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The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{IN}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was obtained during the synthesis of 8 -iodoquinoline from 8 -aminoquinoline using the Sandmeyer reaction. The 8 -iodoquinolinium ion is almost planar. Solvent water molecules and chloride ions form a hydrogen-bonded chain along the $c$ axis via $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ links. The 8-iodoquinolinium ions, which are packed along the $c$ axis with cationic aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking (centroid-centroid distance $=3.624 \AA$ ), are linked to the chain via $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

## Related literature

For the synthesis, see: Lucas \& Kennedy (1943); Sandmeyer (1884). For a related structure, see: Son \& Hoefelmeyer (2008). For related literature, see: Janiak (2000).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{IN}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $V=1120.7(4) \AA^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=327.54$ | $Z=4$ |
| Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| $a=8.9600(18) \AA$ | $\mu=3.07 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $b=17.580(4) \AA$ | $T=100(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $c=7.1700(14) \AA$ | $0.71 \times 0.67 \times 0.54 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\beta=97.13(3)^{\circ}$ |  |

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2006)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.219, T_{\text {max }}=0.288$
(expected range $=0.145-0.190)$
10655 measured reflections 2045 independent reflections 2040 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.020$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.019$
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.046$
$S=1.25$
refinement
2045 reflections
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.79 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
143 parameters
6 restraints
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.65 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | $0.82(4)$ | $2.03(4)$ | $2.755(3)$ | $147(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{I} 1$ | $0.82(4)$ | $2.85(4)$ | $3.320(2)$ | $119(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $0.84(1)$ | $1.975(12)$ | $2.807(3)$ | $173(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.83(1)$ | $2.75(3)$ | $3.382(3)$ | $134(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $0.84(1)$ | $2.435(16)$ | $3.237(2)$ | $160(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{1}-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.84(1)$ | $2.379(12)$ | $3.211(2)$ | $170(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2006); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by funding from the South Dakota 2010 Initiative, Center for Research and Development of Light-Activated Materials. Purchase of the X-ray diffractometer was made possible with funds from the National Science Foundation (EPS-0554609).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CI2652).
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## 8-lodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate

Jung-Ho Son and James D. Hoefelmeyer

## S1. Comment

8-Iodoquinoline is a starting material for the synthesis of 8 -substituted quinoline derivatives. In this work, 8-iodoquinoline was synthesized starting from 8-aminoquinoline using the Sandmeyer reaction (Sandmeyer, 1884), following the synthesis of iodobenzene (Lucas \& Kennedy, 1943). During its synthesis, two 8-iodoquinolinium salt crystals, 8-iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate and 8 -iodoquinolinium triiodide.THF (Son \& Hoefelmeyer, 2008) were isolated. The synthesis, characterization and crystal structure of 8-iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate (Fig. 1) are reported here.
The 8 -iodoquinolinium ion is planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.069 (1) $\AA$ for the I1 atom. The C8-I1 bond length is 2.110 (3) $\AA$ and C9-C8-I1 angle is 121.09 (19) ${ }^{\circ}$. A short contact of 3.2083 (10) $\AA$ is observed between I1 and Cl1 ion at (1-x, 1-y, 1-z) that is likely due to ion-dipole interaction. The C8- $\mathrm{I} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cl1}$ angle is almost linear (177.13 (8) ${ }^{\circ}$ ).

Lattice water molecules and chloride ions form an extended hydrogen bonding chain network along the $c$ axis (Table 1). Hydrogen bonding four-membered rings comprising O 2 and Cl 1 are alternately sharing edges with six-membered rings (in chair form) comprising $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{C} 11$ and O 1 along the $c$ axis (Fig. 2). Atom O 1 of the six-membered ring is hydrogenbonded to atom N 1 of the quinolinium ion. The 8 -iodoquinolinium ions are parallel to each other and form a $\pi$-stack that is propogated along the $c$ axis. The $\pi-\pi$ stacking distance between the 8 -iodoquinolinium rings is $3.624 \AA$ (centroidcentroid distance between the 8 -iodoquinolinium rings); there may be weak cationic repulsion between the rings (Janiak, 2000).

## S2. Experimental

A mixture of 8 -aminoquinoline $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.069 \mathrm{~mol})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated with stirring. The mixture was cooled in an ice bath and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to form a red solution. An ice-cooled $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(7.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.113 \mathrm{~mol})$ solution in water ( 50 ml ) was slowly transferred to the 8 -aminoquinoline solution. A light brown precipitate was formed during the addition step but eventually it disappeared to form a reddish transparent solution. KI ( $17.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dissolved in water ( 25 ml ) was then added to the reaction mixture. Bubbles and brownish vapour evolved during the addition. The solution turned to dark brown with a black precipitate. The solution was then refluxed with a watch glass on top of the beaker, and it turned reddish brown with formation of a heavy organic layer; the black precipitate remained. After cooling and standing overnight, golden brown crystals of 8-iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate had formed spontaneously in the solution. The mixture was neutralized upon addition of NaOH solution, which led to dissolution of the golden brown crystals and retention of the black precipitate. The liquid portion was separated from the black precipitate. 8-iodoquinoline was recoverd from the liquid portion upon extraction with toluene. Yield: 10.71 g of 8-iodoquinoline ( $61 \%$ ). Physical data for 8-iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate: m.p. 388-390 K (431-433 K after dehydration). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (methanol-d4): 7.638-7.716 (dd, 1 H , quin CH ), 8.144-8.214 (dd, 1 H , quin CH ), $8.313-8.360(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, quin CH ), 8.605-8.647 (dd, 1H, quin CH ), 9.179-9.228 (dd, 1H, quin CH ), 9.253-9.288 (dd, 1 H , quin CH ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (methanol-d4): 90.253 (quin $C 8$ ), 124.038 (quin $C H$ ), 131.224 (quin $C H$ ), 131.475 (quin $C 9 / 10$ ), 132.070 (quin $C H$ ),
139.889 (quin $C 9 / 10$ ), 147.113 (quin CH ), 148.440 (quin CH ), 149.608 (quin CH ). Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ClIN}$ (dehydrated): C 37.08, H 2.42, N 4.80\%; found: C 36.76, H 2.40, N 4.85\%.

## S3. Refinement

The water H atoms were located in a difference map and refined with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distance restraints of 0.84 (1) $\AA$ and 1.37 (2) $\AA$, respectively, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{O})$. The N -bound H atom was also located in a difference map and refind freely. C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA)$ and allowed to ride on the parent atoms with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$.


Figure 1
Asymmetric unit of 8-iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
The crystal structure of 8-iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate, viewed approximately along the $a$ axis. Dotted lines represent hydrogen bonds. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level.

## 8-Iodoquinolinium chloride dihydrate

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{IN}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=327.54$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
$a=8.9600$ (18) $\AA$
$b=17.580(4) \AA$
$c=7.1700(14) \AA$
$\beta=97.13$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1120.7$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2003)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.219, T_{\text {max }}=0.288$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.019$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.046$
$S=1.26$
$F(000)=632$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.941 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Melting point: 388 K
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 9991 reflections
$\theta=2.3-28.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=3.07 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=100 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, brown
$0.71 \times 0.67 \times 0.54 \mathrm{~mm}$

10655 measured reflections
2045 independent reflections
2040 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.020$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.3^{\circ}$
$h=-10 \rightarrow 10$
$k=-21 \rightarrow 21$
$l=-8 \rightarrow 8$

2045 reflections
143 parameters
6 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

```
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0437 P)^{2}+2.836 P\right]\)
    where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3\)
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.79\) e \(\AA^{-3}\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.65\) e \(\AA^{-3}\)
```

where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.79 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.65$ e $\AA^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving 1.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{*} / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2 | $0.1207(3)$ | $0.35738(15)$ | $-0.0118(4)$ | $0.0175(6)$ |
| H2 | 0.0968 | 0.4100 | -0.0215 | $0.021^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.0078(3)$ | $0.30408(17)$ | $-0.0595(4)$ | $0.0187(6)$ |
| H3 | -0.0918 | 0.3199 | -0.1035 | $0.022^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.0429(3)$ | $0.22795(15)$ | $-0.0418(4)$ | $0.0155(5)$ |
| H4 | -0.0333 | 0.1909 | -0.0724 | $0.019^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.2333(3)$ | $0.12642(15)$ | $0.0433(4)$ | $0.0170(5)$ |
| H5 | 0.1591 | 0.0881 | 0.0155 | $0.020^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.3795(3)$ | $0.10627(15)$ | $0.1040(4)$ | $0.0190(6)$ |
| H6 | 0.4053 | 0.0541 | 0.1199 | $0.023^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.4923(3)$ | $0.16273(16)$ | $0.1430(4)$ | $0.0169(5)$ |
| H7 | 0.5932 | 0.1477 | 0.1821 | $0.020^{*}$ |
| C8 | $0.4574(3)$ | $0.23944(15)$ | $0.1248(4)$ | $0.0134(5)$ |
| C9 | $0.3060(3)$ | $0.26110(14)$ | $0.0660(3)$ | $0.0115(5)$ |
| C10 | $0.1928(3)$ | $0.20430(15)$ | $0.0220(4)$ | $0.0132(5)$ |
| N1 | $0.2614(3)$ | $0.33639(13)$ | $0.0471(3)$ | $0.0143(5)$ |
| H1 | $0.326(4)$ | $0.369(2)$ | $0.080(5)$ | $0.029(10)^{*}$ |
| C11 | $0.12024(7)$ | $0.54723(4)$ | $0.76556(9)$ | $0.01743(14)$ |
| I1 | $0.629687(18)$ | $0.321563(10)$ | $0.17166(2)$ | $0.01562(7)$ |
| O1 | $0.3734(3)$ | $0.48091(13)$ | $0.1171(4)$ | $0.0404(6)$ |
| H1A | $0.320(4)$ | $0.506(2)$ | $0.183(5)$ | $0.061^{*}$ |
| H1B | $0.363(5)$ | $0.498(2)$ | $0.008(3)$ | $0.061^{*}$ |
| O2 | $0.1749(2)$ | $0.55620(12)$ | $0.3282(3)$ | $0.0240(4)$ |
| H2A | $0.183(4)$ | $0.559(2)$ | $0.4461(15)$ | $0.036^{*}$ |
| H2B | $0.104(3)$ | $0.5262(17)$ | $0.294(4)$ | $0.036^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\hat{A}^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 2 | $0.0231(14)$ | $0.0138(13)$ | $0.0161(13)$ | $0.0066(11)$ | $0.0044(11)$ | $0.0019(10)$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C3 | $0.0122(13)$ | $0.0270(15)$ | $0.0173(14)$ | $0.0054(11)$ | $0.0034(11)$ | $0.0065(11)$ |
| C4 | $0.0139(13)$ | $0.0186(13)$ | $0.0138(12)$ | $-0.0033(10)$ | $0.0014(10)$ | $-0.0002(10)$ |
| C5 | $0.0197(14)$ | $0.0140(13)$ | $0.0177(13)$ | $-0.0021(11)$ | $0.0034(11)$ | $-0.0005(10)$ |
| C6 | $0.0231(15)$ | $0.0126(13)$ | $0.0217(14)$ | $0.0031(11)$ | $0.0041(11)$ | $0.0006(11)$ |
| C7 | $0.0141(13)$ | $0.0192(13)$ | $0.0174(13)$ | $0.0039(11)$ | $0.0024(10)$ | $0.0004(11)$ |
| C8 | $0.0121(12)$ | $0.0158(13)$ | $0.0124(12)$ | $-0.0034(10)$ | $0.0016(10)$ | $-0.0024(10)$ |
| C9 | $0.0130(12)$ | $0.0124(12)$ | $0.0099(11)$ | $-0.0005(10)$ | $0.0041(9)$ | $0.0003(9)$ |
| C10 | $0.0143(13)$ | $0.0149(12)$ | $0.0111(12)$ | $-0.0022(10)$ | $0.0041(10)$ | $-0.0005(10)$ |
| N1 | $0.0153(12)$ | $0.0110(11)$ | $0.0172(12)$ | $-0.0028(9)$ | $0.0043(9)$ | $0.0004(9)$ |
| C11 | $0.0163(3)$ | $0.0132(3)$ | $0.0221(3)$ | $-0.0013(2)$ | $0.0002(2)$ | $-0.0013(2)$ |
| I1 | $0.01147(10)$ | $0.01954(11)$ | $0.01569(11)$ | $-0.00378(6)$ | $0.00106(7)$ | $-0.00135(6)$ |
| O1 | $0.0416(15)$ | $0.0188(12)$ | $0.0660(18)$ | $-0.0115(10)$ | $0.0268(14)$ | $-0.0137(11)$ |
| O2 | $0.0235(11)$ | $0.0214(11)$ | $0.0267(11)$ | $-0.0048(8)$ | $0.0017(9)$ | $-0.0003(9)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $A$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| C2-N1 | 1.331 (4) | C7-C8 | 1.387 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2-C3 | 1.390 (4) | C7-H7 | 0.95 |
| C2-H2 | 0.95 | C8-C9 | 1.421 (4) |
| C3-C4 | 1.377 (4) | C8-I1 | 2.110 (3) |
| C3-H3 | 0.95 | C9-N1 | 1.384 (3) |
| C4- ${ }^{\text {C10 }}$ | 1.426 (4) | C9-C10 | 1.430 (4) |
| C4-H4 | 0.95 | N1-H1 | 0.82 (4) |
| C5-C6 | 1.374 (4) | O1-H1A | 0.836 (10) |
| C5-C10 | 1.420 (4) | O1-H1B | 0.834 (10) |
| C5-H5 | 0.95 | O2-H2A | 0.840 (10) |
| C6-C7 | 1.419 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 0.841 (10) |
| C6-H6 | 0.95 |  |  |
| N1-C2-C3 | 121.5 (2) | C8-C7-H7 | 119.5 |
| N1-C2-H2 | 119.2 | C6-C7-H7 | 119.5 |
| C3-C2-H2 | 119.2 | C7-C8-C9 | 119.0 (2) |
| C4-C3-C2 | 118.8 (2) | C7-C8--I1 | 119.89 (19) |
| C4-C3-H3 | 120.6 | C9-C8-I1 | 121.09 (19) |
| C2-C3-H3 | 120.6 | N1-C9-C8 | 122.6 (2) |
| C3-C4-C10 | 120.6 (2) | N1-C9-C10 | 117.2 (2) |
| C3-C4-H4 | 119.7 | C8-C9-C10 | 120.2 (2) |
| C10-C4-H4 | 119.7 | C5-C10-C4 | 122.3 (2) |
| C6-C5-C10 | 120.2 (2) | C5-C10-C9 | 119.0 (2) |
| C6- $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 119.9 | C4-C10-C9 | 118.8 (2) |
| C10-C5-H5 | 119.9 | C2-N1-C9 | 123.1 (2) |
| C5-C6-C7 | 120.6 (3) | C2-N1-H1 | 120 (3) |
| C5-C6-H6 | 119.7 | C9-N1-H1 | 117 (3) |
| C7- $76-\mathrm{H} 6$ | 119.7 | H1A-O1-H1B | 110 (2) |
| C8-C7-C6 | 121.0 (2) | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 107 (2) |
| N1-C2-C3-C4 | 1.1 (4) | C6-C5-C10-C9 | -0.5 (4) |
| C2-C3-C4-C10 | -0.8 (4) | C3-C4-C10-C5 | 179.6 (3) |


| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $-1.1(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $0.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $-177.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $179.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $-3.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $-1.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $175.50(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $179.5(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $-0.4(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $-178.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-178.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $-0.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $178.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $-1.0(4)$ |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | $0.82(4)$ | $2.03(4)$ | $2.755(3)$ | $147(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{I} 1$ | $0.82(4)$ | $2.85(4)$ | $3.320(2)$ | $119(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $0.84(1)$ | $1.98(1)$ | $2.807(3)$ | $173(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl1}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.83(1)$ | $2.75(3)$ | $3.382(3)$ | $134(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 — \mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl1}$ | $0.84(1)$ | $2.44(2)$ | $3.237(2)$ | $160(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 — \mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl1} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.84(1)$ | $2.38(1)$ | $3.211(2)$ | $170(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$.

