

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Cyanoanilinium tetrafluoroborate

Yi Zhang

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China, and Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: fudavid88@yahoo.com.cn


Received 6 August 2009; accepted 18 August 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$ Å; R factor = 0.056; wR factor = 0.141; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.5.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{N}_2^+\cdot\text{BF}_4^-$, the non-H atoms of the cation are almost coplanar (r.m.s. deviation = 0.035 Å). The cations and anions are connected by intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{F}$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a two-dimensional network parallel to $(10\bar{1})$.

Related literature

For the application of metal-organic coordination compounds, see: Fu *et al.* (2007); Chen *et al.* (2000); Fu & Xiong (2008); Xiong *et al.* (1999); Xie *et al.* (2003); Zhang *et al.* (2001). For general background to nitrile derivatives, see: Fu *et al.* (2008); Wang *et al.* (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{N}_2^+\cdot\text{BF}_4^-$
 $M_r = 205.96$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
 $a = 10.971$ (2) Å
 $b = 7.3565$ (15) Å
 $c = 11.022$ (2) Å
 $\beta = 103.11$ (3)°

$V = 866.4$ (3) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.16$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 298$ K
 $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.96$, $T_{\max} = 1.00$
 (expected range = 0.931–0.969)

8625 measured reflections
 1978 independent reflections
 1417 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.141$
 $S = 1.08$
 1978 reflections

128 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.32$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.32$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{N2}^{\text{i}}$	0.89	2.54	3.179 (3)	130
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{F4}^{\text{ii}}$	0.89	2.12	2.896 (2)	146
$\text{N1}-\text{H1B}\cdots\text{F4}^{\text{iii}}$	0.89	2.21	2.993 (2)	147
$\text{N1}-\text{H1C}\cdots\text{F3}$	0.89	1.95	2.799 (2)	160

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the Outstanding Doctoral Dissertation Fund of Southeast University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CI2881).

References

- Chen, Z.-F., Xiong, R.-G., Zhang, J., Zuo, J.-L., You, X.-Z., Che, C.-M. & Fun, H.-K. (2000). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 4010–4012.
- Fu, D.-W., Song, Y.-M., Wang, G.-X., Ye, Q., Xiong, R.-G., Akutagawa, T., Nakamura, T., Chan, P. W. H. & Huang, S.-P. (2007). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 5346–5347.
- Fu, D.-W. & Xiong, R.-G. (2008). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 3946–3948.
- Fu, D.-W., Zhang, W. & Xiong, R.-G. (2008). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **8**, 3461–3464.
- Rigaku (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Wang, L.-Z., Wang, X.-S., Li, Y.-H., Bai, Z.-P., Xiong, R.-G., Xiong, M. & Li, G.-W. (2002). *Chin. J. Inorg. Chem.* **18**, 1191–1194.
- Xie, Y.-R., Zhao, H., Wang, X.-S., Qu, Z.-R., Xiong, R.-G., Xue, X.-A., Xue, Z.-L. & You, X.-Z. (2003). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **20**, 3712–3715.
- Xiong, R.-G., Zuo, J.-L., You, X.-Z., Fun, H.-K. & Raj, S. S. S. (1999). *New J. Chem.* **23**, 1051–1052.
- Zhang, J., Xiong, R.-G., Chen, X.-T., Che, C.-M., Xue, Z.-L. & You, X.-Z. (2001). *Organometallics*, **20**, 4118–4121.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o2373 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809032863]

2-Cyanoanilinium tetrafluoroborate

Y. Zhang

Comment

The construction of metal-organic coordination compounds has attracted much attention owing to potential functions, such as permittivity, fluorescence, magnetism and optical properties (Fu *et al.*, 2007; Chen *et al.*, 2000; Fu & Xiong (2008); Xie *et al.*, 2003; Zhang *et al.*, 2001; Xiong *et al.*, 1999). Nitrile derivatives are a class of excellent ligands for the construction of novel metal-organic frameworks. (Wang *et al.* 2002; Fu *et al.*, 2008). We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, 2-cyanobenzenaminium tetrafluoroborate.

In the 2-cyanobenzenaminium cation (Fig. 1), the nitrile group and the benzene ring are almost coplanar. The nitrile group C7≡N2 bond length of 1.150 (3) Å is within the normal range.

In the crystal structure, all the amine group H atoms are involved in N—H···F hydrogen bonds (Table 1) with F atoms of the BF₄⁻ anion. These hydrogen bonds along with N—H···N hydrogen bonds link the ionic units into a two-dimensional network (Fig. 2) parallel to the (10 $\bar{1}$).

Experimental

The commercial 2-aminobenzonitrile (3 mmol, 0.55 g) and HBF₄ (0.5 ml) were dissolved in ethanol (20 ml). Colourless block-shaped crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature.

Refinement

All H atoms attached to C and N atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding, with C-H = 0.93 Å, N-H = 0.89 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$. A rotating-group model was used for the -NH₃ group.

Figures




Fig. 1. A view of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.





Fig. 2. The crystal packing of the title compound, viewed along the *c* axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity

2-Cyanoanilinium tetrafluoroborate

Crystal data



$M_r = 205.96$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$

Hall symbol: -P 2yn

$a = 10.971$ (2) Å

$b = 7.3565$ (15) Å

$c = 11.022$ (2) Å

$\beta = 103.11$ (3)°

$V = 866.4$ (3) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F_{000} = 416$

$D_x = 1.579$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 1417 reflections

$\theta = 3.4$ – 27.5 °

$\mu = 0.16$ mm⁻¹

$T = 298$ K

Block, colourless

$0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm⁻¹

$T = 298$ K

CCD profile fitting scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005)

$T_{\min} = 0.96$, $T_{\max} = 1.00$

8625 measured reflections

1978 independent reflections

1417 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5$ °

$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.4$ °

$h = -14 \rightarrow 14$

$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$

$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$

$wR(F^2) = 0.141$

$S = 1.08$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0571P)^2 + 0.4139P]$$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$

1978 reflections $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 128 parameters $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
F4	0.36113 (13)	0.72246 (19)	0.25229 (13)	0.0500 (4)
F3	0.48625 (14)	0.7353 (2)	0.44366 (13)	0.0575 (4)
N1	0.59296 (16)	0.6600 (3)	0.69414 (15)	0.0337 (4)
H1A	0.6182	0.5482	0.6812	0.051*
H1B	0.6589	0.7275	0.7293	0.051*
H1C	0.5548	0.7094	0.6218	0.051*
F2	0.34337 (15)	0.9602 (2)	0.37300 (16)	0.0625 (5)
C1	0.50557 (18)	0.6518 (3)	0.77689 (18)	0.0302 (5)
F1	0.51445 (14)	0.9330 (3)	0.29556 (18)	0.0737 (6)
C2	0.5337 (2)	0.7425 (3)	0.89064 (19)	0.0348 (5)
C6	0.3969 (2)	0.5547 (3)	0.7402 (2)	0.0437 (6)
H6	0.3792	0.4939	0.6643	0.052*
N2	0.7317 (2)	0.9429 (3)	0.9488 (2)	0.0586 (6)
C7	0.6444 (2)	0.8517 (3)	0.9248 (2)	0.0428 (6)
B1	0.4282 (2)	0.8402 (3)	0.3425 (2)	0.0359 (6)
C4	0.3405 (3)	0.6365 (4)	0.9311 (3)	0.0580 (8)
H4	0.2840	0.6313	0.9824	0.070*
C3	0.4507 (2)	0.7323 (3)	0.9687 (2)	0.0483 (6)
H3	0.4693	0.7897	1.0458	0.058*
C5	0.3138 (2)	0.5485 (4)	0.8180 (3)	0.0570 (7)
H5	0.2393	0.4842	0.7934	0.068*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
F4	0.0566 (9)	0.0453 (8)	0.0442 (8)	-0.0023 (6)	0.0037 (7)	-0.0056 (6)
F3	0.0587 (9)	0.0613 (10)	0.0455 (8)	0.0069 (7)	-0.0029 (7)	0.0162 (7)
N1	0.0376 (10)	0.0351 (9)	0.0291 (9)	0.0028 (8)	0.0092 (7)	-0.0004 (7)

supplementary materials

F2	0.0658 (9)	0.0467 (9)	0.0762 (11)	0.0167 (7)	0.0186 (8)	-0.0097 (8)
C1	0.0324 (10)	0.0288 (10)	0.0303 (10)	0.0072 (8)	0.0091 (8)	0.0036 (8)
F1	0.0435 (8)	0.0830 (12)	0.0922 (13)	-0.0162 (8)	0.0107 (8)	0.0342 (10)
C2	0.0420 (11)	0.0316 (11)	0.0311 (11)	0.0065 (9)	0.0091 (9)	0.0001 (9)
C6	0.0356 (12)	0.0447 (14)	0.0491 (14)	0.0034 (10)	0.0058 (10)	-0.0046 (11)
N2	0.0597 (14)	0.0587 (14)	0.0558 (14)	-0.0010 (12)	0.0095 (11)	-0.0178 (11)
C7	0.0542 (15)	0.0392 (13)	0.0347 (12)	0.0088 (12)	0.0094 (11)	-0.0075 (10)
B1	0.0324 (12)	0.0315 (12)	0.0412 (13)	0.0004 (10)	0.0030 (10)	0.0053 (11)
C4	0.0609 (17)	0.0541 (17)	0.0729 (19)	0.0135 (14)	0.0445 (15)	0.0118 (14)
C3	0.0670 (16)	0.0430 (13)	0.0422 (13)	0.0147 (12)	0.0277 (12)	0.0018 (10)
C5	0.0375 (13)	0.0529 (16)	0.085 (2)	0.0011 (12)	0.0223 (13)	0.0022 (14)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F4—B1	1.396 (3)	C2—C3	1.389 (3)
F3—B1	1.387 (3)	C2—C7	1.434 (3)
N1—C1	1.467 (2)	C6—C5	1.387 (4)
N1—H1A	0.89	C6—H6	0.93
N1—H1B	0.89	N2—C7	1.150 (3)
N1—H1C	0.89	C4—C5	1.376 (4)
F2—B1	1.379 (3)	C4—C3	1.379 (4)
C1—C6	1.370 (3)	C4—H4	0.93
C1—C2	1.392 (3)	C3—H3	0.93
F1—B1	1.361 (3)	C5—H5	0.93
C1—N1—H1A	109.5	F1—B1—F2	109.7 (2)
C1—N1—H1B	109.5	F1—B1—F3	110.68 (19)
H1A—N1—H1B	109.5	F2—B1—F3	111.9 (2)
C1—N1—H1C	109.5	F1—B1—F4	109.9 (2)
H1A—N1—H1C	109.5	F2—B1—F4	107.16 (18)
H1B—N1—H1C	109.5	F3—B1—F4	107.42 (19)
C6—C1—C2	121.1 (2)	C5—C4—C3	120.3 (2)
C6—C1—N1	119.06 (19)	C5—C4—H4	119.9
C2—C1—N1	119.87 (19)	C3—C4—H4	119.9
C3—C2—C1	119.3 (2)	C4—C3—C2	119.7 (2)
C3—C2—C7	120.2 (2)	C4—C3—H3	120.2
C1—C2—C7	120.42 (19)	C2—C3—H3	120.2
C1—C6—C5	119.0 (2)	C4—C5—C6	120.6 (2)
C1—C6—H6	120.5	C4—C5—H5	119.7
C5—C6—H6	120.5	C6—C5—H5	119.7
N2—C7—C2	177.7 (3)		
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.8 (3)	C5—C4—C3—C2	1.2 (4)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-179.40 (19)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.6 (3)
C6—C1—C2—C7	-176.6 (2)	C7—C2—C3—C4	175.8 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C7	3.2 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.0 (4)
C2—C1—C6—C5	0.4 (3)	C1—C6—C5—C4	-0.8 (4)
N1—C1—C6—C5	-179.4 (2)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$N1-H1A\cdots N2^i$	0.89	2.54	3.179 (3)	130
$N1-H1A\cdots F4^{ii}$	0.89	2.12	2.896 (2)	146
$N1-H1B\cdots F4^{iii}$	0.89	2.21	2.993 (2)	147
$N1-H1C\cdots F3$	0.89	1.95	2.799 (2)	160

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$; (ii) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (iii) $x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

