

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 1,2-Bis(1*H*-tetrazol-5-yl)benzene dihydrate

#### Hai-Jun Xu,\* Yi-Jie Pan and Li-Jing Cui

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: xuhj@seu.edu.cn

Received 11 May 2009; accepted 14 May 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 294 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.040; wR factor = 0.098; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.6.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $C_8H_6N_8\cdot 2H_2O$ , contains one half-molecule, with the benzene ring on a centre of symmetry, and two uncoordinated water molecules. The benzene ring is oriented at a dihedral angle of 34.43 (12)° with respect to the tetrazole ring. Strong  $O-H\cdots N$  hydrogen bonds link the water molecules to the N atoms of the tetrazole ring. In the crystal structure, strong intermolecular  $O-H\cdots O$  and  $O-H\cdots N$  hydrogen bonds link the molecules into a network. One of the water H atoms is disordered over two positions and was refined with occupancies of 0.50.

#### **Related literature**

For general background, see: Luo et al. (2006). For related structures, see: Guzei & Bikzhanova (2002); Pan et al. (2007).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $C_8H_6N_8 \cdot 2H_2O$  $M_r = 286.27$ 

Monoclinic, C2/ca = 14.510 (3) Å b = 12.427 (3) Åc = 7.2576 (15) Å $\beta = 96.29 (3)^{\circ}$  $V = 1300.7 (5) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\min} = 0.971, T_{\max} = 0.979$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$   $wR(F^2) = 0.098$  S = 1.061276 reflections 101 parameters  $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 294 K $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

5963 measured reflections 1276 independent reflections 1041 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.044$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.20 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

## Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N4 $-$ H4 $A$ ···O1 $W$	0.91 (2)	1.78 (2)	2.682 (2)	171.9 (19)
$O1W - H1WA \cdots N2^{i}$	0.85	2.02	2.8658 (19)	173
$O1W - H1WB \cdot \cdot \cdot O2W^{ii}$	0.85	1.98	2.813 (2)	168
$O2W - H2WA \cdots N1$	0.85	2.06	2.896 (2)	169
$O2W - H2WB \cdot \cdot \cdot O2W^{iii}$	0.85	1.97	2.813 (3)	170
$O2W - H2WC \cdots O2W^{iv}$	0.85	2.01	2.814 (3)	158
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ .	$v - \frac{1}{2} - z + \frac{1}{2}$	(ii) $x - \frac{1}{2} - y$	$-\frac{1}{2}$ , $7 + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) -	x + 1, -v, -z

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z; (iv) -x + 1, y,  $-z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MSC (2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

HJX acknowledges a start-up grant from Southeast University, China.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HK2687).

#### References

Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Guzei, I. A. & Bikzhanova, G. A. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, 0937-0939.

Luo, J., Zhang, X.-R., Cui, L.-L., Dai, W.-Q. & Liu, B.-S. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, m614–m616.

Pan, W.-L., Chen, X.-Y. & Hu, C.-W. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* E63, o1606–o1608. Rigaku/MSC (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A64, 112–122.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1331 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809018224]

# 1,2-Bis(1*H*-tetrazol-5-yl)benzene dihydrate

# Hai-Jun Xu, Yi-Jie Pan and Li-Jing Cui

#### S1. Comment

The tetrazole functional group has currently been received considerable attention mainly because of a wide range of applications in coordination chemistry, medicinal chemistry and materials science (Luo *et al.*, 2006). However, there are a few crystal structure reports of organic tetrazolates compounds in the literature (Guzei & Bikzhanova, 2002). We reported herein the synthesis and the crystal structure of the title compound.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains one-half molecule, with benzene ring on a centre of symmetry, and two uncoordinated water molecules (Fig. 1). The bond lengths and angles are in accordance with the corresponding values reported (Pan *et al.*, 2007). The benzene ring is oriented with respect to the tetrazole ring at a dihedral angle of 34.43 (12)°. Strong intramolecular O-H…N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the water molecules to the nitrogens of the tetrazole ring.

In the crystal structure, strong intermolecular O-H···O and O-H···N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules into a network (Fig. 2), in which they may be effective in the stabilization of the structure.

#### **S2. Experimental**

For the preparation of the title compound, phthalonitrile (1.28 g, 10 mmol),  $NH_4Cl$  (1.38 g, 26 mmol) and  $NaN_3$  (1.69 g, 13 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (60 ml). The mixture was heated to 353 K, and stirred for 48 h. Then, it was cooled to room temperature and poured into cold water and acidified to pH = 2 with concentrated hydrochloric acid. After 12 h at 277 K, the suspension was filtrated, and the residue was washed with  $H_2O$  and  $H_2O/EtOH$  (1/1), and then dried. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from an EtOH solution.

#### **S3. Refinement**

One of the H atoms bonded to O2W was disordered. During the refinement process, the disordered H atom was refined with occupancies of 0.50 and 0.50. H atom (for NH) was located in difference Fourier synthesis and refined isotropically. The remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically with O-H = 0.85 Å (for H<sub>2</sub>O) [U<sub>iso</sub>(H) = 0.060 (15)-0.086 (9) Å<sup>2</sup>] and C-H = 0.93 Å, for aromatic H atoms and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with U<sub>iso</sub>(H) = 1.2U<sub>eq</sub>(C).



### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title molecule, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



### Figure 2

A partial packing diagram of the title compound. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

#### 1,2-Bis(1H-tetrazol-5-yl)benzene dihydrate

#### Crystal data

 $C_{8}H_{6}N_{8} \cdot 2H_{2}O$   $M_{r} = 286.27$ Monoclinic, *C2/c*Hall symbol: -C 2yc *a* = 14.510 (3) Å *b* = 12.427 (3) Å *c* = 7.2576 (15) Å  $\beta$  = 96.29 (3)° *V* = 1300.7 (5) Å<sup>3</sup> *Z* = 4

#### Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini	5963 measured reflections
diffractometer	1276 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1041 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.044$
Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.3^\circ$
ω scans	$h = -17 \rightarrow 17$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
(Blessing, 1995)	$l = -8 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\min} = 0.971, \ T_{\max} = 0.979$	
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
$wR(F^2) = 0.098$	and constrained refinement
S = 1.06	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0394P)^2 + 0.846P]$
1276 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
101 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,

F(000) = 600

 $\theta = 3.3 - 27.5^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Prism. colorless

 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$  mm

T = 294 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.462 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 5656 reflections

2008),  $Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ 

Extinction coefficient: 0.0220 (19)

#### Special details

map

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
O1W	0.20523 (9)	-0.46870 (10)	0.4630 (2)	0.0479 (4)	
H1WA	0.1982	-0.5343	0.4316	0.086 (9)*	

H1WB	0.1528	-0.4390	0.4684	0.084 (9)*	
O2W	0.52104 (9)	-0.10467 (11)	-0.0561 (2)	0.0467 (4)	
H2WA	0.4931	-0.1528	-0.0003	0.076 (8)*	
H2WB	0.5036	-0.0452	-0.0135	0.060 (15)*	0.50
H2WC	0.4968	-0.1163	-0.1663	0.078 (19)*	0.50
N1	0.40777 (10)	-0.24613 (11)	0.1426 (2)	0.0332 (4)	
N2	0.33249 (10)	-0.18460 (11)	0.1621 (2)	0.0397 (4)	
N3	0.27393 (10)	-0.23572 (12)	0.2522 (2)	0.0417 (4)	
N4	0.31147 (10)	-0.33246 (12)	0.2923 (2)	0.0353 (4)	
H4A	0.2797 (14)	-0.3834 (17)	0.350 (3)	0.053 (6)*	
C1	0.45124 (10)	-0.43665 (12)	0.2407 (2)	0.0264 (4)	
C2	0.40490 (11)	-0.53513 (12)	0.2324 (2)	0.0308 (4)	
H2A	0.3404	-0.5358	0.2205	0.037*	
C3	0.45222 (11)	-0.63163 (13)	0.2414 (2)	0.0327 (4)	
H3A	0.4198	-0.6963	0.2360	0.039*	
C4	0.39372 (11)	-0.33838 (12)	0.2258 (2)	0.0277 (4)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
O1W	0.0353 (8)	0.0370 (8)	0.0737 (10)	-0.0091 (6)	0.0168 (7)	-0.0132 (7)
O2W	0.0504 (9)	0.0396 (8)	0.0527 (9)	-0.0046 (6)	0.0166 (7)	0.0005 (7)
N1	0.0323 (8)	0.0253 (7)	0.0421 (9)	0.0035 (6)	0.0052 (6)	0.0021 (6)
N2	0.0379 (9)	0.0283 (8)	0.0528 (10)	0.0071 (6)	0.0040 (7)	0.0015 (7)
N3	0.0321 (9)	0.0304 (8)	0.0630 (11)	0.0082 (6)	0.0067 (8)	-0.0009 (7)
N4	0.0271 (8)	0.0262 (7)	0.0537 (10)	0.0020 (6)	0.0103 (7)	0.0017 (7)
C1	0.0265 (8)	0.0235 (8)	0.0298 (9)	0.0009 (6)	0.0059 (6)	0.0010 (7)
C2	0.0250 (9)	0.0280 (9)	0.0401 (10)	-0.0024 (6)	0.0065 (7)	-0.0014 (7)
C3	0.0357 (9)	0.0225 (8)	0.0408 (10)	-0.0050 (7)	0.0082 (8)	-0.0005 (7)
C4	0.0235 (8)	0.0255 (8)	0.0341 (9)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0026 (7)	-0.0017 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1W—H1WA	0.8500	C1—C2	1.394 (2)
O1W—H1WB	0.8501	C1—C1 <sup>i</sup>	1.407 (3)
O2W—H2WA	0.8499	C1—C4	1.476 (2)
O2W—H2WB	0.8500	C2—C3	1.380 (2)
O2W—H2WC	0.8500	C2—H2A	0.9300
N1—N2	1.353 (2)	C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	1.378 (3)
N2—N3	1.294 (2)	С3—НЗА	0.9300
N3—N4	1.339 (2)	C4—N1	1.322 (2)
N4—H4A	0.91 (2)	C4—N4	1.338 (2)
H1WA—O1W—H1WB	110.3	C2—C1—C4	117.17 (14)
H2WA—O2W—H2WB	105.2	$C1^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C4$	124.17 (8)
H2WA—O2W—H2WC	99.2	C3—C2—C1	121.71 (15)
H2WB—O2W—H2WC	112.4	C3—C2—H2A	119.1
C4—N1—N2	105.99 (13)	C1—C2—H2A	119.1

# supporting information

N3—N2—N1	110.96 (14)	C3 <sup>i</sup> —C3—C2	119.65 (9)
N2—N3—N4	106.06 (13)	C3 <sup>i</sup> —C3—H3A	120.2
C4—N4—N3	109.19 (14)	С2—С3—НЗА	120.2
C4—N4—H4A	130.1 (13)	N1-C4-N4	107.80 (14)
N3—N4—H4A	120.6 (13)	N1-C4-C1	129.54 (15)
$C2-C1-C1^{i}$	118.65 (9)	N4—C4—C1	122.58 (14)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, *y*, -z+1/2.

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	Н…А	D····A	D—H…A
N4—H4 <i>A</i> …O1 <i>W</i>	0.91 (2)	1.78 (2)	2.682 (2)	171.9 (19)
O1W—H1 $WA$ ···N2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85	2.02	2.8658 (19)	173
O1W—H1 $WB$ ···O2 $W$ <sup>iii</sup>	0.85	1.98	2.813 (2)	168
O2 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>W</i> A···N1	0.85	2.06	2.896 (2)	169
$O2W - H2WB - O2W^{iv}$	0.85	1.97	2.813 (3)	170
$O2W - H2WC \cdots O2W^{\vee}$	0.85	2.01	2.814 (3)	158

Symmetry codes: (ii) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (iii) *x*-1/2, -*y*-1/2, *z*+1/2; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (v) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*-1/2.