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The chemical name in the title, the formula and the scheme of the paper by Gu, Hao, Song, Lin \& Ma [Acta Cryst. (2008), E64, m649-m650] are corrected.

In the paper by Gu, Hao, Song, Lin \& Ma [Acta Cryst. (2008), E64, m649-m650], the chemical name in the title, the formula and the scheme are incorrect. The correct title should be 'Poly[aquasesqui( $\mu_{2}$-oxalato)(4-oxidopyridinium)erbium(III)]', $\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{1.5}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n}$, and the correct scheme is shown below. Note the revised oxidation state for erbium(III) which is given as erbium(II) twice in the original $A b s t r a c t$.
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Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T=296 \mathrm{~K}$; mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$; disorder in main residue; $R$ factor $=0.015 ; w R$ factor $=0.037$; data-to-parameter ratio $=13.0$.

The title complex, $\left[\operatorname{Er}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n}$, is a new erbium polymer based on oxalate and 4-oxidopyridinium ligands. The $\mathrm{Er}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center is coordinated by six O atoms from three oxalate ligands, one O atom from a 4-oxidopyridinium ligand and one water molecule, and displays a distorted square-antiprismatic coordination geometry. The oxalate ligands are both chelating and bridging, and link $\mathrm{Er}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions, forming Er -oxalate layers in which the attached water and 4-oxidopyridinium units point alternately up and down. A mirror plane passes through the Er atom, one C, the oxide O and two oxalate O atoms. The layers are assembled into a three-dimensional supramolecular network via intermolecular hydrogen bonding and $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions [centroidcentroid distances of 3.587 (2) A between parallel pyridinium rings]. Both the water molecule and the 4 -oxidopyridinium ligand are disordered over two sites in a 1:1 ratio.

## Related literature

For related literature, see: Yaghi et al. $(1998,2003)$; Serre et al. (2004); James (2003).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$
$V=1137.91(3) \AA^{3}$
$M_{r}=412.41$
$Z=4$
Monoclinic, C2/m
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$a=16.8649$ (2) A
$\mu=7.41 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$b=11.1863$ (2) $\AA$
$T=296$ (2) K
$c=6.5152$ (1) A
$0.21 \times 0.19 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\beta=112.213$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$
Data collection
Bruker APEXII area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.241, T_{\text {max }}=0.392$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.014 \quad \mathrm{H}$ atoms treated by a mixture of
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.036 \quad$ independent and constrained
$S=1.16$ refinement
1365 reflections
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.53 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \mathrm{~A}^{-3}$
105 parameters
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.88 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$

7274 measured reflections 1365 independent reflections 1341 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.022$

39 restraints
Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 $W-\mathrm{H} 2 W \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.818(10)$ | $2.03(4)$ | $2.769(4)$ | $149(8)$ |
| O1 $^{\mathrm{i}} W-\mathrm{H} 1 W \cdots 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.818(10)$ | $2.18(6)$ | $2.729(4)$ | $124(6)$ |
| N1-H6 $\mathrm{O}^{4 \mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.86 | 2.41 | $3.041(3)$ | 130 |
| N1-H6 $\cdots$ O $^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.86 | 2.02 | $2.794(3)$ | 150 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $-x,-y+1,-z$; (iii) $-x, y,-z$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors thank Guang Dong Ocean University for supporting this study.

## metal-organic compounds

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZL2097).
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# Poly[aqua( $\mu_{2}$-oxalato)(4-oxidopyridinium)erbium(II)] 

Chang-Sheng Gu, Xiao-Min Hao, Wen-Dong Song, Hai-Sheng Lin and De-Yun Ma

## S1. Comment

The use of multifunctional organic linker molecules to polymerize metal centers into open-framework materials has led to the development of a rich field of chemistry (Yaghi et al., 1998, 2003; Serre et al., 2004; James, 2003) owing to the potential applications of these materials in catalysis, separation, gas storage and molecular recognition. Among such novel open-framework materials, lanthanide oxalates are particularly noteworthy. The wide variety of coordination modes of the oxalate anion permits the use of metal-oxalate units as excellent building blocks to construct a great diversity of frameworks ranging from discrete oligomeric entities to one-, two- and three-dimensional networks. Recently, we obtained the title erbium polymer (I), and its crystal structure is reported here.
The Er ${ }^{\text {II }}$ centre in the title compound exhibits a distorted square-antiprismatic coordination geometry, defined by six O atoms from three oxalate ligands, one O atom from the 4-oxidopyridinium ligand and one water molecule (Fig. 1). The oxalate ligands exhibit bidentate O atoms and link to the $\mathrm{Er}^{\text {II }}$ ions in a bridging mode to adjacent metal centres with ErEr distances of 6.153 (2) Å and 6.112 (3) Å, respectively, thus forming Er-oxalate layers with the attached water and the 4-oxidopyridinium units that are alternatingly pointing up and down (Fig. 2). The layers are assembled into a threedimensional supramolecular network via intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding interactions (Table 1) involving the coordinated water molecules, N -protonated 4-hydroxypyridine, the hydroxy O atoms and the oxalate O atoms. They are also stabilized by $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions with centroid to centroid distances of 3.587 (2)A\% between parallel pyridinium rings of neighboring complexes (at $-x, y, 1-z$ ). The coordinated water molecule and the 4oxidopyridinium ligands are located close to a mirror plane perpendicular to the b -axis of the unit cell and are disordered across this plane in a one to one ratio. As stated above the water molecules are engaged in hydrogen bonding to the hydroxide O atom O 1 and to oxalate atom O 2 (Table 1), and the orientation of the hydrogen $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bond is equivalent but opposite for the two different disordered moieties, thus causing the disorder observed for the water molecule. The hydrogen bond formed by the 4-oxidopyridinium moiety is directed to either of the two symmetry equivalent oxalate oxygen atoms O 4 (Table 1), and formation of either of the two H bonds is again responsible for the presence of the disorder observed.

## S2. Experimental

A mixture of $\mathrm{Er}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, oxalic acid ( 1 mmol ), 4-hydroxypyridine ( 1 mmol ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was placed in a 23 ml Teflon reactor, which was heated to 433 K for three days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of $10 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The crystals obtained were washed with water and dryed in air.

## S3. Refinement

In the initial refinement with disorder not taken into account both the water molecule and the 4-oxidopyridinium moiety showed significantly elongated thermal ellipsoids indicating disorder, and they were thus refined as being disordered over
two positions across a crystallographic mirror plane perpendicular to the b-axis. The ADPs of the disordered atoms were restrained to be close to isotropic and those of equivalent atoms were set to be identical. Carbon-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions and were treated as riding on the parent C atoms with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86 \AA$ and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N})$; Water H atoms were tentatively located in difference Fourier maps and were refined with distance restraints of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.85 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}=1.39 \AA$, each within a standard deviation of $0.01 \AA$, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})$.


Figure 1
The structure of the title compound, showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
A layer view of (I). Hydrogen bonds are depicted as broken lines.
Poly[aqua( $\mu_{2}$-oxalato)(4-oxidopyridinium)erbium(II)]

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=412.41$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / m$
Hall symbol: -C 2 y
$a=16.8649$ (2) $\AA$
$b=11.1863(2) \AA$
$c=6.5152(1) \AA$
$\beta=112.213(1)^{\circ}$
$V=1137.91(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Bruker APEXII area-detector diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
$F(000)=776$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=2.407 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 8000 reflections
$\theta=1.7-26.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=7.41 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, white
$0.21 \times 0.19 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.241, T_{\text {max }}=0.392$
7274 measured reflections
1365 independent reflections
1341 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.022 \\
& \theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=2.2^{\circ} \\
& h=-21 \rightarrow 18
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.014$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.036$
$S=1.16$
1365 reflections
105 parameters
39 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=-14 \rightarrow 14 \\
& l=-8 \rightarrow 8
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.019 P)^{2}+1.5595 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.53$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.88$ e $\AA^{-3}$
map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.019 P)^{2}+1.5595 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.53$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.88$ e $\AA^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors $(\mathrm{gt})$ etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ | Occ. $(<1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $-0.02721(14)$ | $0.4755(4)$ | $0.2392(5)$ | $0.0325(18)$ | 0.50 |
| H6 | -0.0815 | 0.4701 | 0.2056 | $0.039^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| C1 | $0.0218(3)$ | $0.3724(4)$ | $0.2667(9)$ | $0.0358(13)$ | 0.50 |
| H1 | -0.0041 | 0.2977 | 0.2487 | $0.043^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| C2 | $0.1096(3)$ | $0.3811(8)$ | $0.3210(9)$ | $0.0381(10)$ | 0.50 |
| H2 | 0.1425 | 0.3121 | 0.3394 | $0.046^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| C3 | $0.14844(16)$ | $0.4927(10)$ | $0.3478(5)$ | $0.0326(13)$ | 0.50 |
| C4 | $0.0994(4)$ | $0.5958(8)$ | $0.3203(9)$ | $0.0381(10)$ | 0.50 |
| H4 | 0.1254 | 0.6705 | 0.3383 | $0.046^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| C5 | $0.0116(4)$ | $0.5871(4)$ | $0.2660(9)$ | $0.0358(13)$ | 0.50 |
| H5 | -0.0212 | 0.6561 | 0.2476 | $0.043^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| O1W | $0.3241(2)$ | $0.4753(8)$ | $-0.1619(6)$ | $0.040(3)$ | 0.50 |
| H1W | $0.358(4)$ | $0.438(6)$ | $-0.200(10)$ | $0.060^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| H2W | $0.291(4)$ | $0.506(8)$ | $-0.276(7)$ | $0.060^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| C6 | $0.20541(15)$ | $0.2749(2)$ | $-0.0746(4)$ | $0.0252(5)$ |  |
| C7 | $0.4856(2)$ | 0.5000 | $0.5987(5)$ | $0.0212(6)$ |  |
| Er1 | $0.311393(8)$ | 0.5000 | $0.17883(2)$ | $0.01752(6)$ |  |
| O1 | $0.23010(16)$ | 0.5000 | $0.3883(4)$ | $0.0347(6)$ |  |
| O2 | $0.40635(16)$ | 0.5000 | $0.5514(4)$ | $0.0313(6)$ |  |
| O3 | $0.54305(16)$ | 0.5000 | $0.7871(4)$ | $0.0324(6)$ |  |
| O4 | $0.19303(11)$ | $0.38280(16)$ | $-0.0507(3)$ | $0.0313(4)$ |  |


| O5 | $0.15399(12)$ | $0.20337(17)$ | $-0.2053(3)$ | $0.0338(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(A^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.0174(18)$ | $0.056(6)$ | $0.0245(17)$ | $-0.0017(19)$ | $0.0082(14)$ | $-0.0025(18)$ |
| C1 | $0.0264(18)$ | $0.052(4)$ | $0.0305(15)$ | $-0.0077(17)$ | $0.0121(13)$ | $-0.0001(17)$ |
| C2 | $0.0235(17)$ | $0.059(3)$ | $0.0322(15)$ | $-0.011(2)$ | $0.0107(13)$ | $0.005(2)$ |
| C3 | $0.0204(19)$ | $0.062(4)$ | $0.0147(15)$ | $0.008(5)$ | $0.0055(14)$ | $-0.008(5)$ |
| C4 | $0.0235(17)$ | $0.059(3)$ | $0.0322(15)$ | $-0.011(2)$ | $0.0107(13)$ | $0.005(2)$ |
| C5 | $0.0264(18)$ | $0.052(4)$ | $0.0305(15)$ | $-0.0077(17)$ | $0.0121(13)$ | $-0.0001(17)$ |
| O1W | $0.0155(15)$ | $0.089(9)$ | $0.0155(13)$ | $0.001(2)$ | $0.0049(11)$ | $0.002(2)$ |
| C6 | $0.0150(12)$ | $0.0301(11)$ | $0.0257(12)$ | $0.0024(9)$ | $0.0021(10)$ | $-0.0033(9)$ |
| C7 | $0.0120(15)$ | $0.0322(16)$ | $0.0177(14)$ | 0.000 | $0.0036(12)$ | 0.000 |
| Er1 | $0.00977(9)$ | $0.02597(9)$ | $0.01457(8)$ | 0.000 | $0.00206(6)$ | 0.000 |
| O1 | $0.0134(12)$ | $0.0683(19)$ | $0.0206(12)$ | 0.000 | $0.0044(10)$ | 0.000 |
| O2 | $0.0111(11)$ | $0.0639(18)$ | $0.0177(11)$ | 0.000 | $0.0041(9)$ | 0.000 |
| O3 | $0.0122(12)$ | $0.0645(18)$ | $0.0186(12)$ | 0.000 | $0.0038(10)$ | 0.000 |
| O4 | $0.0161(9)$ | $0.0284(8)$ | $0.0392(10)$ | $0.0039(7)$ | $-0.0011(8)$ | $-0.0073(7)$ |
| O5 | $0.0187(9)$ | $0.0307(9)$ | $0.0374(10)$ | $0.0035(8)$ | $-0.0058(7)$ | $-0.0064(8)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| N1-C1 | 1.3900 | C6-O5 | 1.249 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1-C5 | 1.3900 | C6- $\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | 1.553 (5) |
| N1-H6 | 0.8600 | C7-O3 | 1.243 (4) |
| C1-C2 | 1.3900 | C7-O2 | 1.253 (4) |
| C1-H1 | 0.9300 | $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 1.537 (6) |
| C2-C3 | 1.3900 | Er1-O1 | 2.271 (3) |
| C2-H2 | 0.9300 | Er1-O1W ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.326 (3) |
| C3-O1 | 1.303 (3) | Er1-O5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.3388 (19) |
| C3-C4 | 1.3900 | Er1-O5 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.3388 (19) |
| C4-C5 | 1.3900 | Er1-O2 | 2.349 (2) |
| C4-H4 | 0.9300 | Er1-O3 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.380 (2) |
| C5-H5 | 0.9300 | Er1-O4 | 2.3839 (17) |
| O1W-Er1 | 2.326 (3) | Er1-O4 $4^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.3839 (17) |
| O1W-H1W | 0.818 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 3{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 1.303 (4) |
| O1W-H2W | 0.818 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Er} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.380 (2) |
| C6-O4 | 1.245 (3) | O5-Er1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.3388 (19) |
| C1-N1-C5 | 120.0 | O1W-Er1-O5 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 94.3 (2) |
| C1-N1-H6 | 120.0 | O5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}$ | 153.17 (9) |
| C5-N1-H6 | 120.0 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 73.12 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 120.0 | O1W ${ }^{\text {iii }}$-Er1-O2 | 135.51 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 120.0 | O1W-Er1-O2 | 135.51 (11) |
| N1-C1-H1 | 120.0 | O 5 - $\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 82.54 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 120.0 | $\mathrm{O} 5^{\mathrm{iv}}-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 82.54 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.0 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 141.24 (9) |

supporting information

| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.0 | O1W ${ }^{\text {iiil-Er }}$ - $\mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 67.96 (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 120.4 (7) | O1W-Er1-O3 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 67.96 (11) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 119.5 (7) | O 5 - $\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 3^{3 i}$ | 76.89 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 120.0 | $\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 3{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 76.89 (5) |
| C5-C4-C3 | 120.0 | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 68.12 (8) |
| C5-C4-H4 | 120.0 | O1-Er1-O4 | 79.89 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 120.0 | O1W ${ }^{\text {iii- }}$ Er1-O4 | 79.87 (16) |
| C4-C5-N1 | 120.0 | O1W-Er1-O4 | 72.16 (15) |
| C4-C5-H5 | 120.0 | O5i-Er1-O4 | 68.77 (6) |
| N1-C5-H5 | 120.0 | $\mathrm{O} 5{ }^{\text {iv}}-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 135.04 (6) |
| Er1-O1W-H1W | 133 (5) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 136.92 (6) |
| Er1-O1W-H2W | 123 (4) | O3ii-Er1-O4 | 130.40 (6) |
| H1W-O1W-H2W | 104.2 (17) | O1-Erl-O4 $4^{\text {iii }}$ | 79.89 (7) |
| O4-C6-O5 | 126.9 (2) | O1W ${ }^{\text {iiil-Er }}$ - $4^{\text {iii }}$ | 72.16 (15) |
| O4-C6- $\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | 116.0 (3) | O1W-Er1-O4 $4^{\text {iii }}$ | 79.87 (16) |
| O5-C6- $\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | 117.1 (3) | O5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 4^{\text {iii }}$ | 135.04 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 127.1 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 68.77 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 116.8 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 136.92 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 7{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 116.0 (4) | O3iil-Erl-O4 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 130.40 (6) |
| O1-Er1-O1W ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 150.24 (12) | O4-Er1-O4 $4^{\text {iii }}$ | 66.72 (8) |
| O1-Er1-O1W | 150.24 (12) | C3-O1-Er1 | 135.2 (2) |
| O1W ${ }^{\text {iiii-Er1-O1W }}$ | 13.6 (5) | C3iii-O1-Er1 | 135.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {i }}$ | 98.41 (5) | $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Er} 1$ | 120.1 (2) |
| O1W ${ }^{\text {iiii }}$ - $\mathrm{Er} 1-\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {i }}$ | 94.3 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Er} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 118.9 (2) |
| O1W-Er1-O5 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 81.0 (2) | C6-O4-Er1 | 118.12 (15) |
| O1-Er1-O5 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 98.41 (5) | C6-O5-Er1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 118.91 (16) |
| O1W ${ }^{\text {iii- }}$ Er1-O5 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 81.0 (2) |  |  |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z$; (ii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; (iii) $x,-y+1, z$; (iv) $-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{o}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 2 W \cdots \mathrm{O}^{v}$ | $0.82(1)$ | $2.03(4)$ | $2.769(4)$ | $149(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 1 W \cdots \mathrm{O}^{v}$ | $0.82(1)$ | $2.18(6)$ | $2.729(4)$ | $124(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.86 | 2.41 | $3.041(3)$ | 130 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{O} 44^{\text {vii }}$ | 0.86 | 2.02 | $2.794(3)$ | 150 |

Symmetry codes: (v) $x, y, z-1 ;$ (vi) $-x,-y+1,-z$; (vii) $-x, y,-z$.

