

Poly[ethylenediaminium [di- μ -aqua-(μ_6 -benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylato- $\kappa^{10}O^1,O^1':O^2,O^2':O^4,O^4':O^5:O^5'$)dithallium(I)]]

Masoud Rafizadeh* and Faranak Manteghi

Faculty of Chemistry, Tarbiat Moallem University, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence e-mail: m_rafizadeh6@yahoo.com


Received 18 November 2008; accepted 30 November 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 100$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.010$ Å; R factor = 0.031; wR factor = 0.070; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.7.

The title compound, $\{(C_2H_{10}N_2)[Ti_2(C_{10}H_2O_8)(H_2O)_2]\}_n$, was prepared using $(enH_2)_2(btc)\cdot 2H_2O$ and thallium(I) nitrate ($en =$ ethylenediamine and $btcH_4 =$ benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylic acid). The enH_2 cation and btc ligand are each located on an inversion centre. The Tl^I atom is seven-coordinated by three btc ligands and two water molecules in an irregular geometry due to the stereochemically active lone pair on the Tl centre. The water molecule and btc ligand are bonded to the Tl atoms in μ - and μ_6 -forms, respectively, leading to a three-dimensional structure. The crystal structure involves $O-H\cdots O$, $N-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, and also a $Tl\cdots\pi$ interaction of 3.537 (1) Å.

Related literature

For general background, see: Akhbari & Morsali (2008); Day & Luehrs (1988); Fabelo *et al.* (2005); Murugavel *et al.* (2000); Shimoni-Livny *et al.* (1998). For related structures, see: Li *et al.* (2008); Rafizadeh *et al.* (2005, 2007*a,b*). For the ligand synthesis, see: Rafizadeh *et al.* (2006).



Experimental

Crystal data

$(C_2H_{10}N_2)[Ti_2(C_{10}H_2O_8)(H_2O)_2]$	$V = 786.5$ (7) Å ³
$M_r = 757.01$	$Z = 2$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 9.925$ (5) Å	$\mu = 20.53$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 7.073$ (4) Å	$T = 100$ (2) K
$c = 11.325$ (6) Å	$0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$ mm
$\beta = 98.397$ (10)°	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	5272 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	1787 independent reflections
$T_{min} = 0.064$, $T_{max} = 0.201$	1487 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{int} = 0.061$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$	107 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.070$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.00$	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 2.02$ e Å ⁻³
1787 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.80$ e Å ⁻³

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Tl1—O3 ⁱ	2.702 (5)	Tl1—O1	3.135 (5)
Tl1—O2	2.763 (5)	Tl1—O1W ⁱⁱⁱ	3.209 (5)
Tl1—O1W	2.882 (5)	Tl1—O3 ⁱⁱ	3.350 (5)
Tl1—O4 ⁱⁱ	2.952 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $x - 1, y, z$; (iii) $-x, -y, -z + 1$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H4 \cdots O2 ^{iv}	0.91	1.90	2.791 (8)	166
N1—H5 \cdots O3	0.91	1.85	2.741 (8)	166
N1—H6 \cdots O1 ^v	0.91	2.11	2.828 (8)	136
N1—H6 \cdots O4 ^{vi}	0.91	2.20	2.942 (8)	138
O1W—H7 \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.85	2.06	2.909 (8)	172
O1W—H8 \cdots O1 ^v	0.85	2.00	2.846 (8)	177
C3—H1 \cdots O1 ^v	0.95	2.45	3.353 (9)	159
C6—H3 \cdots O3 ^{vii}	0.99	2.59	3.523 (9)	157

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iv) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (vi) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL and Mercury (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HY2169).

References

- Akhbari, K. & Morsali, A. (2008). *J. Mol. Struct.* **878**, 65–70.
- Bruker (2001). *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2007). *APEX2* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Day, C. S. & Luehrs, D. C. (1988). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **142**, 201–202.
- Fabelo, O., Cañadillas-Delgado, L., Delgado, F. S., Lorenzo-Luis, P., Laz, M. M., Julve, M. & Ruiz-Pérez, C. (2005). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **5**, 1163–1167.
- Li, D.-Q., Liu, X. & Zhou, J. (2008). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **11**, 367–371.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **39**, 453–457.
- Murugavel, R., Anantharaman, G., Krishnamurthy, D., Sathiyendiran, M. & Walawalkar, M. G. (2000). *J. Chem. Sci.* **112**, 273–290.
- Rafizadeh, M., Aghayan, H. & Amani, V. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* **E62**, o5034–o5035.
- Rafizadeh, M., Amani, V., Dehghan, L., Azadbakht, F. & Sahlolbei, E. (2007a). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m1841–m1842.
- Rafizadeh, M., Amani, V. & Neumüller, B. (2005). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **631**, 1753–1755.
- Rafizadeh, M., Amani, V. & Zahiri, S. (2007b). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m1938–m1939.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Shimoni-Livny, L., Glusker, J. P. & Bock, C. W. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* **37**, 1853–1867.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m17-m18 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808040282]

Poly[ethylenediaminium [di- μ -aqua-(μ_6 -benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylato- $\kappa^{10}O^1,O^1':O^2,O^2':O^2':O^4,O^4':O^5:O^5,O^5')$ dithallium(I)]]

M. Rafizadeh and F. Manteghi

Comment

Thallium reagents, despite their inherent toxicity and cost, have played a conspicuous role in the development of modern inorganic and organometallic chemistry. Thallium(I) chemistry is very interesting due to a variety of reasons. (a) Thallium salts and complexes are often anhydrous. (b) The lone pair on thallium may or may not be stereochemically active. (c) High coordination number presents because of large size of Tl^I ion. (d) Thallium(I) complexes have potential ability to form metal–metal bonds and thallium(I) also forms complexes with aromatic hydrocarbons (Akhbari & Morsali, 2008).

The deprotonated forms of benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylic acid (btcH₄) can act not only as hydrogen bond acceptors but also as hydrogen bond donors, depending on the deprotonated carboxylate groups, to give different supramolecular adducts (Fabelo *et al.*, 2005). There is an instance of benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylate coordinated to thallium in a mixed ligand system (Day & Luehrs, 1988). However, there are some coordination polymers reported that contain an anionic coordination polymer together with a cationic part, such as metal–organic framework-based hydrogen-bonded porous solids, [(pipzH₂)M(btc)(H₂O)₄.4H₂O]_n (M = Co^{II}, Ni^{II}, Zn^{II}; pipz = piperazine) (Murugavel *et al.*, 2000). As the recent examples of this category, Cu^{II} and Zn^{II} anionic coordination polymers with ethylenediaminium and propane-1,2-diaminium (pn) as counter ions, {(enH₂)[Cu(btc)].2.5H₂O}_n (Rafizadeh *et al.*, 2007a) and {(pnH₂)[Zn(btc)].4H₂O}_n (Rafizadeh *et al.*, 2007b), have been synthesized.

In the title compound (Fig. 1), the coordination behavior of carboxylate groups of btc are different. Compared with another Tl^I complex, [Tl(pydcH)]_n (pydcH₂ = pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid), with the bond lengths of Tl—O being 2.853 (6) and 3.019 (6) Å (Rafizadeh *et al.*, 2005), the Tl—O bond lengths of the title compound are in a more extended range [2.702 (5) to 3.350 (5) Å] (Table 1). In the crystal structure, O—H⋯O, N—H⋯O and C—H⋯O hydrogen bonds are present. Moreover, an interesting Tl⋯π interaction is found that is classified as cation⋯π interaction at a Tl—centroid distance of 3.537 (1) Å, as shown in Fig. 2. These interactions make all components assemble together in a packing arrangement.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, coordination number of the Tl^I atom is seven, with all coordinated atoms forced into one side of Tl^I and other side is left empty. This can be caused by the stereochemically active lone pair on Tl^I center. Based on crystal data available in the Cambridge Structural Database, stereochemistry of Pb^{II} complexes has been reviewed (Shimoni-Livny *et al.*, 1998). Evidently, in the case of Pb^{II} complexes when the lone pair appears to have no steric effects, the bonds with ligand donor atoms are arranged throughout the surface of encompassing sphere (holodirected coordination) and there are no marked differences in the Pb—L bond lengths. But the Pb^{II} complexes, in which the lone pair is stereochemically active, have hemidirected coordination and the Pb—L bonds are directed only to a part of the coordination sphere, leaving a gap in the distribution of bonds to the ligands. There are shorter Pb—L bonds away from the proposed site of the lone pair and longer Pb—L bonds adjacent to this site of the lone pair (Li *et al.*, 2008). Here also, the Tl^I atom shows the same behavior.

supplementary materials

In effect, the Tl1—O3ⁱⁱ and Tl1—O1Wⁱⁱⁱ (symmetry codes: (ii) $-1+x, y, z$; (iii) $-x, -y, 1-z$), that are apparently longer than other bonds (see Fig. 1 and Table 1), lie on the side of the putative lone pair and the shorter bonds lie away from the site of the lone pair.

Experimental

An aqueous solution of (enH₂)₂(btc).2H₂O (0.34 g, 0.82 mmol), synthesized according to the literature (Rafizadeh *et al.*, 2006), was added dropwise to a solution of TlNO₃ (0.061 g, 0.23 mmol) in water. The mixture was slightly heated and stirred for 5 h. The obtained clear solution with a volume of 40 ml was left at room temperature for 40 d. Then the lustrous pale yellow crystals were obtained (decomposing temperature > 673 K).

Refinement

H atoms bound to C atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.95 (CH) and 0.99 (CH₂) Å and N—H = 0.91 Å and with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N})$. H atoms of water molecule were located on a difference Fourier map and fixed in the refinements, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$. The highest residual electron density was found 0.88 Å from atom Tl1 and the deepest hole 1.36 Å from atom Tl1.

Figures




Fig. 1. Coordination environment around the Tl atom in the title compound, showing an empty space on one side of the atom Tl1. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) $1/2-x, -1/2+y, 3/2-z$; (ii) $-1+x, y, z$; (iii) $-x, -y, 1-z$.]




Fig. 2. Tl... π interactions with a Tl-centroid distance of 3.537 (1) Å. [Symmetry codes: (i) $1/2-x, -1/2+y, 3/2-z$; (viii) $1/2+x, 1/2-y, 1/2+z$.]

Poly[ethylenediaminium [di- μ -aqua-(μ_6 -benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylato- $\kappa^{10}O^1, O^1': O^2, O^2': O^4, O^4': O^5, O^5, O^5'$)dithallium(I)]]

Crystal data

(C₂H₁₀N₂)[Tl₂(C₁₀H₂O₈)(H₂O)₂]

$M_r = 757.01$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$

Hall symbol: $-P 2_1n$

$F_{000} = 688$

$D_x = 3.197 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 1231 reflections

$a = 9.925 (5) \text{ \AA}$
 $b = 7.073 (4) \text{ \AA}$
 $c = 11.325 (6) \text{ \AA}$
 $\beta = 98.397 (10)^\circ$
 $V = 786.5 (7) \text{ \AA}^3$
 $Z = 2$

$\theta = 3.4\text{--}32.7^\circ$
 $\mu = 20.53 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 100 (2) \text{ K}$
 Prism, colourless
 $0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
 Monochromator: graphite
 $T = 100(2) \text{ K}$
 φ and ω scans
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)
 $T_{\min} = 0.064$, $T_{\max} = 0.201$
 5272 measured reflections

1787 independent reflections
 1487 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.061$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$
 $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.0^\circ$
 $h = -11 \rightarrow 12$
 $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.070$
 $S = 1.00$
 1787 reflections
 107 parameters
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
 Hydrogen site location: mixed
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.031P)^2]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 2.02 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.80 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 Extinction correction: none

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Tl1	-0.05121 (3)	0.10847 (4)	0.68253 (2)	0.01266 (11)
O1	0.1709 (5)	-0.1391 (7)	0.8360 (4)	0.0122 (11)
O2	0.1667 (5)	0.1726 (8)	0.8595 (4)	0.0128 (10)
O3	0.6799 (5)	0.2759 (7)	0.7972 (4)	0.0130 (11)
O4	0.8315 (5)	0.0925 (8)	0.9073 (5)	0.0136 (11)
C1	0.2231 (7)	0.0140 (11)	0.8761 (6)	0.0105 (14)
C2	0.3648 (8)	0.0083 (10)	0.9445 (6)	0.0098 (14)
C3	0.4680 (7)	0.0882 (10)	0.8919 (6)	0.0085 (8)
H1	0.4463	0.1491	0.8168	0.010*
C4	0.6041 (7)	0.0817 (10)	0.9465 (6)	0.0085 (8)
C5	0.7143 (7)	0.1550 (10)	0.8785 (6)	0.0085 (8)

supplementary materials

C6	0.5359 (8)	0.0285 (11)	0.5608 (6)	0.0122 (15)
H2	0.4801	-0.0087	0.6226	0.015*
H3	0.6244	-0.0381	0.5775	0.015*
N1	0.5585 (6)	0.2319 (8)	0.5655 (5)	0.0086 (12)
H4	0.6070	0.2664	0.5067	0.010*
H5	0.6058	0.2636	0.6378	0.010*
H6	0.4768	0.2927	0.5550	0.010*
O1W	0.1899 (5)	0.0253 (8)	0.5778 (5)	0.0167 (12)
H7	0.2389	-0.0717	0.5965	0.025*
H8	0.2341	0.1244	0.6018	0.025*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Tl1	0.01330 (15)	0.01092 (15)	0.01267 (14)	-0.00149 (13)	-0.00175 (9)	0.00115 (12)
O1	0.011 (2)	0.010 (3)	0.014 (2)	-0.001 (2)	-0.0051 (19)	-0.002 (2)
O2	0.013 (3)	0.015 (3)	0.010 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.002 (2)
O3	0.014 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.012 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.006 (2)
O4	0.014 (3)	0.013 (3)	0.015 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.003 (2)
C1	0.010 (3)	0.017 (4)	0.005 (3)	0.001 (3)	0.000 (3)	0.001 (3)
C2	0.015 (4)	0.004 (3)	0.010 (3)	0.003 (3)	0.000 (3)	-0.002 (3)
C3	0.011 (2)	0.005 (2)	0.0092 (17)	-0.0004 (15)	0.0007 (15)	-0.0011 (15)
C4	0.011 (2)	0.005 (2)	0.0092 (17)	-0.0004 (15)	0.0007 (15)	-0.0011 (15)
C5	0.011 (2)	0.005 (2)	0.0092 (17)	-0.0004 (15)	0.0007 (15)	-0.0011 (15)
C6	0.014 (4)	0.007 (3)	0.014 (4)	0.001 (3)	-0.002 (3)	0.001 (3)
N1	0.008 (3)	0.008 (3)	0.009 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.003 (2)
O1W	0.019 (3)	0.013 (3)	0.018 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.001 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Tl1—O3 ⁱ	2.702 (5)	C3—C4	1.402 (10)
Tl1—O2	2.763 (5)	C3—H1	0.9500
Tl1—O1W	2.882 (5)	C4—C2 ^{iv}	1.384 (10)
Tl1—O4 ⁱⁱ	2.952 (5)	C4—C5	1.518 (10)
Tl1—O1	3.135 (5)	C6—N1	1.456 (10)
Tl1—O1W ⁱⁱⁱ	3.209 (5)	C6—C6 ^v	1.510 (14)
Tl1—O3 ⁱⁱ	3.350 (5)	C6—H2	0.9900
O1—C1	1.257 (9)	C6—H3	0.9900
O2—C1	1.256 (9)	N1—H4	0.9100
O3—C5	1.266 (8)	N1—H5	0.9100
O4—C5	1.242 (9)	N1—H6	0.9100
C1—C2	1.503 (10)	O1W—H7	0.8500
C2—C3	1.378 (10)	O1W—H8	0.8500
C2—C4 ^{iv}	1.384 (10)		
O3 ⁱ —Tl1—O2	114.26 (16)	C4—C3—H1	119.2
O3 ⁱ —Tl1—O1W	106.77 (16)	C2 ^{iv} —C4—C3	118.9 (6)
O2—Tl1—O1W	73.92 (15)	C2 ^{iv} —C4—C5	121.8 (6)

O3 ⁱ —Tl1—O4 ⁱⁱ	69.06 (15)	C3—C4—C5	119.0 (6)
O2—Tl1—O4 ⁱⁱ	75.31 (15)	O4—C5—O3	125.0 (6)
O1W—Tl1—O4 ⁱⁱ	143.40 (15)	O4—C5—C4	117.5 (6)
O3 ⁱ —Tl1—O1	76.67 (15)	O3—C5—C4	117.5 (6)
O2—Tl1—O1	43.70 (14)	N1—C6—C6 ^v	110.3 (8)
O1W—Tl1—O1	63.61 (15)	N1—C6—H2	109.6
O4 ⁱⁱ —Tl1—O1	80.47 (14)	C6 ^v —C6—H2	109.6
C1—O1—Tl1	86.5 (4)	N1—C6—H3	109.6
C1—O2—Tl1	104.3 (4)	C6 ^v —C6—H3	109.6
C5—O3—Tl1 ^{vi}	127.4 (4)	H2—C6—H3	108.1
C5—O4—Tl1 ^{vii}	103.4 (4)	C6—N1—H4	109.5
O2—C1—O1	124.3 (6)	C6—N1—H5	109.5
O2—C1—C2	117.7 (7)	H4—N1—H5	109.5
O1—C1—C2	118.0 (7)	C6—N1—H6	109.5
C3—C2—C4 ^{iv}	119.4 (7)	H4—N1—H6	109.5
C3—C2—C1	117.7 (6)	H5—N1—H6	109.5
C4 ^{iv} —C2—C1	122.8 (6)	Tl1—O1W—H7	122.8
C2—C3—C4	121.6 (7)	Tl1—O1W—H8	96.9
C2—C3—H1	119.2	H7—O1W—H8	109.6
O3 ⁱ —Tl1—O1—C1	-155.0 (4)	O2—C1—C2—C4 ^{iv}	116.3 (8)
O2—Tl1—O1—C1	-5.8 (4)	O1—C1—C2—C4 ^{iv}	-66.1 (9)
O1W—Tl1—O1—C1	88.3 (4)	C4 ^{iv} —C2—C3—C4	-0.4 (11)
O4 ⁱⁱ —Tl1—O1—C1	-84.5 (4)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-177.0 (6)
O3 ⁱ —Tl1—O2—C1	39.1 (4)	C2—C3—C4—C2 ^{iv}	0.4 (11)
O1W—Tl1—O2—C1	-62.4 (4)	C2—C3—C4—C5	174.0 (6)
O4 ⁱⁱ —Tl1—O2—C1	97.5 (4)	Tl1 ^{vii} —O4—C5—O3	-30.4 (8)
O1—Tl1—O2—C1	6.0 (4)	Tl1 ^{vii} —O4—C5—C4	150.1 (5)
Tl1—O2—C1—O1	-12.6 (8)	Tl1 ^{vi} —O3—C5—O4	-125.2 (6)
Tl1—O2—C1—C2	164.9 (5)	Tl1 ^{vi} —O3—C5—C4	54.4 (8)
Tl1—O1—C1—O2	10.7 (6)	C2 ^{iv} —C4—C5—O4	17.5 (10)
Tl1—O1—C1—C2	-166.7 (6)	C3—C4—C5—O4	-156.0 (6)
O2—C1—C2—C3	-67.2 (8)	C2 ^{iv} —C4—C5—O3	-162.1 (7)
O1—C1—C2—C3	110.4 (8)	C3—C4—C5—O3	24.5 (10)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$; (ii) $x-1, y, z$; (iii) $-x, -y, -z+1$; (iv) $-x+1, -y, -z+2$; (v) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$; (vi) $-x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2$; (vii) $x+1, y, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H...A	D—H	H...A	D...A	D—H...A
N1—H4...O2 ^{viii}	0.91	1.90	2.791 (8)	166
N1—H5...O3	0.91	1.85	2.741 (8)	166
N1—H6...O1 ^{vi}	0.91	2.11	2.828 (8)	136
N1—H6...O4 ^{ix}	0.91	2.20	2.942 (8)	138
O1W—H7...O2 ⁱ	0.85	2.06	2.909 (8)	172

supplementary materials

O1W—H8···O1 ^{vi}	0.85	2.00	2.846 (8)	177
C3—H1···O1 ^{vi}	0.95	2.45	3.353 (9)	159
C6—H3···O3 ^x	0.99	2.59	3.523 (9)	157

Symmetry codes: (viii) $x+1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (vi) $-x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2$; (ix) $x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (i) $-x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$; (x) $-x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

