

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

3-(2-Thioxo-1,3-dithiol-4-ylsulfanyl)-propanenitrile

Bang-Tun Zhao,^{a*} Jing-Jing Ding^b and Gui-Rong Qu^b

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, People's Republic of China, and ^bCollege of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zbt@lynu.edu.cn


Received 19 September 2008; accepted 1 October 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 295$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$ Å; R factor = 0.028; wR factor = 0.072; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.1.

The title compound, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{NS}_4$, consists of a planar 2-thioxo-1,3-dithiol-4-ylsulfanyl unit [maximum deviation from the ring plane = 0.0325 (2) Å], with a cyanoethylsulfanyl substituent in the 4-position. In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{S}$ hydrogen bonds together with $\text{S}\cdots\text{N}$ interactions [3.260 (5) Å] form two-dimensional layers in the bc plane.

Related literature

For background to the chemistry of dithiole-2-thiones and tetrathiafulvenes, see: Chen *et al.* (2005); Fabre (2004); Segura & Martin (2001). For the preparation of the title compound, see: Liu *et al.* (2002). For a related structure, see: Jia *et al.* (2001).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{NS}_4$
 $M_r = 219.35$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 5.2961$ (9) Å

$b = 10.8917$ (19) Å
 $c = 16.031$ (3) Å
 $\beta = 97.302$ (2)°
 $V = 917.2$ (3) Å³
 $Z = 4$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.97$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 295$ (2) K
 $0.35 \times 0.27 \times 0.23$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
 $T_{\min} = 0.728$, $T_{\max} = 0.808$

6626 measured reflections
1710 independent reflections
1525 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.072$
 $S = 1.07$
1710 reflections

100 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.19$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.34$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{C5}-\text{H5B}\cdots\text{S3}^i$	0.97	2.86	3.813 (2)	167

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20872058).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ2541).

References

- Bruker (2000). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Chen, T., Liu, W.-J., Cong, Z.-Q. & Yin, B.-Z. (2005). *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, **25**, 570–575.
Fabre, J. M. (2004). *Chem. Rev.*, **104**, 5133–5150.
Jia, C. Y., Zhang, D. Q., Xu, W. & Zhu, D. B. (2001). *Org. Lett.* **3**, 1941–1944.
Liu, G.-Q., Yu, W.-T., Xue, G., Liu, Z. & Fang, Q. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **E58**, o514–o516.
Segura, J. L. & Martin, N. (2001). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **40**, 1372–1409.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o2078 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808031711]

3-(2-Thioxo-1,3-dithiol-4-ylsulfanyl)propanenitrile

B.-T. Zhao, J.-J. Ding and G.-R. Qu

Comment

Tetrathiafulvalenes (TTFs) and their charge-transfer salts have become an interesting topic of research, due to their high electrical conductivity and superconducting properties (Segura & Martin, 2001). 1,3-Dithiole-2-thiones, important precursors to TTF derivatives, have also attracted attention (Chen, *et al.*; 2005; Fabre, 2004). In 2001, 4-alkylthio-1,3-dithiole-2-thione, a key kind of 1,3-dithiole-2-thiones was developed by a facile approach (Jia, *et al.*, 2001). We report here the structure of the title compound (Fig. 1), which was prepared by the reaction of di(tetraethylammonium) bis(1,3-dithiol-2-thione-4,5-dithiolate)zincate and 3-bromopropionitrile in the presence of pyridine hydrochloride.

The atoms of the five-membered dithiole ring and the doubly-bonded atom S3 are nearly coplanar, with a maximum deviation from the least-squares plane of only 0.0325 (2) Å (S1). However, S4 deviates considerably from the plane, 0.0775 (4) Å, which is very similar to the structure of 4,5-bis(2-cyanoethylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-one (Liu, *et al.*, 2002). The cyanoethylsulfanyl group is substituted on the C2 atom of the dithiole ring. The C4—S4 bond length (1.8191 (19) Å) is typical of a single bond, while the other C—S bond lengths range from 1.650 (2) Å to 1.7435 (19) Å, suggesting a degree of conjugation in the dithiol-2-thione system. In the crystal structure weak intermolecular C5—H5B···S3 hydrogen bonds, Table 1, together with S···N (3.260 (5) Å) interactions form two dimensional layers in the *bc* plane (Fig. 2).

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the literature (Jia, *et al.*, 2001). Orange block-like single crystals were obtained from slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution at room temperature.

Refinement

All H-atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with $d(\text{C-H}) = 0.93\text{Å}$, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for aromatic and 0.97Å , $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ for CH_2 atoms.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.




Fig. 2. Crystal packing of the title compound viewed down the *a* axis.

3-(2-Thioxo-1,3-dithiol-4-ylsulfanyl)propanenitrile

Crystal data

$C_6H_5NS_4$

$M_r = 219.35$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

$a = 5.2961$ (9) Å

$b = 10.8917$ (19) Å

$c = 16.031$ (3) Å

$\beta = 97.302$ (2)°

$V = 917.2$ (3) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F_{000} = 448$

$D_x = 1.588$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 3529 reflections

$\theta = 2.6$ – 27.9 °

$\mu = 0.97$ mm⁻¹

$T = 295$ (2) K

Block, yellow

$0.35 \times 0.27 \times 0.23$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 295$ (2) K

ϕ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$T_{\min} = 0.728$, $T_{\max} = 0.808$

6626 measured reflections

1710 independent reflections

1525 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

$\theta_{\max} = 25.5$ °

$\theta_{\min} = 2.6$ °

$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$

$k = -12 \rightarrow 13$

$l = -19 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$

$wR(F^2) = 0.072$

$S = 1.07$

1710 reflections

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0339P)^2 + 0.3313P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.19$ e Å⁻³

100 parameters

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
S1	0.21596 (10)	1.03249 (5)	0.12572 (3)	0.05315 (17)
S2	0.34613 (11)	0.99269 (5)	0.30276 (3)	0.05650 (17)
S3	-0.06717 (11)	1.17189 (6)	0.24268 (4)	0.05929 (17)
S4	0.60268 (9)	0.85154 (5)	0.07595 (3)	0.05352 (16)
N1	-0.1988 (4)	0.62697 (19)	-0.06631 (14)	0.0688 (5)
C1	0.1519 (3)	1.07055 (18)	0.22542 (12)	0.0433 (4)
C2	0.4470 (3)	0.92099 (17)	0.15433 (12)	0.0422 (4)
C3	0.5061 (4)	0.90441 (19)	0.23696 (12)	0.0493 (5)
H3	0.6287	0.8476	0.2583	0.059*
C4	0.3347 (4)	0.79990 (19)	0.00199 (12)	0.0486 (5)
H4A	0.2071	0.8642	-0.0051	0.058*
H4B	0.3921	0.7852	-0.0522	0.058*
C5	0.2159 (4)	0.6842 (2)	0.03091 (14)	0.0573 (5)
H5A	0.3375	0.6174	0.0321	0.069*
H5B	0.1748	0.6959	0.0876	0.069*
C6	-0.0165 (4)	0.65095 (19)	-0.02465 (14)	0.0531 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.0531 (3)	0.0661 (3)	0.0389 (3)	0.0149 (2)	0.0007 (2)	0.0015 (2)
S2	0.0672 (4)	0.0630 (3)	0.0382 (3)	0.0049 (3)	0.0027 (2)	0.0019 (2)
S3	0.0548 (3)	0.0623 (4)	0.0621 (3)	0.0057 (2)	0.0123 (3)	-0.0081 (3)
S4	0.0401 (3)	0.0667 (3)	0.0525 (3)	0.0006 (2)	0.0008 (2)	-0.0142 (2)
N1	0.0643 (12)	0.0674 (12)	0.0708 (13)	-0.0096 (10)	-0.0057 (10)	-0.0105 (10)
C1	0.0420 (10)	0.0451 (10)	0.0424 (10)	-0.0085 (8)	0.0044 (8)	-0.0009 (8)
C2	0.0372 (9)	0.0432 (10)	0.0444 (10)	-0.0041 (7)	-0.0018 (7)	-0.0035 (8)
C3	0.0510 (11)	0.0464 (11)	0.0487 (11)	0.0034 (9)	-0.0009 (9)	0.0016 (9)
C4	0.0529 (11)	0.0505 (11)	0.0402 (10)	0.0001 (9)	-0.0022 (8)	-0.0024 (8)

supplementary materials

C5	0.0569 (12)	0.0593 (13)	0.0531 (12)	-0.0052 (10)	-0.0030 (10)	0.0069 (10)
C6	0.0549 (12)	0.0503 (12)	0.0541 (12)	-0.0041 (9)	0.0070 (10)	-0.0044 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C1	1.7262 (19)	C2—C3	1.334 (3)
S1—C2	1.7435 (19)	C3—H3	0.9300
S2—C3	1.727 (2)	C4—C5	1.508 (3)
S2—C1	1.729 (2)	C4—H4A	0.9700
S3—C1	1.650 (2)	C4—H4B	0.9700
S4—C2	1.759 (2)	C5—C6	1.470 (3)
S4—C4	1.8191 (19)	C5—H5A	0.9700
N1—C6	1.133 (3)	C5—H5B	0.9700
C1—S1—C2	97.91 (9)	C5—C4—H4A	109.1
C3—S2—C1	97.39 (9)	S4—C4—H4A	109.1
C2—S4—C4	101.59 (9)	C5—C4—H4B	109.1
S3—C1—S1	122.76 (12)	S4—C4—H4B	109.1
S3—C1—S2	125.08 (12)	H4A—C4—H4B	107.9
S1—C1—S2	112.15 (11)	C6—C5—C4	111.69 (18)
C3—C2—S1	115.08 (15)	C6—C5—H5A	109.3
C3—C2—S4	125.36 (15)	C4—C5—H5A	109.3
S1—C2—S4	119.25 (11)	C6—C5—H5B	109.3
C2—C3—S2	117.36 (16)	C4—C5—H5B	109.3
C2—C3—H3	121.3	H5A—C5—H5B	107.9
S2—C3—H3	121.3	N1—C6—C5	178.4 (2)
C5—C4—S4	112.31 (14)		
C2—S1—C1—S2	3.39 (12)	C4—S4—C2—S1	-52.73 (13)
C3—S2—C1—S3	178.04 (13)	S1—C2—C3—S2	0.7 (2)
C3—S2—C1—S1	-3.08 (12)	S4—C2—C3—S2	174.29 (11)
C1—S1—C2—C3	-2.54 (17)	C1—S2—C3—C2	1.47 (18)
C1—S1—C2—S4	-176.53 (11)	C2—S4—C4—C5	-78.01 (17)
C4—S4—C2—C3	133.94 (18)	S4—C4—C5—C6	173.85 (16)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
C5—H5B \cdots S3 ⁱ	0.97	2.86	3.813 (2)	167

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

