

Chlorido(5-formyl-2-hydroxyphenyl- κC^1)mercury(II)

Ze-Bao Zheng,* Shi-Ying Ma, Ren-Tao Wu, Yin-Feng Han
and Jing-Rong Lu

Department of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Taishan University, 271021
Taian, Shandong, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: zhengzebao@163.com


Received 19 October 2009; accepted 22 October 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 295$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.020$ Å;
 R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.103; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.1.

In the planar (r.m.s. deviation = 0.027 Å) title compound, [Hg(C₇H₅O₂)Cl], the Hg^{II} atom shows a typical linear coordination by a C atom of the benzene ring and a Cl atom. Intermolecular O—H···O hydrogen bonds are present in the crystal structure, resulting in chains propagating along the b axis. The crystal studied was a non-merohedral twin, with a twin ratio of 0.802 (2):0.198 (2).

Related literature

For general background to the use of cyclometallated compounds in synthesis, catalysis and materials, see: Gruter *et al.* (1995); Dupont *et al.* (2005). For related structures and the synthesis of related cyclomercurated compounds, see: Xu *et al.* (2009). For the preparation of cyclomercurated compounds, see: Ryabov *et al.* (2003); Wu *et al.* (2001).



Experimental

Crystal data

[Hg(C₇H₅O₂)Cl]
 $M_r = 357.15$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 4.1004(10)$ Å

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$
 $T_{\min} = 0.116$, $T_{\max} = 0.151$

4116 measured reflections
1424 independent reflections
1333 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.103$
 $S = 1.09$
1424 reflections

101 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 2.05$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.73$ e Å⁻³

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Hg1—C3	2.058 (13)	Hg1—Cl1	2.326 (4)
C3—Hg1—Cl1	179.1 (4)		

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
O2—H2···O1 ⁱ	0.82	1.91	2.727 (16)	172
Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$				

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5153).

References

- Dupont, J., Consorti, C. S. & Spencer, J. (2005). *Chem. Rev.* **105**, 2527–2571.
- Gruter, G. M., Van Klink, G. P. M., Akkerman, O. S. & Bickelhaupt, F. (1995). *Chem. Rev.* **95**, 2405–2456.
- Ryabov, A. D., Soukharev, V. S., Alexandrova, L., Lagadec, R. L. & Pfeffer, M. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* **42**, 6598–6600.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.
- Wu, Y. J., Huo, S. Q., Gong, J. F., Cui, X. L., Ding, K. L., Du, C. X., Liu, Y. H. & Song, M. P. (2001). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **637–639**, 27–46.
- Xu, C., Cen, F.-F., Wang, Z.-Q. & Zhang, Y.-Q. (2009). *Acta Cryst. E* **65**, m754.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m1448 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536809043529]

Chlorido(5-formyl-2-hydroxyphenyl- κC^1)mercury(II)

Ze-Bao Zheng, Shi-Ying Ma, Ren-Tao Wu, Yin-Feng Han and Jing-Rong Lu

S1. Comment

Cyclometallated compounds have attracted much research interest owing to their utility in synthesis, catalysis and materials (Gruter *et al.*, 1995; Dupont *et al.*, 2005). Among them, cyclomercurated compounds are easy to prepare through a C–H activation process and are stable but reasonably reactive (Wu *et al.*, 2001; Ryabov *et al.*, 2003).

In the planar title compound (Fig. 1), the mercury(II) atom shows a typical linear coordination geometry with a carbon atom of the benzene ring and the chloride atom in *trans* position. O2–Hg1 distance (3.047 (2) Å) is much longer than those of the related Hg(II) complex (Xu *et al.*, 2009). The C–Hg and Hg–Cl bond distances are within normal ranges. The C3–Hg1–Cl1 angle is 179.1 (4) °. Intermolecular O—H···O hydrogen bonds are present in the crystal structure (Table 1), resulting in a one-dimensional supramolecular architecture (Fig.2).


S2. Experimental

The title compound was prepared from the *p*-hydroxybenzaldehyde with Hg(OAc)₂ and subsequent treatment with LiCl and recrystallized from dichloromethane–petroleum ether solution at room temperature to give (I) as colorless crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction.


S3. Refinement

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions, with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å, O—H = 0.82–0.85 Å and N—H = 0.86 Å. $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N})$, and $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$.

The structure is a non-merohedral twin. The twin law, as given by PLATON (Spek, 2009), is (-1 0 0, 0 - 1 0, 2 0 1), which lowered the R1 index from 0.116 to 0.039.

**Figure 1**

The molecular structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

**Figure 2**

Partial view of the crystal packing showing the formation of the one-dimensional chain structure formed by the intermolecular O—H···O hydrogen bonds.

Chlorido(5-formyl-2-hydroxyphenyl- κ C¹)mercury(II)*Crystal data*

[Hg(C₇H₅O₂)Cl]
 $M_r = 357.15$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
 $a = 4.1004 (10)$ Å
 $b = 14.842 (3)$ Å
 $c = 14.116 (3)$ Å
 $\beta = 106.657 (6)^\circ$
 $V = 823.0 (3)$ Å³
 $Z = 4$

$F(000) = 640$
 $D_x = 2.882 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
 Cell parameters from 2640 reflections
 $\theta = 2.7\text{--}29.5^\circ$
 $\mu = 18.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 295$ K
 Block, colorless
 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.16$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD
 diffractometer
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
 Graphite monochromator
 φ and ω scans
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
 $T_{\min} = 0.116$, $T_{\max} = 0.151$

4116 measured reflections
 1424 independent reflections
 1333 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$
 $\theta_{\max} = 25.1^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 1.4^\circ$
 $h = -4 \rightarrow 4$
 $k = -12 \rightarrow 17$
 $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.103$
 $S = 1.09$
 1424 reflections
 101 parameters
 0 restraints
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
 map
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from
 neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0429P)^2 + 12.0653P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 2.05 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.73 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^* / U_{\text{eq}}$
Hg1	0.32843 (16)	0.87897 (3)	0.53385 (4)	0.0345 (2)
Cl1	0.5374 (10)	0.9140 (2)	0.4016 (3)	0.0405 (8)
O1	0.093 (5)	1.0269 (8)	0.8767 (9)	0.076 (4)
O2	0.069 (4)	0.6993 (7)	0.5877 (8)	0.055 (3)

H2	0.0023	0.6493	0.5982	0.083*
C1	0.031 (5)	0.8833 (8)	0.8033 (11)	0.038 (3)
C2	0.131 (4)	0.9074 (9)	0.7223 (10)	0.033 (3)
H2A	0.1898	0.9671	0.7153	0.040*
C3	0.148 (4)	0.8460 (9)	0.6511 (9)	0.029 (3)
C4	0.052 (4)	0.7564 (10)	0.6613 (10)	0.036 (3)
C5	-0.063 (5)	0.7317 (9)	0.7410 (11)	0.042 (3)
H5	-0.1288	0.6724	0.7467	0.051*
C6	-0.081 (4)	0.7932 (10)	0.8110 (10)	0.039 (4)
H6	-0.1642	0.7766	0.8631	0.047*
C7	-0.007 (6)	0.9495 (12)	0.8760 (11)	0.059 (5)
H7	-0.1119	0.9319	0.9235	0.070*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Hg1	0.0437 (3)	0.0258 (3)	0.0364 (3)	-0.0009 (2)	0.0153 (3)	0.0038 (2)
C11	0.050 (2)	0.0390 (18)	0.0357 (17)	-0.0049 (17)	0.0181 (16)	-0.0012 (15)
O1	0.133 (14)	0.035 (6)	0.067 (8)	-0.005 (8)	0.040 (9)	-0.009 (6)
O2	0.099 (11)	0.028 (5)	0.047 (6)	-0.014 (6)	0.033 (7)	-0.007 (5)
C1	0.050 (10)	0.022 (7)	0.043 (8)	0.011 (6)	0.015 (7)	0.002 (5)
C2	0.036 (8)	0.021 (6)	0.044 (8)	0.008 (6)	0.013 (6)	0.008 (6)
C3	0.032 (7)	0.021 (6)	0.030 (7)	0.002 (6)	0.003 (5)	0.007 (5)
C4	0.042 (8)	0.030 (7)	0.029 (7)	-0.003 (6)	0.002 (6)	0.003 (6)
C5	0.061 (10)	0.021 (6)	0.047 (8)	-0.005 (7)	0.020 (8)	0.008 (6)
C6	0.052 (9)	0.034 (8)	0.034 (7)	-0.005 (7)	0.014 (7)	0.016 (6)
C7	0.096 (15)	0.048 (10)	0.038 (9)	0.017 (10)	0.027 (10)	0.009 (7)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Hg1—C3	2.058 (13)	C2—C3	1.37 (2)
Hg1—Cl1	2.326 (4)	C2—H2A	0.9300
O1—C7	1.22 (2)	C3—C4	1.405 (19)
O2—C4	1.357 (17)	C4—C5	1.39 (2)
O2—H2	0.8193	C5—C6	1.36 (2)
C1—C2	1.37 (2)	C5—H5	0.9300
C1—C6	1.428 (19)	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—C7	1.46 (2)	C7—H7	0.9300
C3—Hg1—Cl1	179.1 (4)	O2—C4—C3	115.9 (13)
C4—O2—H2	109.5	C5—C4—C3	120.1 (13)
C2—C1—C6	119.2 (13)	C6—C5—C4	120.8 (13)
C2—C1—C7	121.9 (13)	C6—C5—H5	119.6
C6—C1—C7	118.4 (15)	C4—C5—H5	119.6
C1—C2—C3	121.8 (13)	C5—C6—C1	119.2 (13)
C1—C2—H2A	119.1	C5—C6—H6	120.4
C3—C2—H2A	119.1	C1—C6—H6	120.4
C2—C3—C4	118.7 (13)	O1—C7—C1	122.3 (17)

C2—C3—Hg1	122.4 (10)	O1—C7—H7	118.8
C4—C3—Hg1	118.8 (10)	C1—C7—H7	118.8
O2—C4—C5	123.9 (13)		
C6—C1—C2—C3	−4 (2)	O2—C4—C5—C6	−178.4 (16)
C7—C1—C2—C3	−176.1 (16)	C3—C4—C5—C6	−1 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C4	1 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C1	−2 (3)
C1—C2—C3—Hg1	−175.8 (12)	C2—C1—C6—C5	4 (2)
C2—C3—C4—O2	179.0 (14)	C7—C1—C6—C5	176.7 (16)
Hg1—C3—C4—O2	−3.9 (18)	C2—C1—C7—O1	−11 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	1 (2)	C6—C1—C7—O1	177.2 (19)
Hg1—C3—C4—C5	178.5 (12)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
O2—H2···O1 ⁱ	0.82	1.91	2.727 (16)	172

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y-1/2, -z+3/2$.