

Bis{2-[2-(isopropylammonio)ethylimino-methyl]-6-methoxyphenolato}nickel(II) dithiocyanate

Hong-Bo Ma,^a Yan-Xia Jiang^b and Jun-Tao Lei^{c*}

^aDepartment of Nutrition, Jilin Medical College, Jilin 132013, People's Republic of China, ^bDepartment of Biochemistry, Jilin Medical College, Jilin 132013, People's Republic of China, and ^cDepartment of Pharmacopedics, Jilin Medical College, Jilin 132013, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jlcpcxb@yahoo.com.cn


Received 20 March 2008; accepted 25 March 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.007$ Å; R factor = 0.071; wR factor = 0.176; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.3.

The title complex, $[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)_2](\text{NCS})_2$, consists of a centrosymmetric mononuclear four-coordinate nickel(II) complex cation and two thiocyanate anions. The Ni atom is located on an inversion center and is coordinated by two phenol O atoms and two imine N atoms from two equivalent Schiff base ligands, in a square-planar geometry. In the crystal structure, the amino H atoms are involved in $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds with the phenol and methoxy O atoms of the ligand, and in $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ hydrogen bonds with the N atoms of the thiocyanate anions, which sit above and below the Ni atom.

Related literature

For background on the chemistry of Schiff base nickel(II) complexes, see: Marganian *et al.* (1995). For their biological activity, see: Harrop *et al.* (2003); Brückner *et al.* (2000); Ren *et al.* (2002). For thiocyanate-coordinated complexes, see: Bogdanović *et al.* (2005); Schottenfeld *et al.* (2007); Abul-Haj *et al.* (2000). For related structures, see: Arıcı *et al.* (2005); Diao (2007); Diao *et al.* (2007); Zhu *et al.* (2004); Van Hecke *et al.* (2007); de Castro *et al.* (2001).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)_2](\text{NCS})_2$	$V = 3196.7$ (12) Å ³
$M_r = 647.49$	$Z = 4$
Orthorhombic, $Pbca$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 13.520$ (2) Å	$\mu = 0.78$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 9.810$ (3) Å	$T = 298$ (2) K
$c = 24.102$ (3) Å	$0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer	24542 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	3863 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.841$, $T_{\max} = 0.860$	1895 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.110$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.070$	6 restraints
$wR(F^2) = 0.175$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.01$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.29$ e Å ⁻³
3863 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.38$ e Å ⁻³
190 parameters	

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N2}-\text{H2B}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{i}}$	0.90	2.34	3.068 (5)	138
$\text{N2}-\text{H2B}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{i}}$	0.90	1.88	2.664 (4)	145
$\text{N2}-\text{H2A}\cdots\text{N3}$	0.90	2.13	2.983 (6)	158

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, -y + 1, -z + 1$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The project was supported financially by Jilin Medical College.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2049).

References

- Abul-Haj, M., Quirós, M. & Salas, J. M. (2000). *Acta Cryst.* **C56**, 934–935.
- Ancı, C., Yüzer, D., Atakol, O., Fuess, H. & Svoboda, I. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* **E61**, m919–m921.
- Bogdanović, G. A., Jaćimović, Z. K. & Leovac, V. M. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* **C61**, m376–m379.
- Brückner, C., Rettig, S. J. & Dolphin, D. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* **39**, 6100–6106.
- Bruker (2001). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2007). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Castro, B. de, Freire, C., Duarte, M. T., Minas da Piedade, M. F. & Santos, I. C. (2001). *Acta Cryst.* **C57**, 370–372.
- Diao, Y.-P. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m1453–m1454.
- Diao, Y.-P., Wang, Y.-Z., Wang, M.-D. & Li, K. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m2494.
- Harrop, T. C., Olmstead, M. M. & Mascharak, P. K. (2003). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 410–411.
- Marganian, C. A., Vazir, H., Baidya, N., Olmstead, M. M. & Mascharak, P. K. (1995). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **117**, 1584–1594.
- Ren, S., Wang, R., Komatsu, K., Bonaz-Krause, P., Zyrianov, Y., McKenna, C. E., Cspike, C., Tokes, Z. A. & Lien, E. J. (2002). *J. Med. Chem.* **45**, 410–419.

metal-organic compounds

Schottenfeld, J., Rarig, R. S., Zubieta, J. & LaDuca, R. L. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m278–m280.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.

Van Hecke, K., Nockemann, P., Binnemans, K. & Van Meervelt, L. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m569–m571.
Zhu, B., Ruang, W. & Zhu, Z. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **E60**, m634–m636.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m597-m598 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808008052]

Bis{2-[2-(isopropylammonio)ethyliminomethyl]-6-methoxyphenolato}nickel(II) dithiocyanate

H.-B. Ma, Y.-X. Jiang and J.-T. Lei

Comment

Nickel(II) complexes derived from Schiff bases have been widely studied (Marganian *et al.*, 1995). Some of them have been found to have pharmacological and antitumor properties (Harrop *et al.*, 2003; Brückner *et al.*, 2000; Ren *et al.*, 2002). The thiocyanate ligand displays a number of coordination modes and has become one of the most extensively studied building blocks in the synthesis of complexes (Bogdanović *et al.*, 2005; Schottenfeld *et al.*, 2007; Abul-Haj *et al.*, 2000), however, the thiocyanate group acting as a counterion in complexes has seldom been reported. We report herein the crystal structure of the title nickel(II) complex (I).

Complex (I) consists of a centrosymmetric mononuclear four-coordinated nickel(II) complex molecule and two thiocyanate anions (Fig. 1). The Ni atom is located on an inversion center and coordinated, by two phenolic O atoms and two imine N atoms from two equivalent Schiff base ligands, in a square planar geometry. The thiocyanate anions act as counterions and are not coordinate to the nickel(II) atom (Fig. 1). All the coordinate bond values are similar to those observed in other Schiff base nickel(II) complexes (Arıcı *et al.*, 2005; Diao, 2007; Diao *et al.*, 2007; Zhu *et al.*, 2004; Van Hecke *et al.*, 2007; de Castro *et al.*, 2001).

In the crystal structure of (I) the amino H-atoms are involved in N-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds with the phenolic and methoxy O atoms of the ligand, and in N-H \cdots N hydrogen bonds with the N-atom of the thiocyanate anions, which sit above and below the nickel atom (Table 1).

Experimental

3-Methoxysalicylaldehyde (1.0 mmol, 152.0 mg), *N*-isopropylethane-1,2-diamine (1.0 mmol, 122.2 mg), ammonium thiocyanate (1.0 mmol, 76.0 mg), and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.5 mmol, 145.0 mg) were dissolved in methanol (50 ml). The mixture was stirred at reflux for 2h to give a reddish solution. After keeping the solution in air for a few days, red block-like crystals were formed.

Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with d(N—H) = 0.90 Å, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$, and d(C—H) = 0.93 - 0.97 Å, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2$ or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of complex (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

Bis{2-[2-(isopropylammonio)ethyliminomethyl]-6-methoxyphenolato}nickel(II) dithiocyanate

Crystal data

$[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)_2](\text{NCS})_2$

$M_r = 647.49$

Orthorhombic, *Pbca*

$a = 13.520(2) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 9.810(3) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 24.102(3) \text{ \AA}$

$V = 3196.7(12) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F_{000} = 1368$

$D_x = 1.345 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 1440 reflections

$\theta = 2.3\text{--}24.6^\circ$

$\mu = 0.78 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 298(2) \text{ K}$

Block, red

$0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 298(2) \text{ K}$

ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)

$T_{\min} = 0.841$, $T_{\max} = 0.860$

24542 measured reflections

3863 independent reflections

1895 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.110$

$\theta_{\max} = 28.3^\circ$

$\theta_{\min} = 1.7^\circ$

$h = -17 \rightarrow 17$

$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$

$l = -31 \rightarrow 31$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.070$

$wR(F^2) = 0.175$

$S = 1.01$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0594P)^2 + 2.0547P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$

3863 reflections $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 190 parameters $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 6 restraints Extinction correction: none
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Ni1	0.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.0503 (3)
O1	0.0862 (2)	0.5589 (3)	0.55717 (11)	0.0595 (8)
O2	0.1713 (2)	0.7110 (3)	0.62919 (13)	0.0679 (9)
S1	0.12952 (16)	0.8799 (3)	0.34887 (10)	0.1446 (9)
N1	0.1017 (2)	0.3634 (3)	0.47434 (13)	0.0462 (8)
N2	0.0395 (3)	0.4013 (3)	0.35925 (13)	0.0511 (9)
H2A	0.0740	0.4743	0.3710	0.061*
H2B	-0.0197	0.4034	0.3763	0.061*
N3	0.1018 (5)	0.6596 (5)	0.4145 (3)	0.123 (2)
C1	0.1838 (3)	0.5537 (4)	0.55731 (16)	0.0479 (10)
C2	0.2397 (3)	0.4670 (4)	0.52411 (17)	0.0474 (10)
C3	0.3429 (3)	0.4673 (5)	0.5277 (2)	0.0566 (12)
H3	0.3799	0.4086	0.5056	0.068*
C4	0.3893 (3)	0.5529 (5)	0.5634 (2)	0.0659 (13)
H4	0.4581	0.5543	0.5648	0.079*
C5	0.3353 (3)	0.6389 (5)	0.59804 (19)	0.0610 (13)
H5	0.3678	0.6967	0.6226	0.073*
C6	0.2337 (3)	0.6377 (4)	0.59571 (17)	0.0523 (11)
C7	0.2135 (4)	0.8054 (5)	0.6670 (2)	0.0850 (16)
H7A	0.2530	0.7573	0.6937	0.127*
H7B	0.1617	0.8540	0.6857	0.127*
H7C	0.2544	0.8687	0.6471	0.127*
C8	0.1937 (3)	0.3693 (4)	0.48742 (15)	0.0493 (11)
H8	0.2349	0.3040	0.4717	0.059*
C9	0.0744 (3)	0.2481 (4)	0.43785 (17)	0.0553 (11)
H9A	0.0050	0.2267	0.4433	0.066*
H9B	0.1126	0.1686	0.4484	0.066*

supplementary materials

C10	0.0920 (3)	0.2777 (4)	0.37715 (17)	0.0560 (11)
H10A	0.1623	0.2892	0.3707	0.067*
H10B	0.0697	0.2009	0.3551	0.067*
C11	0.0231 (4)	0.4150 (5)	0.29841 (17)	0.0681 (14)
H11	-0.0102	0.3323	0.2853	0.082*
C12	0.1198 (4)	0.4270 (7)	0.2686 (2)	0.119 (2)
H12A	0.1559	0.5037	0.2829	0.178*
H12B	0.1080	0.4397	0.2297	0.178*
H12C	0.1577	0.3453	0.2741	0.178*
C13	-0.0449 (5)	0.5349 (6)	0.2881 (2)	0.0964 (19)
H13A	-0.1083	0.5172	0.3045	0.145*
H13B	-0.0526	0.5481	0.2489	0.145*
H13C	-0.0169	0.6155	0.3043	0.145*
C14	0.1096 (5)	0.7465 (7)	0.3872 (3)	0.095 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Ni1	0.0405 (4)	0.0602 (5)	0.0501 (4)	0.0056 (4)	-0.0042 (4)	-0.0156 (4)
O1	0.0406 (17)	0.084 (2)	0.0535 (18)	0.0023 (15)	0.0001 (14)	-0.0189 (16)
O2	0.066 (2)	0.069 (2)	0.068 (2)	-0.0026 (17)	-0.0098 (17)	-0.0192 (18)
S1	0.1218 (16)	0.166 (2)	0.1462 (18)	0.0331 (15)	0.0288 (14)	0.0599 (16)
N1	0.049 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.0408 (19)	-0.0021 (17)	0.0034 (16)	0.0038 (16)
N2	0.057 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.045 (2)	0.0072 (18)	0.0093 (17)	-0.0007 (17)
N3	0.162 (5)	0.062 (3)	0.147 (5)	0.011 (3)	-0.065 (4)	0.008 (3)
C1	0.046 (3)	0.053 (2)	0.045 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.004 (2)	0.008 (2)
C2	0.049 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.043 (2)	0.004 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.0088 (19)
C3	0.042 (3)	0.067 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.004 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.007 (2)
C4	0.045 (3)	0.082 (3)	0.071 (3)	-0.003 (3)	-0.006 (2)	0.014 (3)
C5	0.061 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.059 (3)	-0.015 (2)	-0.016 (2)	0.017 (2)
C6	0.052 (3)	0.052 (3)	0.053 (3)	-0.003 (2)	-0.005 (2)	0.008 (2)
C7	0.107 (4)	0.069 (3)	0.079 (4)	-0.008 (3)	-0.023 (3)	-0.013 (3)
C8	0.054 (3)	0.056 (3)	0.038 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.0101 (19)	0.0086 (19)
C9	0.071 (3)	0.045 (2)	0.051 (3)	0.004 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.001 (2)
C10	0.070 (3)	0.048 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.012 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.004 (2)
C11	0.095 (4)	0.065 (3)	0.044 (3)	0.010 (3)	-0.001 (3)	-0.001 (2)
C12	0.141 (6)	0.157 (6)	0.058 (4)	0.035 (5)	0.041 (4)	0.028 (4)
C13	0.137 (5)	0.080 (4)	0.072 (4)	0.032 (4)	-0.025 (4)	0.007 (3)
C14	0.095 (4)	0.075 (4)	0.116 (5)	0.015 (4)	-0.039 (4)	-0.022 (4)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Ni1—O1 ⁱ	1.895 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
Ni1—O1	1.895 (3)	C5—C6	1.374 (6)
Ni1—N1 ⁱ	2.017 (3)	C5—H5	0.9300
Ni1—N1	2.017 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9600
O1—C1	1.320 (5)	C7—H7B	0.9600
O2—C6	1.371 (5)	C7—H7C	0.9600

O2—C7	1.418 (5)	C8—H8	0.9300
S1—C14	1.624 (8)	C9—C10	1.511 (5)
N1—C8	1.285 (5)	C9—H9A	0.9700
N1—C9	1.479 (5)	C9—H9B	0.9700
N2—C10	1.470 (5)	C10—H10A	0.9700
N2—C11	1.489 (5)	C10—H10B	0.9700
N2—H2A	0.9000	C11—C12	1.497 (7)
N2—H2B	0.9000	C11—C13	1.513 (7)
N3—C14	1.082 (7)	C11—H11	0.9800
C1—C2	1.391 (6)	C12—H12A	0.9600
C1—C6	1.412 (5)	C12—H12B	0.9600
C2—C3	1.399 (6)	C12—H12C	0.9600
C2—C8	1.445 (6)	C13—H13A	0.9600
C3—C4	1.356 (6)	C13—H13B	0.9600
C3—H3	0.9300	C13—H13C	0.9600
C4—C5	1.393 (6)		
O1 ⁱ —Ni1—O1	180.000 (1)	O2—C7—H7C	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Ni1—N1 ⁱ	90.38 (13)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
O1—Ni1—N1 ⁱ	89.62 (13)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Ni1—N1	89.62 (13)	N1—C8—C2	126.7 (4)
O1—Ni1—N1	90.38 (13)	N1—C8—H8	116.7
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—N1	180.00 (17)	C2—C8—H8	116.7
C1—O1—Ni1	127.2 (3)	N1—C9—C10	112.9 (3)
C6—O2—C7	118.2 (4)	N1—C9—H9A	109.0
C8—N1—C9	115.0 (4)	C10—C9—H9A	109.0
C8—N1—Ni1	123.7 (3)	N1—C9—H9B	109.0
C9—N1—Ni1	121.4 (3)	C10—C9—H9B	109.0
C10—N2—C11	115.8 (3)	H9A—C9—H9B	107.8
C10—N2—H2A	108.3	N2—C10—C9	111.5 (3)
C11—N2—H2A	108.3	N2—C10—H10A	109.3
C10—N2—H2B	108.3	C9—C10—H10A	109.3
C11—N2—H2B	108.3	N2—C10—H10B	109.3
H2A—N2—H2B	107.4	C9—C10—H10B	109.3
O1—C1—C2	124.4 (4)	H10A—C10—H10B	108.0
O1—C1—C6	117.2 (4)	N2—C11—C12	110.4 (4)
C2—C1—C6	118.3 (4)	N2—C11—C13	108.8 (4)
C1—C2—C3	120.3 (4)	C12—C11—C13	113.0 (5)
C1—C2—C8	121.6 (4)	N2—C11—H11	108.2
C3—C2—C8	118.0 (4)	C12—C11—H11	108.2
C4—C3—C2	120.2 (4)	C13—C11—H11	108.2
C4—C3—H3	119.9	C11—C12—H12A	109.5
C2—C3—H3	119.9	C11—C12—H12B	109.5
C3—C4—C5	120.8 (4)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C3—C4—H4	119.6	C11—C12—H12C	109.5
C5—C4—H4	119.6	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C6—C5—C4	119.6 (4)	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
C6—C5—H5	120.2	C11—C13—H13A	109.5
C4—C5—H5	120.2	C11—C13—H13B	109.5

supplementary materials

O2—C6—C5	125.9 (4)	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
O2—C6—C1	113.4 (4)	C11—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C6—C1	120.7 (4)	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
O2—C7—H7A	109.5	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
O2—C7—H7B	109.5	N3—C14—S1	175.4 (7)
H7A—C7—H7B	109.5		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y+1, -z+1$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

<i>D—H\cdotsA</i>	<i>D—H</i>	<i>H\cdotsA</i>	<i>D\cdotsA</i>	<i>D—H\cdotsA</i>
N2—H2B \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.90	2.34	3.068 (5)	138
N2—H2B \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.90	1.88	2.664 (4)	145
N2—H2A \cdots N3	0.90	2.13	2.983 (6)	158

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y+1, -z+1$.

Fig. 1

