Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[[μ_3 -N,N'-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiourea- $\kappa^3 N$:N':S]iodidocopper(I)]

Shi-Shen Zhang

Department of Applied Chemistry, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hang Zhou, 310018, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zhangshishen@126.com

Received 22 September 2008; accepted 26 September 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 294 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.062; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.2.

In the title coordination polymer, $[CuI(C_{13}H_{14}N_4S)]_n$, the Cu^I atom is coordinated by two N atoms from two N,N'-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiourea ligands, as well as by the S atom of a third ligand and an I atom to confer a distorted tetrahedral coordination at the metal centre. The coordination bonds give rise to a layer structure parallel to (010).

Related literature

For related literature, see: Li et al. (2002); Zhang et al. (2006).



Experimental

Crystal data

si jotat adda	
CuI($C_{13}H_{14}N_4S$)] $M_r = 448.78$ Monoclinic, $P_{2_1/c}$ a = 13.3610 (10) Å a = 8.3673 (7) Å a = 14.2686 (11) Å $B = 102.001 (2)^{\circ}$	$V = 1560.3 (2) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 3.51 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 (2) K $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.541, T_{max} = 0.678$	8191 measured reflections 2750 independent reflections 2418 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ $vR(F^2) = 0.062$ S = 1.06	181 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho = 0.73 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

This work was supported by the Foundation for Young Researchers of the Key Laboratory of Advanced Textile Materials and Manufacturing Technology, Ministry of Education (grant No. 2007QN05).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG2496).

References

2750 reflections

Bruker (1998). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Li, G., Hou, H.-W., Niu, Y.-Y., Fan, Y.-T., Liu, Z.-S., Ge, T.-Z. & Xin, X.-Q. (2002). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **332**, 216–222.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Zhang, X.-J., Zhou, X.-P. & Li, D. (2006). Cryst. Growth Des. 6, 1440-1444.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m1395 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808031188]

Poly[[μ_3 -N,N'-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiourea- $\kappa^3 N$:N':S]iodidocopper(I)]

Shi-Shen Zhang

S1. Comment

Flexible ligand has been considered as one of the most important type of organic ligand for their flexibility and conformational freedom allow for greater structural diversity. N,N'-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiurea, as one kind of those ligand, has usually been used to construct a great variety of structurally interesting entities. such as helix, macrocycle (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2002).

The asymmetric unit of the title compound (I) is illustrated in Fig. 1. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction shows that the asymmetric unit contains one Cu crystallographically nonequivalent atom. The Cu(I) atom coordinated by two N atoms from two N,N'-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiourea ligands as well as by the S atom of a third ligand to confer a tetrahedral geometry at the metal center. The Cu atom coordination by two N atoms to form a one-dimensional helix, and is then linked by the bond of Cu atom and S atom to extend to a two-dimensional structure. The crystal packing is stabilized by intermolecular π - π stacking interaction (Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

a mixture of CuI (0.038 g, 0.2 nmol) and N,N'-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiurea (0.026 g, 0.1 nmol) in mole ratio of 2:1 in acetonitrile (6 cm³) was sealed in 15 cm³ Teflon-lined reactor and heated to 110°C for 10 h and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5°C/h. the yellow block crystal was obtianed in the yield of 35%.

The web of checkcif show one Alert level B (Hirshfeld Test Diff (M - X) I1 – Cu1.. 43.03 su), we think this is the result of the sightly distorted I atom for his unidentate coordination model.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.93 Å(aromatic) or 0.97 Å(aliphatic) and N—H = 0.86 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of the title compound showing 30° probability ellipsoids.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound.

Poly[[μ_3 -*N*,*N'*-bis(3-pyridylmethyl)thiourea- κ^3 *N*:*N'*:*S*]iodidocopper(I)]

Crystal data
$[CuI(C_{13}H_{14}N_4S)]$
$M_r = 448.78$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
a = 13.361 (1) Å
<i>b</i> = 8.3673 (7) Å
c = 14.2686 (11) Å
$\beta = 102.001 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 1560.3 (2) Å^3$
Z=4

F(000) = 872 $D_x = 1.910 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3234 reflections $\theta = 2.8-27.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.51 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 KBlock, yellow $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD	8191 measured reflections
diffractometer	2750 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2418 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{int} = 0.020$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.8^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -12 \rightarrow 15$
(<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996)	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.541, T_{\max} = 0.678$	$l = -15 \rightarrow 16$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.062$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.06	H-atom parameters constrained
2750 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0275P)^2 + 0.8144P]$
181 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.73$ e Å ⁻³
direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.28$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used

only for calculating R-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.4137 (2)	0.8305 (4)	0.3317 (2)	0.0340 (7)	
H1A	0.4469	0.7269	0.3368	0.041*	
H1B	0.4581	0.9036	0.3739	0.041*	
C2	0.4006 (2)	0.8893 (3)	0.2301 (2)	0.0290 (6)	
C3	0.3491 (2)	1.0308 (3)	0.2012 (2)	0.0315 (7)	
Н3	0.3220	1.0879	0.2460	0.038*	
C4	0.3768 (2)	1.0054 (4)	0.0489 (2)	0.0361 (7)	
H4	0.3685	1.0441	-0.0134	0.043*	
C5	0.4292 (2)	0.8663 (4)	0.0718 (2)	0.0402 (7)	
Н5	0.4565	0.8122	0.0260	0.048*	
C6	0.4415 (2)	0.8065 (4)	0.1630 (2)	0.0376 (7)	
H6	0.4770	0.7115	0.1795	0.045*	
C7	-0.0360 (2)	0.7596 (4)	0.4500 (2)	0.0379 (7)	
H7	-0.0490	0.7921	0.3863	0.045*	
C8	0.0621 (2)	0.7151 (4)	0.4916 (2)	0.0372 (7)	
C9	0.0813 (3)	0.6670 (5)	0.5858 (3)	0.0521 (9)	

0.1469	0.6365	0.6166	0.063*
0.0020 (3)	0.6648 (5)	0.6337 (3)	0.0571 (10)
0.0130	0.6307	0.6970	0.068*
-0.0934 (3)	0.7134 (4)	0.5874 (2)	0.0445 (8)
-0.1460	0.7147	0.6210	0.053*
0.1456 (2)	0.7225 (4)	0.4347 (3)	0.0413 (8)
0.1909	0.6314	0.4502	0.050*
0.1157	0.7194	0.3667	0.050*
0.2875 (2)	0.9112 (3)	0.4274 (2)	0.0309 (6)
0.26574 (3)	1.19634 (5)	0.57370 (3)	0.04022 (12)
0.20322 (18)	0.8710 (3)	0.45837 (19)	0.0397 (6)
0.1810	0.9377	0.4952	0.048*
0.31731 (18)	0.8164 (3)	0.36364 (17)	0.0317 (6)
0.2765	0.7407	0.3393	0.038*
0.33636 (18)	1.0893 (3)	0.11266 (18)	0.0328 (6)
-0.11373 (19)	0.7591 (3)	0.4956 (2)	0.0396 (6)
0.35264 (6)	1.07993 (10)	0.46956 (6)	0.0397 (2)
0.24731 (2)	1.03780 (3)	0.728706 (18)	0.05208 (10)
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1469\\ 0.0020\ (3)\\ 0.0130\\ -0.0934\ (3)\\ -0.1460\\ 0.1456\ (2)\\ 0.1909\\ 0.1157\\ 0.2875\ (2)\\ 0.26574\ (3)\\ 0.20322\ (18)\\ 0.1810\\ 0.31731\ (18)\\ 0.2765\\ 0.33636\ (18)\\ -0.11373\ (19)\\ 0.35264\ (6)\\ 0.24731\ (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0309 (16)	0.0383 (16)	0.0344 (17)	0.0077 (12)	0.0101 (13)	-0.0001 (13)
C2	0.0242 (14)	0.0350 (16)	0.0285 (16)	-0.0015 (12)	0.0072 (12)	-0.0040 (13)
C3	0.0323 (16)	0.0333 (16)	0.0322 (17)	-0.0006 (12)	0.0140 (13)	-0.0037 (13)
C4	0.0379 (17)	0.0451 (17)	0.0268 (16)	-0.0068 (14)	0.0102 (14)	-0.0002 (14)
C5	0.0462 (19)	0.0439 (18)	0.0348 (18)	0.0034 (15)	0.0184 (15)	-0.0077 (15)
C6	0.0418 (18)	0.0334 (16)	0.0396 (19)	0.0072 (13)	0.0134 (14)	-0.0025 (14)
C7	0.0335 (17)	0.0461 (18)	0.0370 (18)	-0.0018 (14)	0.0139 (14)	-0.0007 (15)
C8	0.0338 (17)	0.0328 (16)	0.047 (2)	-0.0057 (13)	0.0128 (15)	-0.0044 (15)
C9	0.0371 (19)	0.065 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.0022 (16)	0.0035 (16)	0.0058 (19)
C10	0.055 (2)	0.076 (3)	0.040 (2)	-0.0008 (19)	0.0097 (17)	0.0101 (19)
C11	0.0411 (19)	0.056 (2)	0.040 (2)	-0.0058 (16)	0.0171 (15)	0.0028 (17)
C12	0.0329 (17)	0.0378 (17)	0.056 (2)	-0.0043 (14)	0.0151 (15)	-0.0110 (16)
C13	0.0311 (16)	0.0309 (15)	0.0312 (16)	0.0012 (12)	0.0079 (13)	-0.0013 (13)
Cu1	0.0403 (2)	0.0416 (2)	0.0416 (2)	-0.00434 (17)	0.01496 (18)	-0.00960 (18)
N1	0.0378 (14)	0.0374 (14)	0.0495 (17)	-0.0068 (12)	0.0223 (13)	-0.0140 (13)
N2	0.0346 (14)	0.0321 (13)	0.0297 (13)	-0.0022 (10)	0.0097 (11)	-0.0049 (11)
N3	0.0324 (13)	0.0361 (13)	0.0311 (14)	-0.0004 (11)	0.0099 (11)	0.0023 (11)
N4	0.0324 (14)	0.0475 (16)	0.0412 (16)	-0.0010 (12)	0.0129 (12)	0.0011 (13)
S3	0.0369 (4)	0.0383 (4)	0.0488 (5)	-0.0085 (3)	0.0203 (4)	-0.0128 (4)
I1	0.07354 (19)	0.04215 (15)	0.04564 (16)	0.00823 (11)	0.02411 (12)	0.01044 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N2	1.457 (4)	С9—Н9	0.9300
C1—C2	1.506 (4)	C10-C11	1.370 (5)
C1—H1A	0.9700	C10—H10	0.9300

C1—H1B	0 9700	C11—N4	1 337 (4)
$C^2 - C^6$	1 384 (4)	C11—H11	0.9300
$C^2 - C^3$	1 388 (4)	C12—N1	1 464 (4)
C3—N3	1.332(4)	C12 H12A	0.9700
C3_H3	0.9300	C12H12R	0.9700
C4 N2	1.348(A)	C12 N2	1.330(4)
$C_4 = C_5$	1.340(4) 1.363(4)	C13 N1	1.330(4)
$C_4 = C_3$	0.0300	C_{12} C	1.333(4)
C4—114 C5 C6	0.9300	C_{13}	1.701(3)
$C_5 = C_0$	1.371(3)	Cu1 - N3	2.049(2)
	0.9300	$Cu1 - N4^{2}$	2.099(3)
	0.9300	Cu1—55	2.2852 (8)
C/—N4	1.335 (4)		2.6341 (5)
C7—C8	1.372 (4)	NI—HI	0.8600
C/—H/	0.9300	N2—H2	0.8600
C8—C9	1.374 (5)	N3—Cu1 ^m	2.049 (2)
C8—C12	1.512 (4)	N4—Cul ⁿ	2.099 (3)
C9—C10	1.376 (5)		
N2 C1 C2	112 2 (2)	C9 C10 H10	120.2
$N_2 = C_1 = C_2$	113.3 (2)	C_{9} C_{10} H_{10} $H_$	120.3
$N_2 = C_1 = H_1 A$	108.9	N4 - C11 - U11	122.4 (5)
	108.9		110.0
N2—CI—HIB	108.9		118.8
C2—CI—HIB	108.9	NI-C12-C8	108.8 (2)
HIA—CI—HIB	107.7	NI—C12—H12A	109.9
C6-C2-C3	117.6 (3)	C8—C12—H12A	109.9
C6—C2—C1	121.3 (3)	N1—C12—H12B	109.9
C3—C2—C1	121.1 (2)	C8—C12—H12B	109.9
N3—C3—C2	123.6 (3)	H12A—C12—H12B	108.3
N3—C3—H3	118.2	N2—C13—N1	118.0 (3)
С2—С3—Н3	118.2	N2—C13—S3	122.2 (2)
N3—C4—C5	122.7 (3)	N1—C13—S3	119.8 (2)
N3—C4—H4	118.6	$N3^{i}$ —Cu1—N4 ⁱⁱ	108.54 (10)
C5—C4—H4	118.6	N3 ⁱ —Cu1—S3	106.38 (7)
C4—C5—C6	119.6 (3)	N4 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—S3	110.01 (8)
С4—С5—Н5	120.2	N3 ⁱ —Cu1—I1	109.34 (7)
С6—С5—Н5	120.2	N4 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—I1	103.57 (7)
C5—C6—C2	119.1 (3)	S3—Cu1—I1	118.69 (3)
С5—С6—Н6	120.4	C13—N1—C12	125.3 (2)
С2—С6—Н6	120.4	C13—N1—H1	117.4
N4—C7—C8	123.9 (3)	C12—N1—H1	117.4
N4—C7—H7	118.0	C13—N2—C1	125.2 (3)
С8—С7—Н7	118.0	C13—N2—H2	117.4
C7—C8—C9	118.0 (3)	C1—N2—H2	117.4
C7—C8—C12	120.1 (3)	C3—N3—C4	117.3 (3)
C9—C8—C12	121.9 (3)	C3—N3—Cu1 ⁱⁱⁱ	122.7(2)
C8 - C9 - C10	118 8 (3)	$C4-N3-Cu1^{iii}$	1199(2)
С8—С9—Н9	120.6	C7-N4-C11	117.2(3)
С10—С9—Н9	120.6	$C7$ —N4— $Cu1^{ii}$	123.0 (2)
		C, 111 Cui	

С11—С10—С9	119.5 (3)	C11—N4—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	119.4 (2)
C11-C10-H10	120.3	C13—S3—Cu1	106.95 (10)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+5/2, *z*+1/2; (ii) -*x*, -*y*+2, -*z*+1; (iii) *x*, -*y*+5/2, *z*-1/2.