

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis( $\mu$ -3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine- $\kappa^2N^1:N^2$ )bis[dichloridocobalt(II)]

 Yun Gong,<sup>a\*</sup> Jinghua Li,<sup>b</sup> Yuchao Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Jianbo Qin<sup>a</sup> and Xiaoxia Wu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chongqing University, 400044 Chongqing, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chongqing University, 400044 Chongqing, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: gongyun7211@yahoo.com.cn


Received 14 May 2009; accepted 9 June 2009

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 298$  K; mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.032;  $wR$  factor = 0.085; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1.

In the centrosymmetric dinuclear compound,  $[Co_2Cl_4(C_4H_8N_4)_2]$ , the  $Co^{II}$  atom is coordinated by N atoms from two 3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine ligands and two Cl atoms in a distorted tetrahedral geometry. A six-membered ring is formed by four N atoms from two ligands and the two  $Co^{II}$  centers; the  $Co \cdots Co$  distance is 3.756 (9) Å.

## Related literature

For related compounds, see: Cheng *et al.* (2007); Lavrenova *et al.* (1992); Liu *et al.* (2003); Nockemann & Meyer (2007).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

$[Co_2Cl_4(C_4H_8N_4)_2]$   
 $M_r = 483.95$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 6.7412$  (10) Å  
 $b = 12.2094$  (16) Å

$c = 11.4423$  (14) Å  
 $\beta = 97.8270$  (10)°  
 $V = 933.0$  (2) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 2$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu = 2.36$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 298$  K

$0.34 \times 0.33 \times 0.17$  mm

## Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{min} = 0.46$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.67$

4733 measured reflections  
1638 independent reflections  
1304 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{int} = 0.023$

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.085$   
 $S = 1.07$   
1638 reflections

102 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.43$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.58$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Co1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.023 (3)	Co1—Cl2	2.2154 (11)
Co1—N1	2.030 (3)	Co1—Cl1	2.2382 (11)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N1	107.55 (11)	N2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—Cl1	109.60 (9)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—Cl2	108.46 (9)	N1—Co1—Cl1	109.49 (9)
N1—Co1—Cl2	108.50 (9)	Cl2—Co1—Cl1	113.10 (5)

 Symmetry code: (i)  $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$ .

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Young Scholars Foundation of Chongqing University, the Large-scale Instrument and Equipment Open Foundation of Chongqing University, the Scientific Research Start-up Foundation of Chongqing University and Chongqing University Post-graduate Science and Innovation Fund.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG2584).

## References

- Cheng, L., Zhang, W. X., Ye, B. H., Lin, J. B. & Chen, X. M. (2007). *Inorg. Chem.* **46**, 1135–1143.  
Lavrenova, L. G., Baidina, I. A., Ikorskii, V. N., Sheludyakova, L. A. & Larionov, S. V. (1992). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **37**, 313–316.  
Liu, J. C., Guo, G. C., Huang, J. S. & You, X. Z. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* **42**, 235–243.  
Nockemann, P. & Meyer, G. (2007). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **633**, 2238–2241.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
Siemens (1996). *SMART and SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, m791 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536809021916 ]

## Bis( $\mu$ -3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine- $\kappa^2 N^1:N^2$ )bis[dichloridocobalt(II)]

Y. Gong, J. Li, Y. Zhou, J. Qin and X. Wu

### Comment

The rational design and synthesis of novel coordination polymers is of current interest in the field of supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering, not only because of their intriguing structural motifs but also because of their potential applications in catalysis, molecular adsorption, magnetism, nonlinear optics, and molecular sensing. 1,2,4-Triazole and its derivatives possess good coordination ability due to the heterocyclic nitrogen atoms in the structure. Many polymers of 3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine (Dmatrz) have been synthesized. In 1992, Lavrenova reported a series of metal-Dmatrz complexes, such as  $\text{CuCl}_2(\text{Dmatrz})(0.5\text{H}_2\text{O})$ ,  $\text{CdCl}_2(\text{Dmatrz})$ ,  $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{Dmatrz})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ ,  $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{Dmatrz})(0.5\text{H}_2\text{O})$ ,  $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{Dmatrz})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ ,  $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{Dmatrz})_2$ ,  $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2(\text{Dmatrz})_3$  (Lavrenova *et al.*, 1992). Other metal-Dmatrz complexes such as  $\text{Cu}(\text{Dmatrz})\text{SCN}$ ,  $\text{Zn}_2(\text{Dmatrz})_2\text{Cl}_4$ ,  $\text{Ag}_3(\text{Dmatrz})_2(\text{NO}_3)_3$  have also reported (Liu, *et al.*, 2003; Cheng, *et al.*, 2007; Nockemann, *et al.*, 2007). However, so far coordination polymer constructed from  $\text{CoCl}_2$  and Dmatrz has never been reported. In the present work, we solvothermally synthesized a  $\text{CoCl}_2$ -Dmatrz complex and it is reported here.

The molecular structure of the complex (I) (Fig. 1) has one one Co(II), one Dmatrz and two chlorine anions in its asymmetric unit. The Co(II) center is four-coordinated by four nitrogen atoms from two Dmatrz ligands and two chlorine atoms in a tetrahedral geometry. Each Dmatrz ligand links two Co(II) centers *via* its two neighboring nitrogen atoms with a Co $\cdots$ Co separation of 3.756 (9) Å (Fig.1). A six membered ring is formed *via* four nitrogen atoms from two Dmatrz ligands and two cobalt centers. The chlorine atoms can form hydrogen bonds with nitrogen atom from the uncoordinated amino group of Dmatrz. For example, The H4B $\cdots$ Cl2(ii) and N4 $\cdots$ Cl2(ii) distances are 2.514 and 3.277 Å, respectively [Symmetry codes: (ii)  $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$ ]. The N4—H4B $\cdots$  Cl2(ii) angle is 148.39 °.

### Experimental

A mixture of Dmatrz(0.05 mmol, 0.006 g),  $\text{CoCl}_2$ (0.1 mmol, 0.024 g) and ethanol(5 mm l) was put into a Teflon-lined autoclave. The reaction mixture was heated at 120 centigrade for one and a half day, followed by slow cooling to room temperature and blue single crystals were collected. Elemental analyse found: C, 19.80; H, 3.39; N, 23.04; Cl, 29.28; Co, 24.45%.

### Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$  for methyl H atoms, N—H = 0.86 Å and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$  for amino H atoms.

## Figures




Fig. 1. The structure of (I), with the atomic numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1.$ ]




Fig. 2. Three dimensional supramolecular architecture constructed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds. The dotted lines indicate the hydrogen bonds.

## Bis( $\mu$ -3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine- $\kappa^2 N^1:N^2$ )bis[dichloridocobalt(II)]

### Crystal data

$[\text{Co}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{N}_4)_2]$

$M_r = 483.95$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol:  $-P\ 2ybc$

$a = 6.7412\ (10)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 12.2094\ (16)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 11.4423\ (14)\ \text{\AA}$

$\beta = 97.8270\ (10)^\circ$

$V = 933.0\ (2)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 2$

$F_{000} = 484$

$D_x = 1.723\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 4733 reflections

$\theta = 2.5\text{--}25.0^\circ$

$\mu = 2.36\ \text{mm}^{-1}$

$T = 298\ \text{K}$

Block, blue

$0.34 \times 0.33 \times 0.17\ \text{mm}$

### Data collection

Siemens CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 298\ \text{K}$

$\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$T_{\min} = 0.46, T_{\max} = 0.67$

4733 measured reflections

1638 independent reflections

1304 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

$\theta_{\max} = 25.0^\circ$

$\theta_{\min} = 2.5^\circ$

$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$

$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$

$l = -13 \rightarrow 8$

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Least-squares matrix: full

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.085$$

$$S = 1.07$$

1638 reflections

102 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0358P)^2 + 1.1376P]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.43 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.58 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Extinction correction: none

### Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

### Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Co1	0.59569 (7)	0.10736 (4)	0.40423 (4)	0.03186 (17)
Cl1	0.84618 (15)	0.10010 (9)	0.29461 (10)	0.0545 (3)
Cl2	0.44352 (17)	0.26855 (9)	0.39618 (12)	0.0652 (3)
N1	0.7034 (4)	0.0747 (2)	0.5750 (2)	0.0360 (7)
N2	0.6098 (4)	0.0089 (2)	0.6507 (2)	0.0345 (7)
N3	0.8558 (4)	0.0937 (2)	0.7526 (2)	0.0341 (7)
N4	0.9948 (5)	0.1233 (3)	0.8494 (3)	0.0505 (9)
H4A	0.9879	0.0948	0.9174	0.061*
H4B	1.0872	0.1700	0.8409	0.061*
C1	0.7059 (5)	0.0212 (3)	0.7575 (3)	0.0328 (8)
C2	0.8540 (5)	0.1240 (3)	0.6382 (3)	0.0365 (8)
C3	0.6643 (6)	-0.0339 (3)	0.8663 (3)	0.0476 (10)
H3A	0.6222	0.0194	0.9195	0.071*
H3B	0.7834	-0.0698	0.9030	0.071*
H3C	0.5602	-0.0871	0.8471	0.071*
C4	1.0012 (7)	0.1982 (4)	0.5962 (4)	0.0576 (12)
H4C	1.0375	0.1709	0.5233	0.086*
H4D	1.1183	0.2022	0.6541	0.086*
H4E	0.9437	0.2699	0.5837	0.086*

## supplementary materials

---

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Co1	0.0308 (3)	0.0312 (3)	0.0334 (3)	-0.00153 (19)	0.00350 (19)	0.0033 (2)
Cl1	0.0476 (6)	0.0600 (6)	0.0604 (6)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0239 (5)	-0.0066 (5)
Cl2	0.0540 (7)	0.0417 (6)	0.0976 (9)	0.0137 (5)	0.0018 (6)	0.0003 (6)
N1	0.0369 (17)	0.0385 (16)	0.0317 (16)	-0.0077 (13)	0.0015 (13)	0.0046 (13)
N2	0.0335 (16)	0.0330 (15)	0.0364 (16)	-0.0055 (12)	0.0026 (13)	0.0025 (13)
N3	0.0354 (16)	0.0355 (16)	0.0301 (15)	-0.0018 (13)	-0.0004 (12)	-0.0044 (12)
N4	0.051 (2)	0.067 (2)	0.0298 (16)	-0.0194 (17)	-0.0085 (14)	-0.0041 (15)
C1	0.0337 (19)	0.0314 (18)	0.0329 (19)	0.0008 (15)	0.0026 (14)	-0.0022 (14)
C2	0.0371 (19)	0.0365 (19)	0.0353 (19)	-0.0045 (15)	0.0030 (15)	0.0000 (15)
C3	0.058 (3)	0.049 (2)	0.036 (2)	-0.0039 (19)	0.0052 (18)	0.0071 (17)
C4	0.061 (3)	0.067 (3)	0.045 (2)	-0.029 (2)	0.007 (2)	0.000 (2)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

Co1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.023 (3)	N4—H4A	0.8600
Co1—N1	2.030 (3)	N4—H4B	0.8600
Co1—Cl2	2.2154 (11)	C1—C3	1.475 (5)
Co1—Cl1	2.2382 (11)	C2—C4	1.472 (5)
N1—C2	1.310 (4)	C3—H3A	0.9600
N1—N2	1.394 (4)	C3—H3B	0.9600
N2—C1	1.312 (4)	C3—H3C	0.9600
N2—Co1 <sup>i</sup>	2.023 (3)	C4—H4C	0.9600
N3—C1	1.350 (4)	C4—H4D	0.9600
N3—C2	1.358 (4)	C4—H4E	0.9600
N3—N4	1.397 (4)		
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N1	107.55 (11)	N2—C1—N3	108.3 (3)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—Cl2	108.46 (9)	N2—C1—C3	127.4 (3)
N1—Co1—Cl2	108.50 (9)	N3—C1—C3	124.3 (3)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—Cl1	109.60 (9)	N1—C2—N3	108.1 (3)
N1—Co1—Cl1	109.49 (9)	N1—C2—C4	127.5 (3)
Cl2—Co1—Cl1	113.10 (5)	N3—C2—C4	124.4 (3)
C2—N1—N2	107.7 (3)	C1—C3—H3A	109.5
C2—N1—Co1	126.2 (2)	C1—C3—H3B	109.5
N2—N1—Co1	125.3 (2)	H3A—C3—H3B	109.5
C1—N2—N1	107.7 (3)	C1—C3—H3C	109.5
C1—N2—Co1 <sup>i</sup>	126.9 (2)	H3A—C3—H3C	109.5
N1—N2—Co1 <sup>i</sup>	124.1 (2)	H3B—C3—H3C	109.5
C1—N3—C2	108.1 (3)	C2—C4—H4C	109.5
C1—N3—N4	124.1 (3)	C2—C4—H4D	109.5
C2—N3—N4	127.6 (3)	H4C—C4—H4D	109.5
N3—N4—H4A	120.0	C2—C4—H4E	109.5
N3—N4—H4B	120.0	H4C—C4—H4E	109.5
H4A—N4—H4B	120.0	H4D—C4—H4E	109.5

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y, -z+1$ .

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

