

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(E)-2-[(2-Amino-4,5-dibromophenyl)-iminomethyl]-6-methoxyphenol

Zhan-Xian Li, Hui Yang, Ming Yu, Qiu-Zhi Shi and Ming-Ming Yu*

Department of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: yum@zzu.edu.cn


Received 5 December 2008; accepted 30 December 2008

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 292$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$ Å; R factor = 0.025; wR factor = 0.071; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.6.

The title compound, $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{12}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$, was prepared from the condensation of 4,5-dibromo-1,2-phenylenediamine and 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde in methanol. The $\text{N}=\text{C}$ double bond shows a *trans* conformation and the dihedral angle between the aromatic ring planes is $5.9(4)^\circ$. In the crystal structure, there are intramolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ and intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds, the latter resulting in inversion dimers.

Related literature

For related literature on the design of ligands for polynuclear coordination complexes with novel magnetic properties, see: Fernández *et al.* (2001); Pardo *et al.* (2003); Yu *et al.* (2007). For the synthesis and structure of a related compound, see: Xia *et al.* (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{12}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$
 $M_r = 400.08$

 Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
 $a = 6.9500(4)$ Å

 $b = 7.4383(4)$ Å
 $c = 14.7877(10)$ Å
 $\alpha = 100.351(5)^\circ$
 $\beta = 97.218(5)^\circ$
 $\gamma = 109.967(3)^\circ$
 $V = 692.21(8)$ Å³
 $Z = 2$

 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 5.86$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 292(3)$ K

 $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.22$ mm

Data collection

 Bruker SMART 1K CCD area-detector diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)
 $T_{\min} = 0.072$, $T_{\max} = 0.275$

 6557 measured reflections
 3154 independent reflections
 2695 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.018$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.071$
 $S = 1.02$
 3154 reflections
 190 parameters

 H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.60$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.46$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{O2}-\text{H2}\cdots\text{N1}$	0.82	1.88	2.608 (2)	147
$\text{N2}-\text{H2A}\cdots\text{N1}$	0.84 (3)	2.39 (3)	2.756 (3)	107 (2)
$\text{N2}-\text{H2B}\cdots\text{O1}^i$	0.81 (3)	2.30 (3)	3.114 (3)	174.19

 Symmetry code: (i) $-x - 1, -y, -z$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 50873093).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HG2454).

References

- Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fernández, I., Ruiz, R., Faus, J., Julve, M., Lloret, F., Cano, J., Ottenwälder, X., Journaux, Y. & Muñoz, C. (2001). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **40**, 3039–3042.
- Pardo, E., Faus, J., Julve, M., Lloret, F., Muñoz, C., Cano, J., Ottenwälder, X., Journaux, Y., Carrasco, R., Blay, G., Fernández, I. & Ruiz-García, R. (2003). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**, 10770–10771.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Xia, H.-T., Liu, Y.-F., Yang, S.-P. & Wang, D.-Q. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o40–o41.
- Yu, M.-M., Ni, Z.-H., Zhao, C.-C., Cui, A.-L. & Kou, H.-Z. (2007). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 5670–5676.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o241 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808044164]

(*E*)-2-[(2-Amino-4,5-dibromophenyl)iminomethyl]-6-methoxyphenol

Z.-X. Li, H. Yang, M. Yu, Q.-Z. Shi and M.-M. Yu

Comment

The design and synthesis of new ligands with the potential for forming polynuclear coordination complexes with novel magnetic properties is of current research interest (Pardo, *et al.*, 2003; Yu, *et al.*, 2007; Fernández, *et al.*, 2001) and we report here the synthesis and crystal structure of the title complex (I).

The molecular structure of title compound is showing in Fig. 1. In the structure, there are intramolecular O—H \cdots N, N—H \cdots N and intermolecular N—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds in the crystal lattice.

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to modified reported methods (Xia, *et al.*, 2007). 1 mmol (265.9 mg) 4,5-dibromo-1,2-phenylenediamine and 1.1 mmol (167.4 mg) 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde were dissolved in 30 ml solution of methanol, then refluxed for 2 h. The solution was cooled and filtered. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature for 10 days.

Refinement

All H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions with C—H = 0.96 Å for methyl H atoms, C—H = 0.93 Å for aromatic H atoms and 0.82 Å for N—H. H atoms and were refined isotropic with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ of parent atom using a riding model. The H atom of the hydroxy group was located from difference maps and refined with a distance restraint O—H = 0.82 (1) Å. $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. A view of complex (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.




Fig. 2. The crystal packing of title compound, viewed along the *a* axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

(E)-2-[(2-Amino-4,5-dibromophenyl)iminomethyl]-6-methoxyphenol

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{12}Br_2N_2O_2$	$Z = 2$
$M_r = 400.08$	$F_{000} = 392$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$D_x = 1.919 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 6.9500(4) \text{ \AA}$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$b = 7.4383(4) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 3154 reflections
$c = 14.7877(10) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 1.4\text{--}27.6^\circ$
$\alpha = 100.351(5)^\circ$	$\mu = 5.86 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 97.218(5)^\circ$	$T = 292(3) \text{ K}$
$\gamma = 109.967(3)^\circ$	Block, colourless
$V = 692.21(8) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD area-detector diffractometer	3154 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2695 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.018$
$T = 292(3) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.6^\circ$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.4^\circ$
Absorption correction: MULTI-SCAN (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.072$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.275$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
6557 measured reflections	$l = -12 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0379P)^2 + 0.3429P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.071$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.02$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
3154 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.60 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
190 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.46 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001x F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Extinction coefficient: 0.0093 (11)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	0.04544 (4)	0.75243 (4)	0.476886 (17)	0.05025 (11)
Br2	0.48830 (4)	0.77615 (4)	0.397735 (17)	0.04846 (10)
O2	-0.2559 (2)	0.1156 (3)	-0.08390 (11)	0.0429 (4)
H2	-0.2248	0.1805	-0.0295	0.064*
O1	-0.3008 (3)	-0.0863 (3)	-0.25537 (11)	0.0458 (4)
N1	-0.0125 (3)	0.3290 (3)	0.07626 (12)	0.0310 (4)
C9	0.0126 (3)	0.4297 (3)	0.17005 (14)	0.0287 (4)
C5	0.2944 (3)	0.2066 (4)	-0.09082 (17)	0.0378 (5)
H5	0.4265	0.2712	-0.0525	0.045*
N2	-0.3662 (3)	0.2994 (3)	0.14823 (17)	0.0429 (5)
C2	-0.0994 (3)	0.0097 (3)	-0.20552 (14)	0.0318 (4)
C8	0.1432 (3)	0.3179 (3)	0.04022 (15)	0.0334 (5)
H8	0.2770	0.3794	0.0771	0.040*
C14	-0.1716 (3)	0.4098 (3)	0.20455 (15)	0.0314 (4)
C6	0.1178 (3)	0.2131 (3)	-0.05579 (14)	0.0298 (4)
C10	0.2057 (3)	0.5418 (3)	0.22926 (15)	0.0316 (4)
H10	0.3274	0.5541	0.2067	0.038*
C7	-0.0805 (3)	0.1144 (3)	-0.11378 (14)	0.0298 (4)
C13	-0.1544 (3)	0.5092 (3)	0.29647 (16)	0.0351 (5)
H13	-0.2750	0.5003	0.3195	0.042*
C3	0.0768 (4)	0.0078 (3)	-0.23831 (16)	0.0375 (5)
H3	0.0639	-0.0598	-0.2995	0.045*
C1	-0.3285 (4)	-0.1801 (4)	-0.35196 (16)	0.0471 (6)
H1A	-0.4751	-0.2415	-0.3792	0.071*
H1B	-0.2670	-0.2781	-0.3566	0.071*
H1C	-0.2621	-0.0836	-0.3849	0.071*
C11	0.2199 (3)	0.6352 (3)	0.32091 (15)	0.0320 (4)
C12	0.0382 (4)	0.6204 (3)	0.35391 (15)	0.0329 (4)
C4	0.2745 (4)	0.1064 (4)	-0.18074 (17)	0.0403 (5)
H4	0.3927	0.1039	-0.2035	0.048*
H2A	-0.360 (4)	0.212 (4)	0.105 (2)	0.043 (7)*
H2B	-0.455 (5)	0.251 (4)	0.177 (2)	0.049 (8)*

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.05665 (18)	0.04947 (16)	0.03545 (15)	0.01522 (13)	0.01513 (11)	-0.00727 (10)
Br2	0.03535 (14)	0.06002 (18)	0.03276 (14)	0.01257 (11)	-0.00551 (10)	-0.01218 (11)
O2	0.0239 (7)	0.0630 (11)	0.0291 (8)	0.0100 (7)	0.0034 (6)	-0.0066 (7)
O1	0.0331 (8)	0.0600 (11)	0.0258 (8)	0.0038 (8)	0.0011 (6)	-0.0050 (7)
N1	0.0293 (9)	0.0321 (9)	0.0252 (8)	0.0078 (7)	0.0017 (7)	0.0010 (7)
C9	0.0290 (10)	0.0287 (9)	0.0243 (9)	0.0093 (8)	0.0021 (8)	0.0015 (8)
C5	0.0263 (10)	0.0465 (12)	0.0367 (12)	0.0122 (10)	0.0043 (9)	0.0047 (10)
N2	0.0267 (10)	0.0477 (12)	0.0423 (12)	0.0055 (9)	0.0046 (9)	-0.0001 (10)
C2	0.0313 (10)	0.0340 (10)	0.0246 (10)	0.0078 (9)	0.0036 (8)	0.0040 (8)
C8	0.0266 (10)	0.0377 (11)	0.0286 (11)	0.0094 (9)	-0.0016 (8)	-0.0001 (8)
C14	0.0290 (10)	0.0294 (10)	0.0332 (11)	0.0093 (8)	0.0040 (8)	0.0059 (8)
C6	0.0273 (10)	0.0325 (10)	0.0270 (10)	0.0101 (8)	0.0031 (8)	0.0042 (8)
C10	0.0279 (10)	0.0339 (10)	0.0285 (10)	0.0103 (9)	0.0042 (8)	0.0003 (8)
C7	0.0264 (10)	0.0346 (10)	0.0263 (10)	0.0099 (8)	0.0052 (8)	0.0049 (8)
C13	0.0305 (11)	0.0338 (10)	0.0389 (12)	0.0106 (9)	0.0108 (9)	0.0039 (9)
C3	0.0444 (13)	0.0399 (12)	0.0285 (11)	0.0164 (10)	0.0110 (9)	0.0048 (9)
C1	0.0529 (15)	0.0477 (14)	0.0236 (11)	0.0061 (12)	-0.0004 (10)	-0.0025 (10)
C11	0.0305 (10)	0.0312 (10)	0.0275 (10)	0.0095 (8)	-0.0008 (8)	-0.0011 (8)
C12	0.0396 (11)	0.0299 (10)	0.0275 (10)	0.0137 (9)	0.0075 (8)	0.0010 (8)
C4	0.0329 (11)	0.0523 (14)	0.0405 (13)	0.0196 (11)	0.0141 (10)	0.0107 (10)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Br1—C12	1.891 (2)	C2—C7	1.404 (3)
Br2—C11	1.890 (2)	C8—C6	1.449 (3)
O2—C7	1.350 (3)	C8—H8	0.9300
O2—H2	0.8200	C14—C13	1.395 (3)
O1—C2	1.372 (3)	C6—C7	1.400 (3)
O1—C1	1.430 (3)	C10—C11	1.382 (3)
N1—C8	1.285 (3)	C10—H10	0.9300
N1—C9	1.411 (3)	C13—C12	1.379 (3)
C9—C10	1.393 (3)	C13—H13	0.9300
C9—C14	1.408 (3)	C3—C4	1.394 (3)
C5—C4	1.368 (3)	C3—H3	0.9300
C5—C6	1.403 (3)	C1—H1A	0.9600
C5—H5	0.9300	C1—H1B	0.9600
N2—C14	1.382 (3)	C1—H1C	0.9600
N2—H2A	0.84 (3)	C11—C12	1.388 (3)
N2—H2B	0.81 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
C2—C3	1.376 (3)		
C7—O2—H2	109.5	C9—C10—H10	119.3
C2—O1—C1	117.15 (19)	O2—C7—C6	121.88 (18)
C8—N1—C9	122.27 (18)	O2—C7—C2	118.60 (18)
C10—C9—C14	119.32 (18)	C6—C7—C2	119.52 (19)

C10—C9—N1	124.08 (19)	C12—C13—C14	121.3 (2)
C14—C9—N1	116.59 (18)	C12—C13—H13	119.4
C4—C5—C6	120.7 (2)	C14—C13—H13	119.4
C4—C5—H5	119.6	C2—C3—C4	120.7 (2)
C6—C5—H5	119.6	C2—C3—H3	119.7
C14—N2—H2A	111.2 (19)	C4—C3—H3	119.7
C14—N2—H2B	114 (2)	O1—C1—H1A	109.5
H2A—N2—H2B	111 (3)	O1—C1—H1B	109.5
O1—C2—C3	125.3 (2)	H1A—C1—H1B	109.5
O1—C2—C7	114.79 (19)	O1—C1—H1C	109.5
C3—C2—C7	119.9 (2)	H1A—C1—H1C	109.5
N1—C8—C6	122.43 (19)	H1B—C1—H1C	109.5
N1—C8—H8	118.8	C10—C11—C12	119.28 (19)
C6—C8—H8	118.8	C10—C11—Br2	118.48 (16)
N2—C14—C13	120.2 (2)	C12—C11—Br2	122.23 (16)
N2—C14—C9	121.2 (2)	C13—C12—C11	120.21 (19)
C13—C14—C9	118.57 (19)	C13—C12—Br1	118.15 (16)
C7—C6—C5	119.27 (19)	C11—C12—Br1	121.63 (16)
C7—C6—C8	121.15 (19)	C5—C4—C3	119.9 (2)
C5—C6—C8	119.57 (19)	C5—C4—H4	120.0
C11—C10—C9	121.3 (2)	C3—C4—H4	120.0
C11—C10—H10	119.3		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
O2—H2 \cdots N1	0.82	1.88	2.608 (2)	147
N2—H2A \cdots N1	0.84 (3)	2.39 (3)	2.756 (3)	107 (2)
N2—H2B \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.81 (3)	2.30 (3)	3.114 (3)	174.19

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x-1, -y, -z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

