

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

5-p-Tolyl-1H-tetrazole

Dong-Yue Hu, Xiao-Wei Chu and Zhi-Rong Qu*

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical, Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: quzr@seu.edu.cn

Received 31 July 2009: accepted 9 September 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; *R* factor = 0.048; *wR* factor = 0.115; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.3.

The title compound, $C_8H_8N_4$, possesses crystallographic mirror symmetry, with four C atoms lying on the reflecting plane, which bisects the phenyl and tetrazole rings. It is composed of a planar r.m.s. deviation (0.0012 Å) tetrazole ring which is nearly coplanar with the benzene ring, the dihedral angle being 2.67 (9)°. In the crystal, symmetry-related molecules are linked by intermolecular N-H···N hydrogen bonds. The molecules stack along [100] with a $\pi \cdots \pi$ interaction involving the phenyl and tetrazole rings of adiacent molecules [centroid-centroid distance = 3.5639 (15) Å]. The H atom of the N–H group is disordered over two sites of equal occupancy. The methyl H atoms were modelled as disordered over two sets of sites of equal occupancy rotated by 60° with respect to each other.

Related literature

For related manganese(II) complexes, see: Hu et al. (2007); Lü (2008). For applications of tetrazoles in coordination chemistry, medicinal chemistry and materials science, see: Xiong et al. (2002); Xue et al. (2002); Wang et al. (2005); Dunica et al. (1991); Wittenberger et al. (1993).



Experimental

Crystal data $C_8H_8N_4$

 $M_r = 160.18$

organic compounds

Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

7310 measured reflections

946 independent reflections

792 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 293 K

Orthorhombic, Pbcm
a = 4.5370 (15) Å
b = 17.729 (5) Å
c = 9.778 (2) Å
V = 786.5 (4) Å ³

Data collection

Rigaku, SCXmini diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.981, T_{\max} = 0.983$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$ $wR(F^2) = 0.115$ S = 1.12946 reflections 66 parameters

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.040$ H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots N1^i$	0.87 (3)	1.94 (3)	2.806 (2)	171 (3)
Symmetry code: (i)	$r - v + \frac{1}{2} - z + \frac{1}{2}$	1		

(i) x, -y

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku 2005); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: PRPKAPPA (Ferguson, 1999).

This work was supported by a start-up grant from Southeast University to ZRQ.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2134).

References

- Dunica, J. V., Pierce, M. E. & Santella, J. B. III (1991). J. Org. Chem. 56, 2395-2400.
- Ferguson, G. (1999). PRPKAPPA. University of Guelph, Canada.
- Hu, B., Xu, X.-B., Li, Y.-X. & Ye, H.-Y. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, m2698. Lü, Y. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m1255.
- Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Wang, X. S., Tang, Y. Z., Huang, X. F., Qu, Z. R., Che, C. M., Chan, C. W. H. & Xiong, R. G. (2005). Inorg. Chem. 44, 5278-5285.
- Wittenberger, S. J. & Donner, B. G. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 4139-4141.
- Xiong, R. G., Xue, X., Zhao, H., You, X. Z., Abrahams, B. F. & Xue, Z. L. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41. 3800-3803.
- Xue, X., Wang, X. S., Wang, L. Z., Xiong, R. G., Abrahams, B. F., You, X. Z., Xue, Z. L. & Che, C.-M. (2002). Inorg. Chem. 41, 3800-3803.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o2463 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809036411]

5-p-Tolyl-1*H*-tetrazole

Dong-Yue Hu, Xiao-Wei Chu and Zhi-Rong Qu

S1. Comment

Tetrazole-related molecules have attracted considerable attention due to their biological activities. The synthesis of new members of this family of ligands is an important direction in the development of modern coordination chemistry (Hu *et al.*, 2007; Lü, 2008). Tetrazole compounds have a wide range of applications in coordination chemistry, medicinal chemistry and material science (Xiong, *et al.*, 2002; Xue, *et al.*, 2002; Wang, *et al.*, 2005; Dunica, *et al.*, 1991; Wittenberger, *et al.*, 1993).

The title compound is a tetrazole ligand with a toluene substituent in position 5 (Fig. 1). In the solid state structure the molecule possesses crystallographic mirror symmetry. The mirror bisects the toluyl group and the tetrazole ring with atoms C1, C4, C7 and C8 lieing in the mirror. The bond lengths and angles have normal values. The interplanar angle between the phenyl ring [C1/C2/C3/C4/C2A/C3A] and the tetrazole ring [N1/N2/N1A/N2A/C7] mean-planes is 2.67 (9) $^{\circ}$.

In the crystal symmetry related molecules are linked by intermolecular N—H…N hydrogen bonds (Table 1), forming chains propagating in the [010] direction (Fig. 2). There is a π … π interaction involving the tetrazole and phenyl rings of adjacent molecules with a centroid-to-centroid distance of 3.5639 (15) Å.

S2. Experimental

4-methylbenzonitrile (1.17 g, 10 mmol) and ammonium chloride (0.53 g, 10 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (40 ml) in the presence of sodium azidein (0.98 g, 0.5 mmol) and refluxed for 24 h. After the mixture was cooled to rt and filtered. Most of the solvent was then removed under vacuum. Pale yellow crystals of the title compound, suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis, were obtained from the remaining solution on slow evaporation of the solvent.

S3. Refinement

All the H atoms could be located in the difference electron-density maps. Due to the mirror symmetry the NH H-atom, which is disorder over N-atoms N1 and N1ⁱ [symmetry code (i) = x, y, -z+1/2], was freely refined with an occupancy of 0.5; distance N-H = 0.87 (3) Å. The C-bound H-atoms were included in idealized positions and treated as riding atoms: C-H = 0.93 - 0.96 Å, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$ (parent C-atom). The H-atoms on methyl C8 were modelled as disordered with two triplets of the H atoms with equal occupation (0.5:0.5) rotated by 60° to each other.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with the displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. [Symmetry code: (A)= x, y, -z + 1/2].



Figure 2

Crystal packing diagram of the title compound, viewed along along the *a* axis (hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines, see Table 1 for details).

5-p-tolyl-1H-tetrazole

Crystal data

 $C_8H_8N_4$ $M_r = 160.18$ Orthorhombic, *Pbcm* Hall symbol: -P 2c 2b a = 4.5370 (15) Å b = 17.729 (5) Å c = 9.778 (2) Å $V = 786.5 (4) Å^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku, SCXmini	7310 measured reflections
diffractometer	946 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	792 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.040$
Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.1^{\circ}$
CCD_Profile_fitting scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -23 \rightarrow 22$
CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005)	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.981, \ T_{\max} = 0.983$	

F(000) = 336

 $\theta = 3.0 - 27.4^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Block, pale yellow

 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

T = 293 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.353 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 1044 reflections

Refinement

Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
and constrained refinement
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0438P)^2 + 0.1774P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL,
$Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Extinction coefficient: 0.023 (5)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
C1	0.2469 (4)	0.17076 (11)	0.2500	0.0386 (5)	
C2	0.3511 (3)	0.14064 (8)	0.12792 (15)	0.0478 (4)	
H2	0.2895	0.1610	0.0451	0.057*	

C3	0.5451 (4)	0.08076 (9)	0.12874 (17)	0.0543 (5)	
Н3	0.6133	0.0616	0.0461	0.065*	
C4	0.6408 (5)	0.04856 (12)	0.2500	0.0521 (6)	
C7	0.0344 (4)	0.23258 (11)	0.2500	0.0363 (5)	
C8	0.8408 (6)	-0.01930 (14)	0.2500	0.0746 (8)	
H8A	0.8837	-0.0336	0.3426	0.112*	0.50
H8B	1.0209	-0.0071	0.2035	0.112*	0.50
H8C	0.7450	-0.0604	0.2039	0.112*	0.50
N1	-0.0825 (3)	0.26572 (7)	0.35937 (12)	0.0435 (4)	
N2	-0.2736 (3)	0.31947 (7)	0.31588 (13)	0.0489 (4)	
H1	-0.064 (6)	0.2534 (19)	0.446 (3)	0.045 (8)*	0.50

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0394 (10)	0.0431 (10)	0.0333 (10)	-0.0074 (9)	0.000	0.000
C2	0.0540 (9)	0.0525 (9)	0.0369 (8)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0021 (7)	-0.0017 (6)
C3	0.0544 (9)	0.0552 (9)	0.0532 (10)	-0.0016 (8)	0.0081 (7)	-0.0105 (8)
C4	0.0428 (12)	0.0430 (12)	0.0705 (16)	-0.0079 (10)	0.000	0.000
C7	0.0415 (10)	0.0411 (10)	0.0262 (8)	-0.0109 (8)	0.000	0.000
C8	0.0601 (15)	0.0542 (15)	0.109 (2)	0.0045 (13)	0.000	0.000
N1	0.0538 (7)	0.0477 (7)	0.0291 (6)	-0.0020 (6)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0010 (5)
N2	0.0607 (8)	0.0489 (7)	0.0371 (6)	0.0011 (6)	0.0030 (6)	-0.0019 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C2	1.3905 (18)	C7—N1 ⁱ	1.3306 (17)
$C1-C2^i$	1.3905 (18)	C7—N1	1.3306 (17)
C1—C7	1.460 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9600
C2—C3	1.379 (2)	C8—H8B	0.9600
С2—Н2	0.9300	C8—H8C	0.9600
C3—C4	1.386 (2)	N1—N2	1.3566 (17)
С3—Н3	0.9300	N1—H1	0.87 (3)
C4-C3 ⁱ	1.386 (2)	N2—N2 ⁱ	1.288 (2)
C4—C8	1.507 (3)		
$C2$ — $C1$ — $C2^i$	118.28 (19)	$N1^{i}$ —C7—C1	126.51 (9)
C2—C1—C7	120.86 (10)	N1—C7—C1	126.51 (9)
C2 ⁱ —C1—C7	120.86 (10)	C4—C8—H8A	109.5
C3—C2—C1	120.51 (15)	C4—C8—H8B	109.5
С3—С2—Н2	119.7	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
С1—С2—Н2	119.7	C4—C8—H8C	109.5
C2—C3—C4	121.47 (16)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	119.3	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	119.3	C7—N1—N2	108.24 (12)
C3 ⁱ —C4—C3	117.7 (2)	C7—N1—H1	129 (2)
C3 ⁱ C4C8	121.17 (11)	N2—N1—H1	123 (2)

supporting information

C3—C4—C8 N1 ⁱ —C7—N1	121.17 (11) 106.98 (17)	N2 ⁱ —N2—N1	108.27 (7)

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, -z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D····A	D—H···A
N1—H1…N1 ⁱⁱ	0.87 (3)	1.94 (3)	2.806 (2)	171 (3)

Symmetry code: (ii) x, -y+1/2, -z+1.