Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-Thioxo-3,5-dithia-1,7-heptanedioic acid

Gui-Sheng Zeng, Jian-Ping Zou,* Qiang Peng, Zhen-Hai Wen and Ai-Qing Zhang

School of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Nanchang Hangkong University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330063, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zjp_112@126.com

Received 18 September 2008; accepted 25 September 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.035; wR factor = 0.120; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.3.

The complete molecule of the title compound, $C_5H_6O_4S_3$, is generated by crystallographic twofold symmetry with the C=S group lying on the rotation axis. The molecules are linked through weak hydrogen-bond contacts by glide-plane operations to form $R_2^2(20)$ rings and ladder-like C(4) chains along the *c* axis.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995); El-Bindary *et al.* (1994); Ng (1995); Reid (1962); Strube (1963).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_5H_6O_4S_3$
$M_r = 226.28$
Monoclinic, C2/c
a = 18.899 (14) Å
b = 5.965 (4) Å
c = 7.565 (6) Å
$\beta = 92.992 \ (2)^{\circ}$

 $V = 851.7 (11) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.84 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K $0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ 2955 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$

967 independent reflections

868 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD

```
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2002)
T_{min} = 0.912, T_{max} = 1.000
(expected range = 0.853–0.935)
```

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	56 parameters
$vR(F^2) = 0.120$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.45 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
967 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometr

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^\circ).$

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1-H1A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.82	1.82	2.631 (3)	168

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2002); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20801026) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (grant No. 2007GZH1510).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SI2112).

References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- El-Bindary, A. A., Shehatta, I. S. & Mabrouk, E. M. (1994). *Monatsh. Chem.* **125**, 373–384.
- Ng, S. W. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2150-2152.
- Reid, E. E. (1962). Organic Chemistry of Bivalent Sulfur, Vol. IV. New York: Chemical Publishing.
- Rigaku (2002). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Strube, R. E. (1963). Org. Synth. Collect. Vol. 4, pp. 967-969.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o2047 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808030997]

4-Thioxo-3,5-dithia-1,7-heptanedioic acid

Gui-Sheng Zeng, Jian-Ping Zou, Qiang Peng, Zhen-Hai Wen and Ai-Qing Zhang

S1. Comment

Although the synthesis and the molecular structure of the title compound, also named as trithiocarbodiglycolic acid (TTCD), have been reported, to our knowledge, there is no report on the unit-cell parameters and the crystal structure of TTCD in the literature (Reid, 1962; Strube, 1963; El-Bindary *et al.*, 1994). The crystal structure of a 1:1:1 cocrystal of TTCD and trithiocarbodiglycolate and bis(dicyclohexylammonium) (Ng, 1995) have been reported.

The molecule of the title compound occupies a crystallographic twofold rotation axis with one half-molecule in the asymmetric unit, the C_2 axis running through the C=S group (Fig. 1). The same molecular symmetry can be observed for the trithiocarbodiglycolate²⁻ and the neutral TTCD molecules in the structure reported by Ng (1995), which crystallises in space group P2/a. Bond distances and angles (Table 1) are close to those in the complexes composed of TTCD reported in the literature (Ng, 1995).

The molecules are linked through weak hydrogen-bond contacts (Table 2) by glide-plane operations to form $R_2^2(20)$ rings and C(4) chains along the *c* axis (Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

A mixture of trithiocarbodiglycolic acid (0.25 mmol), $CoCl_2.6H_2O$ (0.25 mmol) was dissolved in a 10 ml water in order to synthesize the Co complexes with trithiocarbodiglycolic acid as the ligand. After stirring for about 8 h, the mixed solution was filtered. The filtrate was allowed to stand at room temperature. Colorless crystals of the title complex but not the Co complex with the trithiocarbodiglycolic acid as the ligand were obtained over a period of 10 d.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms with C—H and O—H distances of 0.97 and 0.82 Å, respectively, and were included in the refinement with isotropic displacement parameters $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$, respectively.



Figure 1

A view of the molecule structure in the title compound, showing 30% displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms. Symmetry code, i: 1 - x, y, 1/2 - z.



Figure 2

A view of the 1-D chain of the title compound along the *c* direction. Dashed lines represent the hydrogen bonds.

3,5-Dithia-4-thioxo-1,7-heptanedioic acid

Crystal data

C₅H₆O₄S₃ $M_r = 226.28$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 18.899 (14) Å b = 5.965 (4) Å c = 7.565 (6) Å $\beta = 92.992 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 851.7 (11) Å^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer Radiation source: rotating-anode generator Graphite monochromator ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2002) $T_{\min} = 0.912, T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.120$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.00	H-atom parameters constrained
967 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.095P)^2]$
56 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.45$ e Å ⁻³
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 464

 $\theta = 3.6 - 27.4^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.84 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Prism, colourless

 $0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

2955 measured reflections

967 independent reflections 868 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.6^\circ$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$

 $h = -24 \rightarrow 19$

 $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$

 $l = -9 \rightarrow 9$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.765 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Melting point: not measured K Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 24 reflections

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
S1	0.5000	0.03720 (12)	0.2500	0.0393 (3)	
S2	0.43050 (3)	0.48194 (8)	0.31113 (7)	0.0294 (2)	
01	0.29989 (9)	-0.0371 (2)	0.2569 (2)	0.0384 (4)	
H1A	0.3130	-0.0788	0.3566	0.058*	

O2	0.32991 (7)	0.2197 (2)	0.06832 (17)	0.0343 (4)
C1	0.5000	0.3111 (4)	0.2500	0.0247 (6)
C2	0.36648 (10)	0.2799 (3)	0.3739 (2)	0.0301 (5)
H2A	0.3895	0.1737	0.4552	0.036*
H2B	0.3300	0.3562	0.4365	0.036*
C3	0.33171 (9)	0.1520 (3)	0.2204 (2)	0.0282 (4)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S 1	0.0395 (5)	0.0202 (4)	0.0581 (6)	0.000	0.0010 (4)	0.000
S2	0.0287 (4)	0.0241 (3)	0.0358 (4)	-0.00075 (17)	0.0046 (2)	-0.00350 (18)
01	0.0469 (10)	0.0370 (9)	0.0304 (8)	-0.0136 (7)	-0.0073 (7)	0.0040 (6)
O2	0.0414 (8)	0.0352 (8)	0.0260 (8)	-0.0019 (6)	-0.0006 (6)	0.0023 (6)
C1	0.0298 (13)	0.0225 (12)	0.0213 (13)	0.000	-0.0033 (10)	0.000
C2	0.0319 (10)	0.0343 (10)	0.0244 (10)	-0.0068 (8)	0.0033 (7)	-0.0038 (8)
C3	0.0258 (9)	0.0295 (9)	0.0293 (10)	0.0010 (8)	0.0006 (7)	-0.0019 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

<u>81—C1</u>	1.634 (3)	O2—C3	1.219 (2)
S2—C1	1.7438 (18)	C2—C3	1.510 (3)
S2—C2	1.789 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9700
O1—C3	1.314 (2)	C2—H2B	0.9700
O1—H1A	0.8200		
C1—S2—C2	101.88 (11)	S2—C2—H2A	108.7
C3—O1—H1A	109.5	C3—C2—H2B	108.7
$S1$ — $C1$ — $S2^i$	125.75 (7)	S2—C2—H2B	108.7
S1—C1—S2	125.75 (7)	H2A—C2—H2B	107.6
S2 ⁱ —C1—S2	108.50 (15)	O2—C3—O1	119.50 (18)
C3—C2—S2	114.18 (15)	O2—C3—C2	123.21 (19)
C3—C2—H2A	108.7	O1—C3—C2	117.24 (17)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	D—H…A
01—H1 <i>A</i> ···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.82	1.82	2.631 (3)	168

Symmetry code: (ii) x, -y, z+1/2.