Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(E)-1-Methyl-4-styrylpyridinium iodide monohydrate

Hoong-Kun Fun,^a*‡ Suchada Chantrapromma,^b§ Chanasuk Surasit^b and Kullapa Chanawanno^b

^aX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, and ^bCrystal Materials Research Unit, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my

Received 29 September 2009; accepted 4 October 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.023; wR factor = 0.058; data-to-parameter ratio = 36.8.

In the title compound, $C_{14}H_{14}N^+ \cdot I^- \cdot H_2O$, the cation is essentially planar, with a dihedral angle of 2.55 (7)° between the pyridinium and phenyl rings, and exists in an *E* configuration with respect to the ethenyl bond. In the crystal structure, the cations are stacked in an antiparallel manner along the *a* axis. The cation is linked to the water molecule by a weak C-H···O interaction, and the water molecule is further linked to the I⁻ ion by O-H···I hydrogen bonds. The crystal structure is consolidated by these interactions and is further stabilized by a π - π interaction between the pyridinium and phenyl rings with a centroid–centroid distance of 3.6850 (8) Å.

Related literature

For bond-length data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987). For background to non-linear optical materials research, see: Chemla & Zyss (1987); Chia *et al.* (1995); Dittrich *et al.* (2003); Lin *et al.* (2002); Prasad & Williams (1991). For related structures, see: Chanawanno *et al.* (2008); Chantrapromma, Jindawong & Fun (2007); Chantrapromma, Jindawong, Fun & Patil (2007). For the stability of the temperature controller used in the data collection, see: Cosier & Glazer (1986).



[‡] Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-3561-2009.



V = 1365.29 (3) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.32 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

27548 measured reflections

6004 independent reflections

5307 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

 $\mu = 2.33 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$

refinement $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 1.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.56 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Z = 4

Experimental

Crystal data

C₁₄H₁₄N⁺·I⁻·H₂O $M_r = 341.18$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 7.3636 (1) Å b = 10.5929 (1) Å c = 18.2807 (2) Å $\beta = 106.770$ (1)°

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2005) $T_{min} = 0.524, T_{max} = 0.649$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ $wR(F^2) = 0.058$ S = 1.056004 reflections 163 parameters

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{l} D1W-H1W1\cdots I1^{i}\\ D1W-H2W1\cdots I1^{ii}\\ C14-H14A\cdots O1W^{ii} \end{array}$	0.94 (3)	2.70 (3)	3.6458 (14)	177 (3)
	0.93 (3)	2.66 (2)	3.5826 (12)	174 (2)
	0.96	2.52	3.3775 (19)	149

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 2.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

The authors thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for the Research University Golden Goose grant No. 1001/PFIZIK/811012. KC thanks the Development and Promotion of Science and Technology Talents Project (DPST) for a study grant. The authors also thank the Prince of Songkla University for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS2467).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chanawanno, K., Chantrapromma, S. & Fun, H.-K. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 01882–01883.
- Chantrapromma, S., Jindawong, B. & Fun, H.-K. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, o2020–o2022.
- Chantrapromma, S., Jindawong, B., Fun, H.-K. & Patil, P. S. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* E63, 02321–02323.
- Chemla, D. S. & Zyss, J. (1987). Nonlinear Optical Properties of Organic Molecules and Crystals, pp. 32–198. New York: Academic Press.

[§] Additional correspondence author, e-mail: suchada.c@psu.ac.th. Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-5085-2009.

Chia, W.-L., Chen, C.-N. & Sheu, H.-J. (1995). *Mater. Res. Bull.* **30**, 1421–1430. Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **19**, 105–107.

- Dittrich, Ph., Bartlome, R., Montemezzani, G. & Günter, P. (2003). *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **220**, 88–95.
- Lin, Y. Y., Rajesh, N. P., Raghavan, P., Ramasamy, P. & Huang, Y. C. (2002). *Mater. Lett.* 56, 1074–1077.

Prasad, P. N. & Williams, D. J. (1991). Introduction to Nonlinear Optical Effects in Molecules and Polymers. New York: John Wiley.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.

Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o2676–o2677 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536809040446]

(E)-1-Methyl-4-styrylpyridinium iodide monohydrate

Hoong-Kun Fun, Suchada Chantrapromma, Chanasuk Surasit and Kullapa Chanawanno

S1. Comment

The design and synthesis of nonlinear optical (NLO) materials have been receiving much attention due to their numerous applications (Chemla & Zyss, 1987; Prasad & Williams, 1991). In the search for new organic NLO materials, aromatic compounds with extended π -conjugation system are extensively studied (Chia *et al.*, 1995; Dittrich *et al.*, 2003). Such materials require molecular hyperpolarizability and orientation in a noncentrosymmetric arrangement of the bulk material (Lin *et al.*, 2002; Prasad & Williams, 1991). During the course of our systematic studies of organic NLO materials, we have previously synthesized and reported the crystal structures of pyridinium and quinolinium iodide (Chanawanno *et al.*, 2008; Chantrapromma, Jindawong & Fun, 2007; Chantrapromma, Jindawong, Fun & Patil, 2007). Herein we report the crystal structure of the title pyridinium derivative (I). However (I) crystallizes in centrosymmetric $P2_1/c$ space group which precludes the second-order nonlinear optical properties.

The title compound consists of a $C_{14}H_{14}N^+$ cation, an I⁻ anion and one water molecule (Fig. 1). The cation exists in an *E* configuration with respect to the C6=C7 ethenyl bond [1.3429 (18) Å] with the torsion angle of C5–C6–C7–C8 = -179.95 (13)°. The cation is essentially planar with the dihedral angles between the pyridinium [C1–C5/N1] and benzene rings being 2.55 (7)°. The ethenyl unit is co-planar with the pyridinium and benzene rings as indicated by the torsion angles C1–C5–C6–C7 = -1.4 (2)° and C6–C7–C8–C9 = 1.6 (2)°. The rms deviation from the plane through the cation is 0.027 (15) Å. The bond distances in the cation have normal values (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and comparable with the closely related compounds (Chanawanno *et al.*, 2008; Chantrapromma, Jindawong & Fun, 2007; Chantrapromma, Jindawong, Fun & Patil, 2007).

In the crystal packing (Fig. 2), the cations are stacked in an antiparallel manner along the *a* axis. The cation is linked with the water molecule by a C—H···O weak interaction. The water molecule is further linked with the I⁻ ion by O—H···I hydrogen bonds, forming a 3D network (Table 1). The crystal is consolidated by these interactions and further stabilized by π - π interactions with a distance of Cg₁···Cg₂ⁱⁱⁱ = 3.6850 (8) Å [symmetry code: (iii) -*x*, 1-*y*, 2-*z*]; Cg₁ and Cg₂ are the centroids of the C1–C5/N1 and C8–C13 rings, respectively.

S2. Experimental

(*E*)-1-Methyl-4-styrylpyridinium iodide was prepared by mixing 1:1:1 molar ratio solutions of 1,4-dimethylpyridinium iodide (2 g, 8.5 mmol), benzaldehyde (0.86 ml, 8.5 mmol) and piperidine (0.84 ml, 8.5 mmol) in methanol (40 ml). The resulting solution was refluxed for 3 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The yellow solid which formed was filtered and washed with diethylether. Yellow block-shaped single crystals of the title compound suitable for *x*-ray structure determination were recrystallized from methanol by slow evaporation at room temperature over a few weeks (m.p. 489-490 K).

S3. Refinement

Water H atoms were located in a difference map and refined isotropically. The remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with d(C-H) = 0.93 Å for aromatic and CH and 0.96 Å for CH₃ atoms. The U_{iso} values were constrained to be $1.5U_{eq}$ of the carrier atom for methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{eq}$ for the remaining H atoms. A rotating group model was used for the methyl groups. The highest residual electron density peak is located at 0.70 Å from I1 and the deepest hole is located at 0.54 Å from I1.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound viewed down the *c* axis. O—H…I hydrogen bonds and C—H…O interactions are shown as dashed lines.

(E)-1-Methyl-4-styrylpyridinium iodide monohydrate

Crystal data

C₁₄H₁₄N⁺·I⁻·H₂O $M_r = 341.18$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 7.3636 (1) Å b = 10.5929 (1) Å c = 18.2807 (2) Å $\beta = 106.770$ (1)° V = 1365.29 (3) Å³ Z = 4 F(000) = 672 $D_x = 1.660 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point = 489–490 K Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 6004 reflections $\theta = 2.3-35.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.33 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, yellow $0.32 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	27548 measured reflections
diffractometer	6004 independent reflections
Radiation source: sealed tube	5307 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{int} = 0.021$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{max} = 35.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.3^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
(<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2005)	$k = -17 \rightarrow 16$
$T_{\min} = 0.524, T_{\max} = 0.649$	$l = -29 \rightarrow 28$
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.058$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.05	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
6004 reflections	and constrained refinement
163 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0248P)^2 + 0.8184P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.004$
direct methods	$\Delta \alpha = 1.32 \circ \delta^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. The crystal was placed in the cold stream of an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra open-flow nitrogen cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) operating at 100.0 (1) K.

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2$ sigma(F^2) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$\begin{array}{c cccc} z & U_{iso}^{*}/U_{eq} \\ \hline 3 (9) & 0.885210 (5) & 0.02548 (3) \\ (12) & 0.94686 (7) & 0.0308 (2) \\ \hline 0 & 0.0227 (10) & 0.0(0.08)^{*} \\ \hline \end{array}$	
3 (9) 0.885210 (5) 0.02548 (3) (12) 0.94686 (7) 0.0308 (2) 0 0227 (10) 0.000 (8)*	
(12) 0.94686 (7) 0.0308 (2) 0.0227 (10) 0.000 (9)*	
$0.932/(16)$ $0.060(8)^*$	
a) 0.9901 (15) 0.048 (7)*	
(11) 1.12888 (6) 0.01776 (19)	
(13) 1.00380 (8) 0.0219 (2)	
0.9523 0.026*	
(13) 1.05393 (8) 0.0218 (2)	
1.0360 0.026*	
(12) 1.15607 (7) 0.0185 (2)	
1.2079 0.022*	
(12) 1.10806 (7) 0.0187 (2)	
1.1275 0.022*	
(12) 1.02979 (7) 0.0176 (2)	
(3) (2) 66 34 6 24 6 09 4 63 6 76	(3) 0.9327 (16) 0.060 (8)* (2) 0.9901 (15) 0.048 (7)* 66 (11) 1.12888 (6) 0.01776 (19) 34 (13) 1.00380 (8) 0.0219 (2) 6 0.9523 $0.026*$ 24 (13) 1.05393 (8) 0.0218 (2) 6 1.0360 $0.026*$ 09 (12) 1.15607 (7) 0.0185 (2) 4 1.2079 $0.022*$ 63 (12) 1.10806 (7) 0.0187 (2) 6 1.02979 (7) 0.0176 (2)

C6	0.2111 (2)	0.47325 (12)	0.98062 (7)	0.0191 (2)
H6A	0.1960	0.3967	1.0031	0.023*
C7	0.12773 (19)	0.48617 (12)	0.90531 (7)	0.0188 (2)
H7A	0.1434	0.5629	0.8832	0.023*
C8	0.01415 (19)	0.38969 (12)	0.85514 (7)	0.0175 (2)
С9	-0.0249 (2)	0.27106 (12)	0.88126 (8)	0.0195 (2)
H9A	0.0259	0.2496	0.9324	0.023*
C10	-0.1394 (2)	0.18542 (12)	0.83081 (9)	0.0214 (2)
H10A	-0.1634	0.1065	0.8482	0.026*
C11	-0.2182 (2)	0.21752 (13)	0.75423 (8)	0.0214 (2)
H11A	-0.2975	0.1609	0.7210	0.026*
C12	-0.1783 (2)	0.33396 (13)	0.72750 (8)	0.0226 (2)
H12A	-0.2297	0.3551	0.6763	0.027*
C13	-0.0616 (2)	0.41849 (13)	0.77750 (8)	0.0206 (2)
H13A	-0.0331	0.4956	0.7592	0.025*
C14	0.6473 (2)	0.84639 (13)	1.18135 (8)	0.0234 (3)
H14A	0.7349	0.8866	1.1588	0.035*
H14B	0.7160	0.8079	1.2288	0.035*
H14C	0.5611	0.9082	1.1906	0.035*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
I1	0.03493 (6)	0.02076 (4)	0.01682 (4)	-0.00883 (3)	0.00120 (3)	0.00305 (3)
O1W	0.0324 (6)	0.0315 (6)	0.0294 (5)	0.0025 (5)	0.0103 (5)	-0.0004 (4)
N1	0.0178 (5)	0.0184 (4)	0.0172 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0054 (4)	-0.0003 (3)
C1	0.0249 (7)	0.0220 (6)	0.0176 (5)	-0.0017 (5)	0.0043 (5)	0.0029 (4)
C2	0.0247 (7)	0.0209 (6)	0.0199 (5)	-0.0022 (5)	0.0065 (5)	0.0038 (4)
C3	0.0203 (6)	0.0177 (5)	0.0183 (5)	0.0011 (4)	0.0068 (4)	0.0015 (4)
C4	0.0207 (6)	0.0174 (5)	0.0191 (5)	0.0005 (4)	0.0074 (4)	0.0018 (4)
C5	0.0166 (5)	0.0181 (5)	0.0182 (5)	0.0008 (4)	0.0055 (4)	0.0011 (4)
C6	0.0202 (6)	0.0177 (5)	0.0194 (5)	0.0002 (4)	0.0060 (4)	0.0017 (4)
C7	0.0193 (6)	0.0181 (5)	0.0192 (5)	0.0008 (4)	0.0058 (4)	0.0025 (4)
C8	0.0159 (5)	0.0178 (5)	0.0188 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0051 (4)	0.0005 (4)
C9	0.0186 (6)	0.0181 (5)	0.0212 (5)	0.0024 (4)	0.0049 (4)	0.0033 (4)
C10	0.0207 (6)	0.0158 (5)	0.0281 (6)	0.0012 (4)	0.0077 (5)	0.0023 (4)
C11	0.0198 (6)	0.0208 (6)	0.0238 (6)	-0.0012 (5)	0.0068 (5)	-0.0047 (4)
C12	0.0243 (7)	0.0251 (6)	0.0178 (5)	-0.0005 (5)	0.0054 (5)	0.0005 (4)
C13	0.0212 (6)	0.0207 (5)	0.0200 (5)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0064 (5)	0.0026 (4)
C14	0.0248 (7)	0.0228 (6)	0.0224 (6)	-0.0050(5)	0.0065 (5)	-0.0044 (4)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1W—H1W1	0.94 (3)	С7—С8	1.4637 (18)
O1W—H2W1	0.93 (3)	С7—Н7А	0.9300
N1—C2	1.3491 (17)	C8—C13	1.4005 (18)
N1—C3	1.3507 (17)	C8—C9	1.4032 (19)
N1-C14	1.4772 (18)	C9—C10	1.391 (2)

C1—C2	1.377 (2)	С9—Н9А	0.9300
C1—C5	1.4003 (19)	C10—C11	1.394 (2)
C1—H1A	0.9300	C10—H10A	0.9300
C2—H2A	0.9300	C11—C12	1.389 (2)
C3—C4	1.3711 (19)	C11—H11A	0.9300
C3—H3A	0.9300	C12-C13	1.387(2)
C4-C5	1 4039 (18)	C12—H12A	0.9300
C4—H4A	0.9300	C13_H13A	0.9300
C5-C6	1 4608 (19)	C14—H14A	0.9500
C6—C7	1.1000(19) 1.3429(18)	C14—H14B	0.9600
C6 H6A	0.0300		0.9600
eo-moa	0.9500		0.9000
H1W1—O1W—H2W1	104 (2)	C13—C8—C9	118.63 (12)
C2—N1—C3	120.69 (12)	C13—C8—C7	118.12 (12)
C2—N1—C14	118.90 (12)	C9—C8—C7	123.24 (11)
C3—N1—C14	120.37 (11)	C10—C9—C8	120.19 (12)
C2-C1-C5	120.49 (12)	С10—С9—Н9А	119.9
C2-C1-H1A	119.8	С8—С9—Н9А	119.9
C5-C1-H1A	119.8	C9-C10-C11	120.24 (12)
N1-C2-C1	120.55(12)	C9-C10-H10A	119.9
N1-C2-H2A	119 7	C_{11} C_{10} H_{10A}	119.9
C1 - C2 - H2A	119.7	C_{12} C_{11} C_{10} C_{10}	120.06(13)
N1 - C3 - C4	120.65 (12)	C12 - C11 - H11A	120.00 (15)
N1_C3_H3A	110 7	C10-C11-H11A	120.0
CA = C3 = H3A	110.7	C_{13} C_{12} C_{11}	110 60 (13)
$C_4 = C_5 = MSA$	119.7	$C_{13} = C_{12} = C_{11}$	119.09 (13)
$C_3 = C_4 = U_4$	110.7	$C_{13} - C_{12} - H_{12A}$	120.2
$C_5 = C_4 = H_{4A}$	119.7	C12 - C12 - C12	120.2 121.14(12)
C_{3}	117.7	$C_{12} = C_{13} = C_{8}$	121.14(12)
C1 = C5 = C4	117.03(12) 124.05(12)	C_{12} C_{13} H_{13A}	119.4
C1 = C5 = C6	124.03(12)	Co-CIS-HIAA	119.4
C4 - C5 - C0	116.90(12) 124.71(12)	NI = C14 = H14P	109.5
$C/-C_{0}$	124./1 (12)		109.5
$C/-C_{0}$ -H6A	117.6	H14A - C14 - H14B	109.5
C_{5} — C_{6} — $H_{6}A$	117.0		109.5
	125.51 (12)	H14A—C14—H14C	109.5
С6—С/—Н/А	117.2	H14B—C14—H14C	109.5
C8—C7—H7A	117.2		
C3 = N1 = C2 = C1	0.5(2)	C5-C6-C7-C8	-179.95(13)
C14 - N1 - C2 - C1	-17734(14)	C6-C7-C8-C13	-17947(14)
$C_{5}-C_{1}-C_{2}-N_{1}$	-0.2(2)	C6-C7-C8-C9	16(2)
$C_2 = N_1 = C_3 = C_4$	-0.2(2)	C_{13} C_{8} C_{9} C_{10}	-1.1(2)
$C_{14} N_{1} C_{3} C_{4}$	177.64(13)	C7 - C8 - C9 - C10	1.1(2) 177 78 (13)
N1-C3-C4-C5	-0.4(2)	C8 - C9 - C10 - C11	-0.9(2)
C_{2} C_{1} C_{5} C_{4}	-0.3(2)	C9-C10-C11-C12	18(2)
$C_2 = C_1 = C_2 = C_4$	(2) 179 97 (14)	C_{10} C_{11} C_{12} C_{13}	-0.7(2)
$C_2 = C_1 = C_2 = C_0$	(1, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7,	$C_{11} = C_{12} = C_{13}$	-1.3(2)
$C_3 = C_4 = C_5 = C_1$	-170.63(12)	$C_{12} - C_{12} - C_{13} - C_{0}$	1.3(2)
C_{3}	1/2.03 (13)	Cy-Co-C13-C12	2.2 (Z)

supporting information

149

3.3775 (19)

C1—C5—C6—C7 C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.4 (2) 178.88 (14)	C7—C8—C13—C12		-176.72 (13)	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)					
D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D···A	D—H···A	
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>W</i> 1…I1 ⁱ	0.94 (3)	2.70 (3)	3.6458 (14)	177 (3)	
O1W— $H2W1$ ···I1 ⁱⁱ	0.93 (3)	2.66 (2)	3.5826 (12)	174 (2)	

2.52

0.96

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*+2.

C14—H14A…O1Wⁱⁱ