

## (E)-2-[(2-Hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl)-iminoethyl]phenolate

Yousef M. Hijji,<sup>a</sup> Belygona Barare,<sup>a</sup> Ray J. Butcher<sup>b\*</sup> and Jerry P. Jasinski<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Howard University, 525 College Street NW, Washington DC 20059, USA, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Keene State College, 229 Main Street, Keene, NH 03435-2001, USA

Correspondence e-mail: rbutcher99@yahoo.com


Received 8 January 2009; accepted 8 January 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 296$  K; mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.052;  $wR$  factor = 0.161; data-to-parameter ratio = 22.5.

In the title molecule,  $C_{13}H_{10}N_2O_4$ , the dihedral angle between the mean planes of the benzene and phenolate rings is  $21.6(4)^\circ$ . The nitro O atoms are twisted slightly out of the plane of the ring to which the nitro group is attached [dihedral angle  $8.4(3)^\circ$ ]. The amine group forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with both nearby O atoms. An extended  $\pi$  delocalization throughout the entire molecule exists producing a zwitterionic effect in this region of the molecule. The shortened C–O bond [ $1.2997(15)$  Å] in concert with the slightly longer C–OH bond [ $1.3310(16)$  Å] provide evidence for this effect. The crystal packing is influenced by strong intermolecular O–H···O hydrogen bonding. As a result, molecules are linked into an infinite zigzag chain running along the  $b$  axis. A *MOPAC* PM3 calculation provides support to these observations.

### Related literature

For related structures, see: Ersanlı *et al.* (2003); Odabaşoğlu *et al.* (2006); Jasinski *et al.* (2007); Elerman *et al.* (1995); Hijji *et al.* (2008, 2009). For the application of Schiff bases in organic synthesis, see: Barba *et al.* (2001); Rodriguez *et al.* (2005). For details of the *MOPAC* PM3 calculation, see: Schmidt & Polik (2007).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{10}N_2O_4$	$V = 1155.91(8)$ Å $^3$
$M_r = 258.23$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 7.3949(3)$ Å	$\mu = 0.11$ mm $^{-1}$
$b = 9.1058(4)$ Å	$T = 296(2)$ K
$c = 17.2734(6)$ Å	$0.45 \times 0.36 \times 0.21$ mm
$\beta = 96.387(4)^\circ$	

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini R diffractometer	16362 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis RED</i> ; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)	3889 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.950$ , $T_{\max} = 0.977$	2071 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.045$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	173 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.161$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.01$	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.36$ e Å $^{-3}$
3889 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.23$ e Å $^{-3}$

**Table 1**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1–H1O···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	1.72	2.5407 (13)	175
N2–H2B···O4	0.86	1.91	2.5973 (15)	135
N2–H2B···O1	0.86	2.34	2.6532 (14)	102
C2–H2A···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.93	2.60	3.2233 (18)	125
C13–H13A···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.65	3.5555 (19)	163

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1$ .

Data collection: *CrysAlisPro* (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); cell refinement: *CrysAlisPro*; data reduction: *CrysAlisRed* (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Support to YM and BB was provided by DOE-CETBR grant No. DE-FG02-03ER63580 and NSF-RISE Award No. HRD-0627276. RJB acknowledges the NSF MRI program (grant No. CHE-0619278) for funds to purchase an X-ray diffractometer.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT2849).

### References

- Barba, V., Cuahutle, D., Santillan, R. & Farfan, N. (2001). *Can. J. Chem.* **79**, 1229–1237.
- Elerman, Y., Elmali, A., Atakol, O. & Svoboda, I. (1995). *Acta Cryst. C51*, 2344–2346.
- Ersanlı, C. C., Albayrak, Ç., Odabaşoğlu, M. & Erdönmez, A. (2003). *Acta Cryst. C59*, o601–o602.
- Hijji, Y. M., Barare, B., Butcher, R. J. & Jasinski, J. P. (2009). *Acta Cryst. E65*, o291–o292.
- Hijji, Y. M., Barare, B., Kennedy, A. P. & Butcher, R. (2008). *Sensors Actuators B Chem.* doi:10.1016/j.SNB.2008.11.045.
- Jasinski, J. P., Butcher, R. J., Narayana, B., Swamy, M. T. & Yathirajan, H. S. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E63*, o4566–o4567.

- Odabaşoğlu, M., Albayrak, C. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* E**62**, o1094–o1096.  
Oxford Diffraction (2007). *CrysAlisPro* and *CrysAlis RED*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.  
Rodriguez, M., Ocha, M. E., Santillan, R., Farfan, N. & Barba, V. (2005). *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **690**, 2975–2988.  
Schmidt, J. R. & Polik, W. F. (2007). *WebMO Pro*. WebMO, LLC, Holland, MI, USA, available from <http://www.webmo.net>.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A**64**, 112–122.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, o490–o491 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809001007]

## (E)-2-[(2-Hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl)iminiomethyl]phenolate

**Yousef M. Hijji, Belygona Barare, Ray J. Butcher and Jerry P. Jasinski**

### S1. Comment

Schiff bases have extensive application in industry. Much interest in these compounds and their complexes is due to their anti-tumor activities. The boronate complex derivative of the title compound (Barba *et al.* 2001) displays it in the phenol-imine form, which can undergo an imine Diels-Alder reaction to produce a 3,4-dihydroquinoline (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2005). Compounds of this type can also be used as anion sensors in acetonitrile (Hijji *et al.*, 2008) and tend to exist in the keto-amine form, which is generally favored over the phenol-imine form in the solid state. While the keto-amine tautomer is commonly produced in these complexes (Ersanlı *et al.*, 2003; Odabaşoğlu *et al.*, 2006; Elerman *et al.*, 1995; Jasinski *et al.*, 2007), the title compound adapts a phenol-imino tautomer as the stable form which is confirmed by the X-ray data and in agreement with that found *via* NMR data in DMSO solution. The stability of this form may be enhanced by the electronic effect of the nitro group. The dark color in the solid state is generally an indication of increased conjugation, while the yellow colored solutions, as in acetonitrile or anhydrous DMSO, may be due to conversion to the phenol-imino tautomer.

The title molecule,  $C_{13}H_{10}N_2O_4$ , consists of a 2-hydroxy-5-nitrophenyliminio group and a phenolate group bonded to a methylene carbon atom with both of the planar six-membered rings twisted from the plane of the molecule. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the phenyl and phenolate rings measures  $21.6(4)^\circ$ . The nitro oxygen atoms are twisted slightly out of the plane of the molecule [torsion angles =  $170.80(13)$  ( $O_2—N_1—C_4—C_5$ );  $-7.5(2)^\circ$  ( $O_3—N_1—C_4—C_5$ );  $-5.8(2)^\circ$  ( $O_2—N_1—C_4—C_3$ );  $175.92(14)^\circ$  ( $O_3—N_1—C_4—C_3$ )]. The phenolate ( $O_4$ ) and hydroxy ( $O_1$ ) oxygen atoms are essentially in the plane of the molecule [torsion angles =  $-179.51(13)^\circ$  ( $O_4—C_9—C_{10}—C_{11}$ );  $-3.2(2)^\circ$  ( $O_4—C_9—C_8—C_7$ );  $-178.63(14)^\circ$  ( $O_1—C_1—C_2—C_3$ );  $178.83(12)^\circ$  ( $O_1—C_1—C_6—C_5$ )]. The iminio group forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with each of the nearby oxygen atoms ( $O_1$  and  $O_4$ ) (see Fig. 1 and Table 1). There appears to be an extended  $\pi$  delocalization effect throughout the entire molecule producing a zwitterionic effect in this region of the molecule similar to that seen in a close structurally related dinitro compound (Hijji *et al.*, 2009). The shortened  $C_9—O_4$  bond ( $1.2997(15)$  Å) in concert with the slightly longer  $C_1—O_1$  bond ( $1.3310(16)$  Å) provide structural evidence of this effect in a similar fashion.

Crystal packing is influenced by extensive strong intermolecular  $O—H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonding between the phenolate and hydroxy oxygen atoms ( $O_4$  &  $O_1$ ) and their respective hydrogen atoms within the  $\pi$  delocalized region ( $O_1—H10\cdots O_4$ ;  $2.540(7)$  Å) of the molecule. Additional weak intermolecular  $C—H\cdots O$  hydrogen bond interactions occur involving the phenyl ( $C_2$ ) and phenolate ( $C_{13}$ ) groups, respectively. All of the hydrogen bond interactions are summarized in Table 1. As a result the molecules are linked into an infinite polymeric chain diagonally along the [101] plane of the unit cell in an alternate inverted pattern (Fig. 2). In addition, weak  $Cg_2—Cg_2$  ( $3.895(2)$  Å; slippage =  $1.09(2)^\circ$ ;  $-x, 2 -y, -z$ )  $\pi\cdots\pi$  stacking ring interactions and  $N_1—O_2\cdots Cg_2$   $\pi$ -ring interactions ( $3.648(4)$  Å;  $x, -1 + y, z$ ) also occur where  $Cg_2$  = center of gravity of the  $C_8—C_{13}$  ring.


After a *MOPAC* PM3 calculation [Parameterized Model 3 approximation together with the Hartree-Fock closed-shell (restricted) wavefunction was used and minimizations were terminated at an r.m.s. gradient of less than  $0.01 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ ] of the zwitterionic form with *WebMO Pro* (Schmidt & Polik, 2007), the mean planes between the phenyl and phenolate rings changes to  $3.7(7)^\circ$ , producing a significantly less twisted, nearly planar, molecule than that observed in the crystalline environment. It is apparent that the extensive hydrogen bonding,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking and  $\pi$ -ring intermolecular interactions significantly influence crystal packing with this molecule.

## S2. Experimental

2-Amino-4-nitrophenol (0.15 g, 1 mmol) and salicylaldehyde (0.12 g, 1 mmol) were mixed neat in a loosely capped vial, forming a light orange solid. The mixture was heated at full power in a conventional spacemaker II microwave oven for two minutes forming a deep orange product. The reaction mixture was recrystallized from a 1:1 mixture (v:v) of methanol and diethyl ether affording 0.18 g, 67% yield of the title compound as dark purple crystals. The physical data is in agreement with literature (Barba *et al.*, 2001), mp 505–508 K, GC/MS,  $m/z$ : 258 ( $M^+$ ), 211, 165, 77, 51.  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (p.p.m.): 13.21(s, 1H), 11.41(s, 1H), 9.10(s, 1H), 8.28(d,  $J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 8.07 (dd,  $J = 8.87, 2.7 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 7.70 (dd,  $J = 7.65, 1.3 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 7.44 (dt,  $J = 7.6, 1.5 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 7.14 (d,  $J = 9.03 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 6.98 (t,  $J = 7.7, \text{ H}$ ), 6.96 (d,  $J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H).  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (p.p.m.): 164.4 (CH), 160.5 (C), 157.6 (C), 139.9 (C), 135.7 (C), 133.5 (CH), 132.7 (CH), 123.7 (CH), 119.4 (CH), 117.2 (CH), 116.7 (CH), 116.3 (CH), 115.3 (CH).


## S3. Refinement

All H atoms could be seen in a difference fourier map. Nevertheless, they were placed in their calculated positions and then refined using the riding model with O—H = 0.82, N—H = 0.86 and C—H = 0.93 Å, and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.18\text{--}1.20U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N}, \text{O})$ .



**Figure 1**

The molecular structure of  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ , showing the atom numbering scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. Dashed lines indicate intramolecular N—H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds.

**Figure 2**

The molecular packing for  $C_{13}H_{10}N_2O_4$  viewed down the  $b$  axis. Dashed lines indicate intermolecular  $O-H\cdots O$ ,  $C-H\cdots O$  and intramolecular  $N-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds.

### (E)-2-[(2-Hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl)iminiomethyl]phenolate

#### Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{10}N_2O_4$   
 $M_r = 258.23$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc  
 $a = 7.3949 (3)$  Å  
 $b = 9.1058 (4)$  Å  
 $c = 17.2734 (6)$  Å  
 $\beta = 96.387 (4)$ °  
 $V = 1155.91 (8)$  Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$

$F(000) = 536$   
 $D_x = 1.484$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>  
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å  
Cell parameters from 5321 reflections  
 $\theta = 4.6\text{--}32.6$ °  
 $\mu = 0.11$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 296$  K  
Prism, yellow  
 $0.45 \times 0.36 \times 0.21$  mm

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini R  
diffractometer  
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube  
Graphite monochromator  
Detector resolution: 10.5081 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans  
Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.950$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.977$

16362 measured reflections  
3889 independent reflections  
2071 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.045$   
 $\theta_{\max} = 32.5$ °,  $\theta_{\min} = 4.6$ °  
 $h = -10 \rightarrow 11$   
 $k = -12 \rightarrow 13$   
 $l = -25 \rightarrow 24$

*Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.161$$

$$S = 1.01$$

3889 reflections

173 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0893P)^2]$$
$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.36 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.23 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O1	0.07674 (16)	0.78106 (11)	0.74658 (6)	0.0506 (3)
H1O	0.0213	0.8253	0.7779	0.061*
O2	0.56661 (19)	1.27359 (14)	0.63179 (8)	0.0696 (4)
O3	0.64896 (19)	1.09097 (16)	0.56567 (8)	0.0797 (5)
O4	0.10989 (15)	0.42056 (11)	0.66269 (5)	0.0475 (3)
N1	0.56076 (17)	1.14379 (16)	0.61491 (7)	0.0494 (3)
N2	0.25299 (15)	0.67241 (13)	0.63378 (6)	0.0367 (3)
H2B	0.2098	0.6146	0.6665	0.044*
C7	0.27637 (17)	0.61689 (15)	0.56560 (7)	0.0353 (3)
H7A	0.3301	0.6755	0.5304	0.042*
C1	0.19513 (18)	0.87143 (15)	0.71860 (7)	0.0372 (3)
C2	0.2271 (2)	1.01473 (16)	0.74472 (8)	0.0433 (4)
H2A	0.1653	1.0508	0.7847	0.052*
C3	0.3485 (2)	1.10366 (16)	0.71228 (8)	0.0430 (4)
H3A	0.3688	1.1995	0.7297	0.052*
C4	0.44018 (19)	1.04784 (16)	0.65308 (8)	0.0383 (3)
C5	0.41405 (19)	0.90591 (15)	0.62591 (8)	0.0382 (3)
H5A	0.4778	0.8706	0.5863	0.046*
C6	0.29168 (18)	0.81772 (15)	0.65867 (7)	0.0346 (3)
C8	0.22475 (18)	0.47420 (15)	0.54298 (7)	0.0330 (3)
C9	0.13664 (18)	0.37863 (15)	0.59295 (7)	0.0357 (3)
C10	0.0795 (2)	0.23976 (16)	0.56283 (8)	0.0430 (4)
H10A	0.0199	0.1758	0.5934	0.052*
C11	0.1105 (2)	0.19794 (16)	0.48953 (9)	0.0464 (4)
H11A	0.0724	0.1056	0.4714	0.056*

C12	0.1984 (2)	0.29107 (17)	0.44103 (8)	0.0443 (4)
H12A	0.2196	0.2601	0.3915	0.053*
C13	0.25243 (19)	0.42681 (15)	0.46681 (8)	0.0380 (3)
H13A	0.3082	0.4895	0.4342	0.046*

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
O1	0.0648 (7)	0.0424 (6)	0.0510 (6)	-0.0085 (5)	0.0346 (5)	-0.0106 (5)
O2	0.0823 (9)	0.0445 (8)	0.0842 (9)	-0.0225 (7)	0.0183 (7)	-0.0007 (6)
O3	0.0888 (10)	0.0751 (10)	0.0847 (9)	-0.0308 (8)	0.0520 (8)	-0.0116 (7)
O4	0.0642 (7)	0.0413 (6)	0.0418 (5)	-0.0029 (5)	0.0272 (5)	0.0021 (4)
N1	0.0507 (8)	0.0468 (8)	0.0520 (7)	-0.0143 (6)	0.0115 (6)	0.0001 (6)
N2	0.0446 (6)	0.0301 (6)	0.0385 (6)	-0.0019 (5)	0.0180 (5)	-0.0009 (5)
C7	0.0367 (7)	0.0335 (7)	0.0383 (7)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0153 (5)	0.0007 (5)
C1	0.0465 (8)	0.0325 (7)	0.0348 (6)	-0.0015 (6)	0.0140 (6)	0.0004 (5)
C2	0.0530 (9)	0.0387 (8)	0.0407 (7)	0.0013 (7)	0.0159 (6)	-0.0064 (6)
C3	0.0518 (9)	0.0337 (8)	0.0439 (7)	-0.0056 (6)	0.0068 (6)	-0.0033 (6)
C4	0.0412 (7)	0.0361 (8)	0.0383 (7)	-0.0059 (6)	0.0075 (6)	0.0018 (6)
C5	0.0422 (8)	0.0354 (8)	0.0390 (7)	-0.0021 (6)	0.0138 (6)	-0.0021 (6)
C6	0.0407 (7)	0.0298 (7)	0.0346 (6)	0.0003 (6)	0.0091 (5)	-0.0021 (5)
C8	0.0347 (6)	0.0303 (7)	0.0360 (6)	0.0010 (5)	0.0133 (5)	0.0007 (5)
C9	0.0378 (7)	0.0324 (7)	0.0390 (7)	0.0034 (6)	0.0138 (5)	0.0035 (5)
C10	0.0466 (8)	0.0327 (8)	0.0509 (8)	-0.0040 (6)	0.0109 (6)	0.0070 (6)
C11	0.0552 (9)	0.0316 (8)	0.0522 (8)	-0.0028 (7)	0.0050 (7)	-0.0038 (6)
C12	0.0551 (9)	0.0401 (9)	0.0390 (7)	0.0018 (7)	0.0113 (6)	-0.0043 (6)
C13	0.0434 (7)	0.0347 (8)	0.0382 (7)	0.0007 (6)	0.0156 (6)	0.0002 (5)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )*

O1—C1	1.3310 (16)	C3—C4	1.3847 (19)
O1—H1O	0.8200	C3—H3A	0.9300
O2—N1	1.2170 (18)	C4—C5	1.3813 (19)
O3—N1	1.2262 (16)	C5—C6	1.3776 (19)
O4—C9	1.2997 (15)	C5—H5A	0.9300
N1—C4	1.4574 (18)	C8—C13	1.4210 (17)
N2—C7	1.3105 (16)	C8—C9	1.4325 (18)
N2—C6	1.4107 (17)	C9—C10	1.414 (2)
N2—H2B	0.8600	C10—C11	1.366 (2)
C7—C8	1.3977 (19)	C10—H10A	0.9300
C7—H7A	0.9300	C11—C12	1.402 (2)
C1—C2	1.392 (2)	C11—H11A	0.9300
C1—C6	1.4089 (18)	C12—C13	1.359 (2)
C2—C3	1.374 (2)	C12—H12A	0.9300
C2—H2A	0.9300	C13—H13A	0.9300
C1—O1—H1O	109.5	C6—C5—H5A	120.7
O2—N1—O3	122.66 (14)	C4—C5—H5A	120.7

O2—N1—C4	118.75 (13)	C5—C6—C1	120.61 (12)
O3—N1—C4	118.56 (14)	C5—C6—N2	122.80 (12)
C7—N2—C6	126.38 (12)	C1—C6—N2	116.58 (12)
C7—N2—H2B	116.8	C7—C8—C13	118.57 (12)
C6—N2—H2B	116.8	C7—C8—C9	121.65 (11)
N2—C7—C8	123.41 (12)	C13—C8—C9	119.70 (12)
N2—C7—H7A	118.3	O4—C9—C10	122.26 (12)
C8—C7—H7A	118.3	O4—C9—C8	120.42 (12)
O1—C1—C2	123.82 (12)	C10—C9—C8	117.32 (12)
O1—C1—C6	117.37 (12)	C11—C10—C9	121.09 (13)
C2—C1—C6	118.80 (12)	C11—C10—H10A	119.5
C3—C2—C1	121.01 (13)	C9—C10—H10A	119.5
C3—C2—H2A	119.5	C10—C11—C12	121.48 (14)
C1—C2—H2A	119.5	C10—C11—H11A	119.3
C2—C3—C4	118.72 (14)	C12—C11—H11A	119.3
C2—C3—H3A	120.6	C13—C12—C11	119.57 (13)
C4—C3—H3A	120.6	C13—C12—H12A	120.2
C5—C4—C3	122.21 (13)	C11—C12—H12A	120.2
C5—C4—N1	118.47 (13)	C12—C13—C8	120.82 (13)
C3—C4—N1	119.23 (13)	C12—C13—H13A	119.6
C6—C5—C4	118.64 (12)	C8—C13—H13A	119.6
C6—N2—C7—C8	-175.95 (12)	C2—C1—C6—N2	-179.38 (12)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-178.63 (14)	C7—N2—C6—C5	-22.8 (2)
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.9 (2)	C7—N2—C6—C1	155.85 (13)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.4 (2)	N2—C7—C8—C13	178.40 (13)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.3 (2)	N2—C7—C8—C9	1.8 (2)
C2—C3—C4—N1	176.22 (13)	C7—C8—C9—O4	-3.2 (2)
O2—N1—C4—C5	170.80 (13)	C13—C8—C9—O4	-179.81 (12)
O3—N1—C4—C5	-7.5 (2)	C7—C8—C9—C10	176.10 (12)
O2—N1—C4—C3	-5.8 (2)	C13—C8—C9—C10	-0.49 (19)
O3—N1—C4—C3	175.92 (14)	O4—C9—C10—C11	-179.51 (13)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.4 (2)	C8—C9—C10—C11	1.2 (2)
N1—C4—C5—C6	-176.08 (12)	C9—C10—C11—C12	-0.6 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.1 (2)	C10—C11—C12—C13	-0.8 (2)
C4—C5—C6—N2	178.66 (12)	C11—C12—C13—C8	1.5 (2)
O1—C1—C6—C5	178.83 (12)	C7—C8—C13—C12	-177.54 (13)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.7 (2)	C9—C8—C13—C12	-0.8 (2)
O1—C1—C6—N2	0.15 (19)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
O1—H1O $\cdots$ O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	1.72	2.5407 (13)	175
N2—H2B $\cdots$ O4	0.86	1.91	2.5973 (15)	135
N2—H2B $\cdots$ O1	0.86	2.34	2.6532 (14)	102

---

C2—H2A···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.93	2.60	3.2233 (18)	125
C13—H13A···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.65	3.5555 (19)	163

---

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y+1/2, -z+3/2$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$ .