

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-nitroacetophenone (5-nitroapocynin)

Sainath Babu,^a Achuthan C. Raghavamenon,^a Frank R. Fronczek^b and Rao M. Uppu^{a*}^aDepartment of Environmental Toxicology and the Health Research Center, Southern University and A&M College, Baton Rouge, LA 70813, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1804, USA

Correspondence e-mail: rao_uppu@subr.edu


Received 20 August 2009; accepted 25 August 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 90$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.001$ Å; R factor = 0.042; wR factor = 0.120; data-to-parameter ratio = 28.9.

The title molecule, $\text{C}_9\text{H}_9\text{NO}_5$, is close to planar (r.m.s. deviation from the mean plane of the non-H atoms = 0.058 Å). The OH group forms a bifurcated $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots(\text{O},\text{O})$ hydrogen bond, with the intramolecular component to a nitro O atom and the intermolecular component to a keto O atom, the latter resulting in chains along $[20\bar{1}]$. A $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ interaction reinforces the packing.

Related literature

For medicinal background, see: Gernapudi *et al.* (2009); Geronikaki & Gavalas (2006); Hayashi *et al.* (2005); Heumuller *et al.* (2008); Matés *et al.* (2009); Muijsers *et al.* (2001); Sawa *et al.* (2000); Schopfer *et al.* (2003); Stefanska & Pawliczak (2008); Stolk *et al.* (1994); Tajik *et al.* (2009); Thomas *et al.* (2002); Touyz (2008); Ximenes *et al.* (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_9\text{H}_9\text{NO}_5$
 $M_r = 211.17$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 6.6598$ (10) Å
 $b = 16.815$ (2) Å
 $c = 8.0491$ (11) Å
 $\beta = 96.485$ (7)°

$V = 895.6$ (2) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.13$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 90$ K
 $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer
 with Oxford Cryostream
 Absorption correction: none
 22479 measured reflections

4255 independent reflections
 3226 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.120$
 $S = 1.04$
 4255 reflections
 147 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.62$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.32$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{O1}-\text{H1O}\cdots\text{O4}$	0.878 (14)	1.850 (15)	2.5939 (10)	141.3 (13)
$\text{O1}-\text{H1O}\cdots\text{O3}^i$	0.878 (14)	2.271 (14)	2.8660 (9)	124.9 (12)
$\text{C2}-\text{H2}\cdots\text{O4}^{ii}$	0.952 (12)	2.439 (12)	3.3831 (12)	171.4 (11)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank Dr Michelle Claville for helpful discussions, Ms Bianca King for help with the melting-point determination and Mr Joseph Allison for help with the GC-MS-EI analysis. This publication was made possible by National Science Foundation (NSF) grant HRD 0450375 (from the HBCU-RISE program) and US Department of Education grant PO31B040030 (Title III, Part B - Strengthening Historically Black Graduate Institutions). The LBRN summer fellowship [provided as part of National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant P20 RR16456] to Sainath Babu is gratefully acknowledged. The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NSF, NIH or the US Department of Education. The purchase of the diffractometer was made possible by grant No. LEQSF (1999-2000)-ENH-TR-13, administered by the Louisiana Board of Regents.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5058).

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 115-119.
 Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
 Gernapudi, R., Babu, S., Raghavamenon, A. C. & Uppu, R. M. (2009). *FASEB J.* **23**, LB397.
 Geronikaki, A. A. & Gavalas, A. M. (2006). *Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screen.* **9**, 425-442.

- Hayashi, T., Juliet, P. A., Kano-Hayashi, H., Tsunekawa, T., Dingqunfang, D., Sumi, D., Matsui-Hirai, H., Fukatsu, A. & Iguchi, A. (2005). *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* **7**, 334–343.
- Heumuller, S., Wind, S., Barbosa-Sicard, E., Schmidt, H. H., Busse, R., Schroder, K. & Brandes, R. P. (2008). *Hypertension*, **51**, 211–217.
- Matés, J. M., Segura, J. A., Alonso, F. J. & Marquez, J. (2009). *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* Aug 1. [Epub ahead of print].
- Muijsers, R. B. R., va Ark, I. I., Folkerts, G., Koster, A. S., van Oosterhout, A. J., Postma, D. S. & Nijkamp, F. P. (2001). *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **134**, 434–440.
- Nonius (2000). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sawa, T., Akaike, T. & Maeda, H. (2000). *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 32467–32474.
- Schopfer, F. J., Baker, P. R. S. & Freeman, B. A. (2003). *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **28**, 646–654.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Stefanska, J. & Pawliczak, R. (2008). *Mediators Inflamm.* doi: 10.1155/2008/106507.
- Stolk, J., Hiltermann, T. J., Dijkman, J. H. & Verhoeven, A. J. (1994). *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **11**, 95–102.
- Tajik, H., Niknam, & Parsa, F. (2009). *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.* **6**, 159–164.
- Thomas, D. D., Espey, M. G., Vitek, M. P., Miranda, K. M. & Wink, D. A. (2002). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **99**, 12691–12696.
- Touyz, R. M. (2008). *Hypertension*, **51**, 172–174.
- Ximenes, V. F., Kanegae, M. P. P., Rissato, S. R. & Galhiane, M. S. (2007). *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **457**, 134–141.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o2292–o2293 [doi:10.1107/S160053680903390X]

4-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-nitroacetophenone (5-nitroapocynin)

Sainath Babu, Achuthan C. Raghavamenon, Frank R. Fronczek and Rao M. Uppu

S1. Comment

The growing concern of multiple side effects associated with the use of steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs has led investigators to explore alternative and more natural remedies to counter oxidative stress in cancer and other degenerative diseases (Geronikaki & Gavalas, 2006; Matés *et al.*, 2009). Apocynin (4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-acetophenone), also called acetovanillone, isolated from plants belonging to the apocyanaceae family (*e.g.*, *Apocynum cannabinum*) seems to be a promising drug (or prodrug) that can be effective in various inflammatory conditions (Hayashi *et al.*, 2005; Muijsers *et al.*, 2001; Stefanska & Pawliczak, 2008). For a long time, apocynin has been thought to inhibit plasma membrane NADPH oxidase activity by interfering with the assembly of its cytosolic components, p40, p47, and p67 (Stolk *et al.*, 1994). This view of a direct action of apocynin on the NADPH oxidase system has been challenged in recent years (Heumuller *et al.*, 2008). Suggestions have been made that apocynin requires metabolic activation to diapocynin (DiApo), presumably involving intracellular peroxidase(s) (Touyz, 2008; Ximenes *et al.*, 2007). In a recent study, we showed that apocynin readily reacts with free radicals of carbonate ($\text{CO}_3^{\cdot-}$) and nitrogen dioxide ($\cdot\text{NO}_2$) formed in reactions of peroxyxynitrite (PN) with CO_2 , resulting in the formation of 5-nitroapocynin and DiApo as major products (Gernapudi *et al.*, 2009). Based on these observations, it has been suggested that a detailed study of the oxidative transformation of apocynin and its derivatives by PN/ CO_2 and possibly other oxidative, nitrative and/or nitrosative systems (Sawa *et al.*, 2000; Schopfer *et al.*, 2003; Thomas *et al.*, 2002) would be necessary to provide a template for screening of antioxidant activity and a module that could help in the design of effective inhibitors of the NADPH oxidase system. Towards this end, we have synthesized 5-nitroapocynin using sodium nitrate in combination with an acidic ionic liquid, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hydrogen sulfate ([bmim] [HSO₄]), in CH_3CN solvent at room temperature (Fig. 3).

The molecule is shown in Fig. 1. The phenyl ring is essentially planar, with RMS deviation 0.0046 Å and maximum deviation 0.0069 (6) Å for C5. The substituents are twisted only slightly out of the phenyl plane, as described in the Abstract. Figure 2 shows the hydrogen bonding pattern, in which the OH group forms both an intramolecular interaction and a much less linear intermolecular interaction. These are described in Table 2, and form a chain in the $[20\bar{1}]$ direction. The intermolecular component is accompanied by a near-linear C2–H2 \cdots O4 (at $x - 1, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z$) interaction, having C \cdots O distance 3.3831 (12) Å, H \cdots O distance 2.439 (12) Å, and angle 171.4 (11)° about H. The N1–O4 bond, 1.2438 (10) Å, to the O atom involved in the intramolecular hydrogen bond, is slightly longer than the other, N1–O5, 1.2255 (10) Å.

S2. Experimental

Chemicals and solvents used in the synthesis and recrystallization were obtained as follows: apocynin, [bmim] [HSO₄], and sodium nitrate from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) and acetonitrile and hexane from Mallinckrodt (Phillipsburg, NJ). Water used was ultrapure with resistance $\geq 18.2 \text{ M}\Omega/\text{cm}$.

Nitration of apocynin (Fig. 3) was performed according to the method of Tajik and colleagues (Tajik *et al.*, 2009) with some modifications. Briefly, to 3.32 g (20 mmol) of apocynin in 80 ml of CH_3CN was added 5.72 g (20 mmol) of [bmim]

[HSO₄] and 1.7 g (20 mmol) of NaNO₃, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. Aliquots (0.1 ml each) of the reaction mixture, drawn at various time points, were diluted 100–500-fold with 0.1 N NaOH and measured photometrically at 410 nm. When the absorbance at 410 nm reached a maximum (*i.e.*, typically after 24 h), the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated under low pressure (200 mm Hg) with mild heating (50°C or slightly higher). The thick brown liquid-like residue was extracted with hot hexane and recrystallized twice. The compound resolved as a single peak (retention time = 11.507 min) on Varian VF-5MS capillary column (30-m length, 0.25-mm internal diameter, 0.25- μ m film thickness) with helium as the carrier gas at a flow of 1 ml. min⁻¹ (injection port, 250°C; oven, 60°C for 5 min (isothermal); 20°C min⁻¹ up to 230°C (ramp), and held at 230 °C for 18.5 min (isothermal); split, 25:1). The ion chromatogram of the peak eluting at 11.507 min showed a molecular ion [M]⁺ at *m/z* 211 (31%; relative to the base peak) and other fragments at *m/z* values of 196 (100%; base peak; [M—CH₃]⁺), 150 (23%; [M—CH₃NO₂]⁺), 122 (11%; [M—C₂H₃NO₃]⁺) and 79 (6%; [M—C₄H₆NO₄]⁺) (Fig. 4). Single crystals of (I) in the form of golden-yellow needles were grown from methanol.

S3. Refinement

H atoms on C were located from difference maps, and their coordinates were refined, except for those on methyl groups, which were idealized with C—H distance 0.98 Å. A torsional parameter was refined for each methyl group. U_{iso} for H were assigned as 1.2 times U_{eq} of the attached atoms (1.5 for methyl). The top ten difference map peaks lie on bonds, the largest at the midpoint of C3—C4, 0.71 Å from C4.




Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I): ellipsoids at the 50% level, with H atoms having arbitrary radius.




Figure 2

A portion of the hydrogen-bonded chain, showing the bifurcated hydrogen bond and accompanying C–H···O interaction; (ii) $x - 1, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z$.




Figure 3

Nitration of apocynin




Figure 4

A proposed route of mass spectrometry-electron ionization (70 eV) fragmentation of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-nitroacetophenone.

4-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-nitroacetophenone

Crystal data

C₉H₉NO₅ $M_r = 211.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

 $a = 6.6598 (10) \text{ \AA}$ $b = 16.815 (2) \text{ \AA}$ $c = 8.0491 (11) \text{ \AA}$ $\beta = 96.485 (7)^\circ$ $V = 895.6 (2) \text{ \AA}^3$ $Z = 4$ $F(000) = 440$ $D_x = 1.566 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 4183 reflections

 $\theta = 2.5\text{--}36.3^\circ$ $\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $T = 90 \text{ K}$

Needle fragment, golden yellow

 $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD

diffractometer with Oxford Cryostream

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

 ω and φ scans

22479 measured reflections

4255 independent reflections

3226 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 36.3^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.8^\circ$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -28 \rightarrow 26$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.120$ $S = 1.04$

4255 reflections

147 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0585P)^2 + 0.2019P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.62 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.32 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O1	0.70859 (9)	0.17341 (4)	0.57565 (8)	0.01682 (13)
H1O	0.805 (2)	0.1965 (9)	0.5277 (18)	0.025*
O2	0.40131 (9)	0.12263 (4)	0.70993 (9)	0.01727 (13)
O3	0.03482 (9)	0.36827 (4)	0.88871 (9)	0.01850 (14)

O4	0.90549 (10)	0.29612 (4)	0.48481 (9)	0.02148 (15)
O5	0.81333 (10)	0.41618 (4)	0.53665 (9)	0.02082 (14)
N1	0.79036 (11)	0.34401 (4)	0.54412 (9)	0.01483 (13)
C1	0.32973 (11)	0.33924 (5)	0.76315 (10)	0.01274 (14)
C2	0.29375 (12)	0.25663 (5)	0.77082 (10)	0.01340 (14)
H2	0.1831 (18)	0.2368 (8)	0.8237 (15)	0.016*
C3	0.42194 (12)	0.20294 (5)	0.70775 (10)	0.01312 (14)
C4	0.59325 (12)	0.22979 (5)	0.63245 (10)	0.01309 (14)
C5	0.62316 (11)	0.31230 (5)	0.62424 (10)	0.01315 (14)
C6	0.49407 (12)	0.36689 (5)	0.68977 (10)	0.01365 (14)
H6	0.5227 (18)	0.4219 (8)	0.6815 (15)	0.016*
C7	0.22582 (13)	0.09248 (5)	0.77728 (12)	0.01791 (16)
H7A	0.2325	0.1066	0.8959	0.027*
H7B	0.2211	0.0345	0.7654	0.027*
H7C	0.1041	0.1158	0.7165	0.027*
C8	0.18762 (12)	0.39440 (5)	0.83692 (10)	0.01404 (14)
C9	0.23465 (14)	0.48181 (5)	0.84358 (12)	0.01944 (17)
H9A	0.2105	0.5043	0.7308	0.029*
H9B	0.3766	0.4897	0.8876	0.029*
H9C	0.1476	0.5084	0.9167	0.029*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
O1	0.0147 (3)	0.0152 (3)	0.0220 (3)	0.0018 (2)	0.0084 (2)	-0.0013 (2)
O2	0.0163 (3)	0.0115 (3)	0.0255 (3)	-0.0003 (2)	0.0087 (2)	0.0008 (2)
O3	0.0156 (3)	0.0183 (3)	0.0233 (3)	-0.0003 (2)	0.0094 (2)	-0.0002 (2)
O4	0.0179 (3)	0.0203 (3)	0.0287 (3)	-0.0003 (2)	0.0136 (3)	-0.0035 (3)
O5	0.0232 (3)	0.0155 (3)	0.0257 (3)	-0.0043 (2)	0.0110 (3)	0.0008 (2)
N1	0.0137 (3)	0.0163 (3)	0.0152 (3)	-0.0018 (2)	0.0047 (2)	-0.0004 (2)
C1	0.0120 (3)	0.0131 (3)	0.0137 (3)	0.0005 (2)	0.0036 (2)	0.0006 (2)
C2	0.0123 (3)	0.0135 (3)	0.0150 (3)	-0.0002 (2)	0.0042 (2)	0.0007 (3)
C3	0.0124 (3)	0.0126 (3)	0.0148 (3)	-0.0004 (2)	0.0033 (2)	0.0009 (3)
C4	0.0118 (3)	0.0144 (3)	0.0135 (3)	0.0008 (2)	0.0033 (2)	-0.0004 (3)
C5	0.0111 (3)	0.0152 (3)	0.0139 (3)	-0.0015 (2)	0.0044 (2)	0.0002 (3)
C6	0.0133 (3)	0.0135 (3)	0.0147 (3)	-0.0003 (2)	0.0038 (2)	0.0005 (3)
C7	0.0172 (3)	0.0152 (3)	0.0223 (4)	-0.0020 (3)	0.0065 (3)	0.0023 (3)
C8	0.0136 (3)	0.0146 (3)	0.0145 (3)	0.0013 (2)	0.0039 (3)	0.0012 (3)
C9	0.0201 (4)	0.0134 (3)	0.0264 (4)	0.0013 (3)	0.0095 (3)	0.0010 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1—C4	1.3330 (10)	C2—H2	0.952 (12)
O1—H1O	0.878 (14)	C3—C4	1.4245 (11)
O2—C3	1.3576 (10)	C4—C5	1.4043 (12)
O2—C7	1.4352 (11)	C5—C6	1.4008 (11)
O3—C8	1.2240 (10)	C6—H6	0.948 (13)
O4—N1	1.2438 (10)	C7—H7A	0.9800

O5—N1	1.2255 (10)	C7—H7B	0.9800
N1—C5	1.4499 (10)	C7—H7C	0.9800
C1—C6	1.3817 (11)	C8—C9	1.5026 (12)
C1—C2	1.4120 (12)	C9—H9A	0.9800
C1—C8	1.4952 (11)	C9—H9B	0.9800
C2—C3	1.3783 (11)	C9—H9C	0.9800
C4—O1—H1O	108.5 (10)	C4—C5—N1	120.29 (7)
C3—O2—C7	116.37 (7)	C1—C6—C5	119.34 (8)
O5—N1—O4	122.44 (7)	C1—C6—H6	122.2 (7)
O5—N1—C5	119.50 (7)	C5—C6—H6	118.4 (7)
O4—N1—C5	118.06 (7)	O2—C7—H7A	109.5
C6—C1—C2	119.75 (7)	O2—C7—H7B	109.5
C6—C1—C8	121.91 (7)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C2—C1—C8	118.34 (7)	O2—C7—H7C	109.5
C3—C2—C1	120.87 (7)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
C3—C2—H2	118.5 (8)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
C1—C2—H2	120.6 (8)	O3—C8—C1	120.03 (8)
O2—C3—C2	125.38 (7)	O3—C8—C9	121.13 (8)
O2—C3—C4	114.07 (7)	C1—C8—C9	118.83 (7)
C2—C3—C4	120.55 (7)	C8—C9—H9A	109.5
O1—C4—C5	126.60 (7)	C8—C9—H9B	109.5
O1—C4—C3	116.15 (7)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C5—C4—C3	117.24 (7)	C8—C9—H9C	109.5
C6—C5—C4	122.23 (7)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
C6—C5—N1	117.47 (7)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.78 (12)	C3—C4—C5—N1	-177.86 (7)
C8—C1—C2—C3	-178.74 (7)	O5—N1—C5—C6	0.14 (12)
C7—O2—C3—C2	2.89 (12)	O4—N1—C5—C6	-179.69 (7)
C7—O2—C3—C4	-177.15 (7)	O5—N1—C5—C4	179.34 (8)
C1—C2—C3—O2	179.60 (8)	O4—N1—C5—C4	-0.48 (12)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.36 (12)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.15 (12)
O2—C3—C4—O1	-0.18 (10)	C8—C1—C6—C5	179.35 (7)
C2—C3—C4—O1	179.78 (7)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.92 (12)
O2—C3—C4—C5	179.38 (7)	N1—C5—C6—C1	178.27 (7)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.66 (12)	C6—C1—C8—O3	174.11 (8)
O1—C4—C5—C6	-179.19 (8)	C2—C1—C8—O3	-6.38 (12)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.31 (12)	C6—C1—C8—C9	-5.00 (12)
O1—C4—C5—N1	1.65 (13)	C2—C1—C8—C9	174.51 (8)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1—H1O \cdots O4	0.878 (14)	1.850 (15)	2.5939 (10)	141.3 (13)

O1—H1O···O3 ⁱ	0.878 (14)	2.271 (14)	2.8660 (9)	124.9 (12)
C2—H2···O4 ⁱⁱ	0.952 (12)	2.439 (12)	3.3831 (12)	171.4 (11)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (ii) $x-1, -y+1/2, z+1/2$.