

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[[diaqua- μ_4 -tartrato- μ_2 -tartrato-dimanganese(II)] dihydrate]Chunhua Ge,^{a*} Zhen Zhao,^b Guangxi Han^a and Xiangdong Zhang^a^aCollege of Chemistry, Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Anshan Normal University, Anshan 114007, People's Republic of China


Correspondence e-mail: chhge@lnu.edu.cn

Received 10 December 2007; accepted 20 December 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$ Å; R factor = 0.028; wR factor = 0.074; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.8.

In the title compound, $[\text{Mn}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, the Mn^{2+} ion is connected to three different tartrate anions and a water molecule, resulting in a distorted MnO_6 octahedral geometry. There are two tartrate half-anions in the asymmetric unit, both of which are completed by crystallographic twofold rotation symmetry. The tartrate dianions bridge the Mn^{2+} ions to form a wave-like infinite layer. A series of $\text{O}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the layers into a three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Kam *et al.* (2007).

Experimental

Crystal data

 $[\text{Mn}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$
 $M_r = 239.04$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 11.029$ (3) Å $b = 7.3925$ (18) Å
 $c = 10.165$ (3) Å
 $\beta = 112.149$ (3)°
 $V = 767.6$ (3) Å³
 $Z = 4$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 1.74$ mm⁻¹ $T = 293$ (2) K $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.18$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2001)
 $T_{\min} = 0.661$, $T_{\max} = 0.739$ 3884 measured reflections
1507 independent reflections
1481 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.012$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.074$
 $S = 1.10$
1507 reflections118 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.45$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.69$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Mn1—O6	2.1036 (15)	Mn1—O1W	2.2018 (16)
Mn1—O1	2.1444 (15)	Mn1—O3	2.2230 (14)
Mn1—O5 ⁱ	2.1695 (15)	Mn1—O4 ⁱ	2.2518 (14)

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
O4—H4 ⁱ \cdots O2W ⁱⁱ	0.82	1.81	2.628 (2)	175
O3—H3A ⁱ \cdots O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82	1.75	2.561 (2)	173
O1W—H1WA ⁱ \cdots O2 ^{iv}	0.82	2.04	2.643 (2)	130
O2W—H2WA ⁱ \cdots O5 ^v	0.82	2.29	2.895 (2)	131
O2W—H2WB ⁱ \cdots O4 ⁱ	0.82	2.25	2.919 (3)	140

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + 1, y - 1, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $x, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x, y - 1, z$; (v) $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINTE*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997a); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997b); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This project was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Education Bureau of Liaoning Province (grant No. 05 L159).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2676).

References

- Bruker (2001). *SMART* (Version 5.624), *SAINTE* (Version 6.04) and *SADABS* (Version 2.03). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kam, K. C., Young, K. L. M. & Cheetham, A. K. (2007). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **7**, 1522–1532.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). *SHELXTL*. University of Göttingen, Germany.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m360 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807067839]

Poly[[diaqua- μ_4 -tartrato- μ_2 -tartrato-dimanganese(II)] dihydrate]

C. Ge, Z. Zhao, G. Han and X. Zhang

Comment

Researchers have been interested in the study of tartrate-based coordination polymers, which has resulted in the formation of many interesting structures (*e.g.* Kam *et al.*, 2007). The title compound, (I), is centrosymmetric (Fig. 1). The Mn(II) ion adopts a distorted MnO_6 octahedral geometry (Table 1).

In the crystal, one (*R,R*) and one (*S,S*) tartrate ligands coordinate with two metal ions to form a 'tetrameric' A ring (Fig. 2). Then, two (*R,R*), two (*S,S*) tartrate ligands and four metal ions form 'hexameric' B ring (Fig. 2). Overall, a layered, two-dimensional, coordination polymer arises. The layers encompass small channels occupied by the uncoordinated water molecules, which interact with the layers by way of O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds (Table 2).

Experimental

A mixture of aqueous $\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ (2 mmol), racemic tartaric acid (2 mmol) and NaOH (4 mmol) in 20 ml water was stirred for 2 h. The resulting solution was filtered and allowed to stand in air. Slow evaporation at room temperature for several weeks yielded yellow blocks of (I).

Refinement

The H atoms were located in a different map, relocated in idealized positions (C—H = 0.98 Å, O—H = 0.82 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. View of (I), showing displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability level (arbitrary spheres for the H atoms). Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y, 1/2 - z$; (ii) $1 - x, y, 3/2 - z$; (iii) $x, -y, z - 1/2$.




Fig. 2. View of the layered network in (I) along [010] direction, with the A and B rings indicated (see text).

Poly[[diaqua- μ_4 -tartrato- μ_2 -tartrato-dimanganese(II)] dihydrate]

Crystal data

$[\text{Mn}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$F_{000} = 484$
$M_r = 239.04$	$D_x = 2.068 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2yc	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 11.029 (3) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 456 reflections
$b = 7.3925 (18) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.8\text{--}22.3^\circ$
$c = 10.165 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 1.74 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 112.149 (3)^\circ$	$T = 293 (2) \text{ K}$
$V = 767.6 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	Block, yellow
$Z = 4$	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	1507 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1481 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.012$
$T = 293(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0^\circ$
ω scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	$h = -12 \rightarrow 13$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.661, T_{\text{max}} = 0.739$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 5$
3884 measured reflections	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.074$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0399P)^2 + 0.6888P]$
$S = 1.11$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1507 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
118 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.45 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.69 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Mn1	0.25422 (3)	0.14754 (4)	0.41123 (3)	0.01934 (13)
C1	0.13897 (18)	0.5035 (3)	0.43625 (19)	0.0179 (4)
C2	0.07525 (17)	0.4815 (2)	0.27492 (18)	0.0162 (4)
H2	0.1024	0.5821	0.2294	0.019*
C3	0.42697 (17)	-0.2562 (3)	0.74009 (19)	0.0181 (4)
H3	0.3829	-0.3503	0.6706	0.022*
C4	0.35823 (17)	-0.0765 (3)	0.68319 (19)	0.0200 (4)
O1	0.20895 (14)	0.3801 (2)	0.51027 (14)	0.0251 (3)
O2	0.11465 (16)	0.65022 (18)	0.48441 (15)	0.0242 (3)
O3	0.11895 (14)	0.31700 (19)	0.23691 (14)	0.0212 (3)
H3A	0.1245	0.3256	0.1590	0.032*
O4	0.41270 (13)	-0.30125 (19)	0.87015 (14)	0.0206 (3)
H4	0.4041	-0.4114	0.8703	0.031*
O5	0.28552 (14)	-0.00821 (19)	0.73884 (15)	0.0241 (3)
O6	0.37862 (15)	-0.0127 (2)	0.57879 (16)	0.0315 (4)
O1W	0.08037 (15)	-0.0100 (2)	0.39729 (17)	0.0306 (3)
H1WA	0.0662	-0.1156	0.3707	0.046*
H1WB	0.0915	-0.0298	0.4808	0.046*
O2W	0.6263 (2)	0.3474 (2)	0.6453 (2)	0.0511 (5)
H2WA	0.6577	0.2909	0.7200	0.077*
H2WB	0.5735	0.2819	0.5859	0.077*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Mn1	0.02154 (19)	0.01935 (19)	0.01749 (19)	0.00330 (10)	0.00775 (13)	0.00311 (10)
C1	0.0182 (8)	0.0198 (9)	0.0160 (9)	-0.0034 (7)	0.0067 (7)	-0.0012 (7)
C2	0.0180 (9)	0.0170 (9)	0.0139 (8)	0.0015 (7)	0.0064 (7)	0.0005 (7)
C3	0.0175 (9)	0.0200 (9)	0.0165 (8)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0060 (7)	0.0010 (7)
C4	0.0158 (8)	0.0228 (10)	0.0182 (9)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0028 (7)	0.0040 (7)
O1	0.0303 (8)	0.0254 (7)	0.0158 (7)	0.0064 (6)	0.0045 (6)	0.0005 (5)
O2	0.0358 (8)	0.0200 (7)	0.0177 (7)	0.0007 (6)	0.0110 (6)	-0.0020 (5)

supplementary materials

O3	0.0274 (7)	0.0235 (7)	0.0143 (6)	0.0079 (6)	0.0095 (5)	0.0012 (5)
O4	0.0242 (7)	0.0193 (7)	0.0208 (7)	0.0014 (5)	0.0112 (5)	0.0051 (5)
O5	0.0274 (7)	0.0215 (7)	0.0261 (7)	0.0038 (6)	0.0131 (6)	0.0038 (5)
O6	0.0261 (7)	0.0416 (9)	0.0294 (8)	0.0111 (6)	0.0136 (6)	0.0194 (7)
O1W	0.0302 (8)	0.0250 (8)	0.0336 (8)	-0.0013 (6)	0.0086 (6)	0.0057 (6)
O2W	0.0643 (13)	0.0254 (9)	0.0473 (12)	0.0007 (8)	0.0024 (10)	0.0043 (7)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Mn1—O6	2.1036 (15)	C3—C4	1.530 (3)
Mn1—O1	2.1444 (15)	C3—C3 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.546 (3)
Mn1—O5 ⁱ	2.1695 (15)	C3—H3	0.9800
Mn1—O1W	2.2018 (16)	C4—O5	1.249 (2)
Mn1—O3	2.2230 (14)	C4—O6	1.257 (2)
Mn1—O4 ⁱ	2.2518 (14)	O3—H3A	0.8199
C1—O1	1.247 (2)	O4—Mn1 ^{iv}	2.2518 (14)
C1—O2	1.260 (2)	O4—H4	0.8198
C1—C2	1.530 (2)	O5—Mn1 ^{iv}	2.1695 (15)
C2—O3	1.415 (2)	O1W—H1WA	0.8215
C2—C2 ⁱⁱ	1.542 (3)	O1W—H1WB	0.8237
C2—H2	0.9800	O2W—H2WA	0.8201
C3—O4	1.429 (2)	O2W—H2WB	0.8201
O6—Mn1—O1	105.52 (6)	C2 ⁱⁱ —C2—H2	109.1
O6—Mn1—O5 ⁱ	97.63 (6)	O4—C3—C4	109.91 (15)
O1—Mn1—O5 ⁱ	153.64 (6)	O4—C3—C3 ⁱⁱⁱ	110.62 (18)
O6—Mn1—O1W	92.32 (6)	C4—C3—C3 ⁱⁱⁱ	113.12 (11)
O1—Mn1—O1W	95.92 (6)	O4—C3—H3	107.7
O5 ⁱ —Mn1—O1W	95.55 (6)	C4—C3—H3	107.7
O6—Mn1—O3	178.49 (5)	C3 ⁱⁱⁱ —C3—H3	107.7
O1—Mn1—O3	73.58 (5)	O5—C4—O6	125.50 (19)
O5 ⁱ —Mn1—O3	83.51 (5)	O5—C4—C3	119.39 (16)
O1W—Mn1—O3	86.58 (6)	O6—C4—C3	115.08 (17)
O6—Mn1—O4 ⁱ	96.86 (6)	C1—O1—Mn1	120.25 (12)
O1—Mn1—O4 ⁱ	90.96 (6)	C2—O3—Mn1	117.52 (10)
O5 ⁱ —Mn1—O4 ⁱ	73.67 (5)	C2—O3—H3A	110.8
O1W—Mn1—O4 ⁱ	166.64 (6)	Mn1—O3—H3A	122.8
O3—Mn1—O4 ⁱ	84.40 (5)	C3—O4—Mn1 ^{iv}	114.70 (10)
O1—C1—O2	124.68 (17)	C3—O4—H4	106.7
O1—C1—C2	119.90 (16)	Mn1 ^{iv} —O4—H4	113.7
O2—C1—C2	115.41 (16)	C4—O5—Mn1 ^{iv}	119.92 (12)
O3—C2—C1	108.55 (14)	C4—O6—Mn1	128.71 (13)
O3—C2—C2 ⁱⁱ	110.22 (11)	Mn1—O1W—H1WA	125.2
C1—C2—C2 ⁱⁱ	110.78 (18)	Mn1—O1W—H1WB	103.9
O3—C2—H2	109.1	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	96.1

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

