

# Diaqua(5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylato)(4-nitrobenzoato)copper(II)

Fei-long Hu, Xian-hong Yin,\* Yu Feng, Yan Mi and Shan-shan Zhang

College of Chemistry and Ecological Engineering, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Nanning 530006, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: yxhphd@163.com


Received 23 December 2008; accepted 14 January 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 298$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.028;  $wR$  factor = 0.073; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.6.

In the title complex,  $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_4\text{NO}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ , the  $\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}$  ion is coordinated in a slightly distorted square-pyramidal environment. The basal plane is formed by an N atom and an O atom from a 5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylate ligand and by two O atoms from two water ligands. The apical position is occupied by a carboxylate O atom from a 4-nitrobenzoate ligand. In the crystal structure, intermolecular O—H...O and N—H...O hydrogen bonds link complex molecules, forming extended chains parallel to the  $a$  axis.

## Related literature

For background information, see: Montoya *et al.* (2007).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_4\text{NO}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$

$M_r = 390.79$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

$a = 6.965$  (1) Å

$b = 9.1860$  (13) Å

$c = 12.4220$  (16) Å

$\alpha = 96.633$  (1)°

$\beta = 105.116$  (2)°

$\gamma = 103.978$  (2)°

$V = 730.91$  (17) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu = 1.55$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 298$  (2) K

$0.40 \times 0.21 \times 0.20$  mm

### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$T_{\text{min}} = 0.577$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.748$

3783 measured reflections  
2526 independent reflections

2249 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.013$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$

$wR(F^2) = 0.073$

$S = 1.04$

2526 reflections

218 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.39$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.32$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cu1—O8	1.9344 (17)	Cu1—O1	1.9811 (16)
Cu1—O7	1.9489 (17)	Cu1—O3	2.3164 (19)
Cu1—N1	1.970 (2)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O7—H7A...O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.85	1.96	2.799 (2)	173
O7—H7B...O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.84	1.83	2.631 (2)	157
O8—H8A...O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.85	1.97	2.803 (3)	165
O8—H8B...O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85	1.78	2.582 (2)	156
N2—H2...O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	1.97	2.781 (3)	157

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - 1, y, z$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y, -z + 2$ ; (iii)  $-x + 1, -y, -z + 2$ .

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20761002), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (0832080), the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology Key Projects (205121) and the Science Foundation of the State Ethnic Affairs Commission (07GX05). The project was supported by the Open Fund of the Key Laboratory of Development & Application of Forest Chemicals of Guangxi (GXFC08-07), the Fund of the Talent Highland Research Program of Guangxi University, the Development Foundation of Guangxi Research Institute of the Chemical Industry, the Science Foundation of Guangxi University for Nationalities (0409032, 0409012, 0509ZD047) and the Innovation Project of Guangxi University for Nationalities (gxun-chx0876).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH2751).

## References

- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Montoya, V., Pons, J., Garcia-Antón, J., Solans, X., Font-Bardia, M. & Ros, J. (2007). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **360**, 625–637.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINTE*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, m210 [ doi:10.1107/S160053680900169X ]

## Diaqua(5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylato)(4-nitrobenzoato)copper(II)

F. Hu, X. Yin, Y. Feng, Y. Mi and S. Zhang

### Comment

The chemical and pharmacological properties of pyrazoles have been investigated extensively, owing to their chelating ability with metal ions and their potentially beneficial chemical and biological activities (Montoya *et al.*, 2007.) As part of our studies on the synthesis and characterization of these types of compounds, we report here the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound (I).

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The Cu atom is five-coordinated by four O atoms and one N atom. The basal plane is formed by an N atom and an O atom from a 5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylato ligand and two O atoms from coordinated water molecules. In the crystal structure, intermolecular O—H...O and N—H...O hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2) link complex molecules, to form extended chains parallel to the *a* axis. The coordinated water molecules act as hydrogen donors for symmetry related carboxyl O atoms (see Table 2). In addition, the crystal structure contains various  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions involving the C7-C12, N1/N2/C2-C4 and Cu1/O1/C1/C2/N1 rings with a range of centroid-to-centroid distances of 3.265 (1)-3.849 (1) Å (see Fig. 3).

### Experimental

5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid, 4-nitrobenzoic acid and CuCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O were available commercially and were used without further purification. Equimolar amounts of 5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (0.5 mmol, 63.02 mg) and 4-nitrobenzoic acid (0.5 mmol, 83.51 mg) were dissolved in anhydrous alcohol (15 ml). The mixture was stirred to give a clear solution, to this solution was added CuCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 mmol, 113 mg) in anhydrous alcohol (10 ml). After keeping the resulting solution in air to evaporate about half of the solvents, blue prisms of the title compound were formed. The crystals were isolated, washed with alcohol three times and dried in a vacuum desiccator using silica gel (Yield 75%). Elemental analysis: found: C, 36.82; H, 3.38; N, 10.65%. calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>CuN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: C, 36.88; H, 3.35; N, 10.75%.

### Refinement

H atoms attached to C and N atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding-model approximation with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å; N—H = 0.86 Å and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2\text{--}1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N})$ . The water H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and included in 'as found' positions in a riding-model approximation with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$ .

## Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.




Fig. 2. Part of the crystal structure of (I) showing the donor-to-acceptor distances of hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.




Fig. 3. Part of the crystal structure of (I) illustrating  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions as dashed lines.

## Diaqua(5-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxylato)(4-nitrobenzoato)copper(II)

### Crystal data

[Cu(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>)(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]

$M_r = 390.79$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: -P 1

$a = 6.965$  (1) Å

$b = 9.1860$  (13) Å

$c = 12.4220$  (16) Å

$\alpha = 96.633$  (1)°

$\beta = 105.116$  (2)°

$\gamma = 103.978$  (2)°

$V = 730.91$  (17) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F_{000} = 398$

$D_x = 1.776$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 2564 reflections

$\theta = 2.6$ – $27.9$ °

$\mu = 1.55$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 298$  (2) K

Block, blue

$0.40 \times 0.21 \times 0.20$  mm

### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 298$ (2) K

$\phi$  and  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$T_{\min} = 0.577$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.748$

3783 measured reflections

2526 independent reflections

2249 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.013$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0$ °

$\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.7$ °

$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$

$l = -10 \rightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0335P)^2 + 0.6897P]$
$S = 1.04$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2526 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
218 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.39 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.29638 (4)	0.19451 (3)	0.99760 (3)	0.02357 (11)
O1	0.5665 (3)	0.2069 (2)	0.96944 (15)	0.0277 (4)
O2	0.7980 (3)	0.3422 (2)	0.89863 (17)	0.0381 (5)
O3	0.1131 (3)	-0.0129 (2)	0.85168 (15)	0.0304 (4)
O4	-0.1882 (3)	-0.0059 (3)	0.73675 (17)	0.0436 (5)
O5	0.3529 (4)	0.3559 (3)	0.4025 (2)	0.0652 (7)
O6	0.6072 (4)	0.2702 (4)	0.4743 (3)	0.0742 (9)
O7	0.0648 (3)	0.2226 (2)	1.04985 (15)	0.0300 (4)
H7A	-0.0183	0.2515	0.9997	0.045*
H7B	0.0013	0.1397	1.0639	0.045*
O8	0.3635 (3)	0.0686 (2)	1.10838 (15)	0.0307 (4)
H8A	0.3628	-0.0188	1.0768	0.046*
H8B	0.2798	0.0576	1.1478	0.046*
N1	0.2972 (3)	0.3507 (2)	0.90140 (17)	0.0220 (4)
N2	0.1750 (3)	0.4334 (2)	0.85329 (17)	0.0240 (4)
H2	0.0547	0.4290	0.8609	0.029*
N3	0.4354 (4)	0.2843 (3)	0.4672 (2)	0.0445 (6)
C1	0.6256 (4)	0.3104 (3)	0.9141 (2)	0.0240 (5)

## supplementary materials

---

C2	0.4692 (3)	0.3913 (3)	0.8697 (2)	0.0212 (5)
C3	0.4564 (4)	0.5001 (3)	0.8016 (2)	0.0265 (5)
H3	0.5558	0.5468	0.7693	0.032*
C4	0.2652 (4)	0.5246 (3)	0.7917 (2)	0.0265 (5)
C5	0.1576 (5)	0.6251 (4)	0.7292 (3)	0.0449 (8)
H5A	0.0132	0.5718	0.6957	0.067*
H5B	0.2181	0.6526	0.6707	0.067*
H5C	0.1721	0.7159	0.7811	0.067*
C6	0.0028 (4)	0.0150 (3)	0.7621 (2)	0.0272 (6)
C7	0.1138 (4)	0.0802 (3)	0.6810 (2)	0.0252 (5)
C8	0.3027 (4)	0.0557 (3)	0.6794 (2)	0.0293 (6)
H8	0.3590	-0.0045	0.7267	0.035*
C9	0.4072 (4)	0.1196 (3)	0.6083 (2)	0.0321 (6)
H9	0.5324	0.1022	0.6064	0.038*
C10	0.3217 (4)	0.2099 (3)	0.5403 (2)	0.0311 (6)
C11	0.1344 (4)	0.2360 (3)	0.5388 (2)	0.0344 (6)
H11	0.0796	0.2969	0.4916	0.041*
C12	0.0298 (4)	0.1692 (3)	0.6095 (2)	0.0318 (6)
H12	-0.0978	0.1841	0.6090	0.038*

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.01987 (17)	0.02766 (19)	0.02970 (19)	0.00910 (12)	0.01187 (13)	0.01551 (13)
O1	0.0231 (9)	0.0327 (10)	0.0370 (10)	0.0137 (8)	0.0148 (8)	0.0191 (8)
O2	0.0228 (10)	0.0500 (13)	0.0556 (13)	0.0161 (9)	0.0218 (9)	0.0290 (10)
O3	0.0326 (10)	0.0323 (10)	0.0270 (10)	0.0051 (8)	0.0103 (8)	0.0141 (8)
O4	0.0300 (11)	0.0721 (15)	0.0385 (11)	0.0153 (10)	0.0180 (9)	0.0289 (11)
O5	0.0705 (17)	0.0853 (19)	0.0645 (16)	0.0304 (15)	0.0368 (14)	0.0546 (15)
O6	0.0562 (16)	0.110 (2)	0.095 (2)	0.0382 (16)	0.0546 (15)	0.0648 (18)
O7	0.0239 (9)	0.0356 (11)	0.0418 (11)	0.0134 (8)	0.0176 (8)	0.0230 (9)
O8	0.0342 (10)	0.0357 (10)	0.0351 (10)	0.0173 (8)	0.0194 (8)	0.0204 (8)
N1	0.0207 (10)	0.0243 (11)	0.0259 (11)	0.0088 (8)	0.0108 (8)	0.0102 (9)
N2	0.0195 (10)	0.0278 (11)	0.0317 (11)	0.0115 (9)	0.0122 (9)	0.0128 (9)
N3	0.0464 (16)	0.0533 (17)	0.0445 (15)	0.0145 (13)	0.0242 (12)	0.0257 (13)
C1	0.0205 (12)	0.0245 (13)	0.0291 (13)	0.0067 (10)	0.0094 (10)	0.0086 (10)
C2	0.0180 (12)	0.0211 (12)	0.0262 (13)	0.0036 (9)	0.0102 (10)	0.0067 (10)
C3	0.0255 (13)	0.0275 (14)	0.0326 (14)	0.0071 (11)	0.0164 (11)	0.0127 (11)
C4	0.0294 (14)	0.0265 (14)	0.0295 (14)	0.0102 (11)	0.0134 (11)	0.0132 (11)
C5	0.0460 (18)	0.053 (2)	0.0553 (19)	0.0281 (15)	0.0255 (15)	0.0348 (16)
C6	0.0290 (14)	0.0264 (14)	0.0271 (14)	0.0052 (11)	0.0116 (11)	0.0070 (11)
C7	0.0250 (13)	0.0268 (14)	0.0221 (13)	0.0038 (10)	0.0072 (10)	0.0054 (10)
C8	0.0283 (14)	0.0326 (15)	0.0299 (14)	0.0112 (11)	0.0084 (11)	0.0121 (11)
C9	0.0248 (13)	0.0395 (16)	0.0357 (15)	0.0108 (12)	0.0121 (11)	0.0109 (12)
C10	0.0299 (14)	0.0369 (15)	0.0290 (14)	0.0058 (12)	0.0143 (11)	0.0110 (11)
C11	0.0371 (15)	0.0409 (17)	0.0318 (15)	0.0165 (13)	0.0112 (12)	0.0190 (12)
C12	0.0250 (13)	0.0440 (17)	0.0312 (14)	0.0136 (12)	0.0099 (11)	0.0142 (12)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

Cu1—O8	1.9344 (17)	C1—C2	1.488 (3)
Cu1—O7	1.9489 (17)	C2—C3	1.387 (3)
Cu1—N1	1.970 (2)	C3—C4	1.380 (3)
Cu1—O1	1.9811 (16)	C3—H3	0.9300
Cu1—O3	2.3164 (19)	C4—C5	1.488 (4)
O1—C1	1.286 (3)	C5—H5A	0.9600
O2—C1	1.236 (3)	C5—H5B	0.9600
O3—C6	1.269 (3)	C5—H5C	0.9600
O4—C6	1.244 (3)	C6—C7	1.515 (3)
O5—N3	1.213 (3)	C7—C12	1.388 (4)
O6—N3	1.216 (3)	C7—C8	1.392 (4)
O7—H7A	0.8468	C8—C9	1.379 (4)
O7—H7B	0.8446	C8—H8	0.9300
O8—H8A	0.8503	C9—C10	1.376 (4)
O8—H8B	0.8496	C9—H9	0.9300
N1—C2	1.339 (3)	C10—C11	1.378 (4)
N1—N2	1.345 (3)	C11—C12	1.386 (4)
N2—C4	1.352 (3)	C11—H11	0.9300
N2—H2	0.8599	C12—H12	0.9300
N3—C10	1.475 (3)		
O8—Cu1—O7	91.42 (7)	C4—C3—C2	105.6 (2)
O8—Cu1—N1	166.43 (8)	C4—C3—H3	127.2
O7—Cu1—N1	96.45 (7)	C2—C3—H3	127.2
O8—Cu1—O1	88.78 (7)	N2—C4—C3	106.6 (2)
O7—Cu1—O1	167.50 (8)	N2—C4—C5	121.2 (2)
N1—Cu1—O1	81.15 (7)	C3—C4—C5	132.2 (2)
O8—Cu1—O3	93.71 (7)	C4—C5—H5A	109.5
O7—Cu1—O3	97.90 (7)	C4—C5—H5B	109.5
N1—Cu1—O3	96.12 (7)	H5A—C5—H5B	109.5
O1—Cu1—O3	94.56 (7)	C4—C5—H5C	109.5
C1—O1—Cu1	115.62 (15)	H5A—C5—H5C	109.5
C6—O3—Cu1	116.46 (16)	H5B—C5—H5C	109.5
Cu1—O7—H7A	110.3	O4—C6—O3	125.0 (2)
Cu1—O7—H7B	109.9	O4—C6—C7	118.0 (2)
H7A—O7—H7B	109.5	O3—C6—C7	117.0 (2)
Cu1—O8—H8A	111.4	C12—C7—C8	119.3 (2)
Cu1—O8—H8B	111.3	C12—C7—C6	119.8 (2)
H8A—O8—H8B	109.4	C8—C7—C6	120.8 (2)
C2—N1—N2	105.59 (19)	C9—C8—C7	120.7 (2)
C2—N1—Cu1	114.33 (15)	C9—C8—H8	119.6
N2—N1—Cu1	140.08 (16)	C7—C8—H8	119.6
N1—N2—C4	111.61 (19)	C10—C9—C8	118.4 (2)
N1—N2—H2	124.2	C10—C9—H9	120.8
C4—N2—H2	124.2	C8—C9—H9	120.8
O5—N3—O6	123.2 (3)	C9—C10—C11	122.6 (2)
O5—N3—C10	118.5 (2)	C9—C10—N3	119.2 (2)

## supplementary materials

O6—N3—C10	118.3 (2)	C11—C10—N3	118.2 (2)
O2—C1—O1	123.6 (2)	C10—C11—C12	118.3 (2)
O2—C1—C2	121.8 (2)	C10—C11—H11	120.9
O1—C1—C2	114.6 (2)	C12—C11—H11	120.9
N1—C2—C3	110.7 (2)	C11—C12—C7	120.6 (2)
N1—C2—C1	114.0 (2)	C11—C12—H12	119.7
C3—C2—C1	135.4 (2)	C7—C12—H12	119.7
O8—Cu1—O1—C1	-165.77 (18)	O1—C1—C2—C3	-175.1 (3)
O7—Cu1—O1—C1	-74.7 (4)	N1—C2—C3—C4	-0.4 (3)
N1—Cu1—O1—C1	5.10 (18)	C1—C2—C3—C4	179.1 (3)
O3—Cu1—O1—C1	100.61 (18)	N1—N2—C4—C3	-0.5 (3)
O8—Cu1—O3—C6	167.18 (17)	N1—N2—C4—C5	179.1 (2)
O7—Cu1—O3—C6	75.23 (18)	C2—C3—C4—N2	0.6 (3)
N1—Cu1—O3—C6	-22.18 (18)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-179.1 (3)
O1—Cu1—O3—C6	-103.75 (17)	Cu1—O3—C6—O4	-100.5 (3)
O8—Cu1—N1—C2	40.1 (4)	Cu1—O3—C6—C7	78.9 (2)
O7—Cu1—N1—C2	165.23 (17)	O4—C6—C7—C12	25.6 (4)
O1—Cu1—N1—C2	-2.40 (17)	O3—C6—C7—C12	-153.8 (2)
O3—Cu1—N1—C2	-96.07 (17)	O4—C6—C7—C8	-156.2 (3)
O8—Cu1—N1—N2	-140.9 (3)	O3—C6—C7—C8	24.3 (4)
O7—Cu1—N1—N2	-15.8 (3)	C12—C7—C8—C9	0.5 (4)
O1—Cu1—N1—N2	176.6 (3)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-177.7 (2)
O3—Cu1—N1—N2	82.9 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C10	0.9 (4)
C2—N1—N2—C4	0.3 (3)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-1.4 (4)
Cu1—N1—N2—C4	-178.7 (2)	C8—C9—C10—N3	177.5 (3)
Cu1—O1—C1—O2	173.2 (2)	O5—N3—C10—C9	175.5 (3)
Cu1—O1—C1—C2	-6.5 (3)	O6—N3—C10—C9	-4.0 (4)
N2—N1—C2—C3	0.1 (3)	O5—N3—C10—C11	-5.5 (4)
Cu1—N1—C2—C3	179.42 (17)	O6—N3—C10—C11	174.9 (3)
N2—N1—C2—C1	-179.5 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C12	0.4 (4)
Cu1—N1—C2—C1	-0.2 (3)	N3—C10—C11—C12	-178.4 (3)
O2—C1—C2—N1	-175.2 (2)	C10—C11—C12—C7	1.0 (4)
O1—C1—C2—N1	4.5 (3)	C8—C7—C12—C11	-1.4 (4)
O2—C1—C2—C3	5.2 (5)	C6—C7—C12—C11	176.7 (3)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
O7—H7A...O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.85	1.96	2.799 (2)	173
O7—H7B...O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.84	1.83	2.631 (2)	157
O8—H8A...O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.85	1.97	2.803 (3)	165
O8—H8B...O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85	1.78	2.582 (2)	156
N2—H2...O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	1.97	2.781 (3)	157

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x-1, y, z$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y, -z+2$ ; (iii)  $-x+1, -y, -z+2$ .

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

