (8)
C13	0.0235 (7)	0.0176 (7)	0.0333 (8)	0.0001 (6)	-0.0013 (6)	0.0009 (7)
C14	0.0336 (9)	0.0299 (9)	0.0298 (8)	-0.0022 (7)	-0.0004 (7)	0.0052 (8)
N1	0.0261 (6)	0.0126 (6)	0.0273 (7)	0.0019 (5)	-0.0033 (6)	-0.0017 (6)
O1	0.0274 (5)	0.0124 (5)	0.0336 (6)	0.0014 (4)	-0.0033 (5)	-0.0018 (5)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

C1—C6	1.387 (2)	C8—C13	1.397 (2)
C1—C2	1.392 (2)	C8—C9	1.404 (2)
C1—N1	1.4180 (18)	C9—C10	1.393 (2)
C2—C3	1.385 (2)	C9—C14	1.507 (2)
C2—H2	0.9500	C10—C11	1.390 (2)
C3—C4	1.387 (3)	C10—H10	0.9500
C3—H3	0.9500	C11—C12	1.376 (2)
C4—C5	1.377 (3)	C11—H11	0.9500
C4—H4	0.9500	C12—C13	1.383 (2)
C5—C6	1.386 (2)	C12—H12	0.9500
C5—H5	0.9500	C13—H13	0.9500
C6—H6	0.9500	C14—H14A	0.9800
C7—O1	1.2375 (17)	C14—H14B	0.9800
C7—N1	1.3516 (18)	C14—H14C	0.9800
C7—C8	1.492 (2)	N1—H1N	0.878 (17)
C6—C1—C2	120.05 (14)	C10—C9—C8	117.51 (14)
C6—C1—N1	121.76 (13)	C10—C9—C14	120.47 (14)
C2—C1—N1	118.19 (13)	C8—C9—C14	122.01 (13)
C3—C2—C1	119.53 (16)	C11—C10—C9	121.78 (15)
C3—C2—H2	120.2	C11—C10—H10	119.1
C1—C2—H2	120.2	C9—C10—H10	119.1
C2—C3—C4	120.41 (16)	C12—C11—C10	120.01 (15)
C2—C3—H3	119.8	C12—C11—H11	120.0
C4—C3—H3	119.8	C10—C11—H11	120.0
C5—C4—C3	119.73 (16)	C11—C12—C13	119.66 (15)

C5—C4—H4	120.1	C11—C12—H12	120.2
C3—C4—H4	120.1	C13—C12—H12	120.2
C4—C5—C6	120.53 (17)	C12—C13—C8	120.56 (15)
C4—C5—H5	119.7	C12—C13—H13	119.7
C6—C5—H5	119.7	C8—C13—H13	119.7
C5—C6—C1	119.69 (15)	C9—C14—H14A	109.5
C5—C6—H6	120.2	C9—C14—H14B	109.5
C1—C6—H6	120.2	H14A—C14—H14B	109.5
O1—C7—N1	123.79 (13)	C9—C14—H14C	109.5
O1—C7—C8	121.65 (12)	H14A—C14—H14C	109.5
N1—C7—C8	114.53 (12)	H14B—C14—H14C	109.5
C13—C8—C9	120.44 (14)	C7—N1—C1	126.32 (12)
C13—C8—C7	118.16 (13)	C7—N1—H1N	114.9 (11)
C9—C8—C7	121.37 (13)	C1—N1—H1N	118.5 (11)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.6 (2)	C13—C8—C9—C14	179.07 (13)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-178.20 (14)	C7—C8—C9—C14	-2.9 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.5 (3)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-1.1 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-2.1 (3)	C14—C9—C10—C11	179.21 (14)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.7 (3)	C9—C10—C11—C12	2.0 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.4 (2)	C10—C11—C12—C13	-1.2 (2)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-2.0 (2)	C11—C12—C13—C8	-0.5 (2)
N1—C1—C6—C5	177.73 (14)	C9—C8—C13—C12	1.5 (2)
O1—C7—C8—C13	126.10 (15)	C7—C8—C13—C12	-176.65 (13)
N1—C7—C8—C13	-52.29 (17)	O1—C7—N1—C1	-0.2 (2)
O1—C7—C8—C9	-51.99 (19)	C8—C7—N1—C1	178.11 (13)
N1—C7—C8—C9	129.62 (14)	C6—C1—N1—C7	-35.5 (2)
C13—C8—C9—C10	-0.7 (2)	C2—C1—N1—C7	144.32 (15)
C7—C8—C9—C10	177.40 (13)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
N1—H1N \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.878 (17)	2.012 (18)	2.8751 (16)	167.7 (15)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+3/2, y+1/2, z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

