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The cation of the salt, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2+} \cdot 2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, lies about a twofold rotation axis. The pyridinium ring is almost coplanar with the phenylene ring [dihedral angle between rings $=$ $\left.5.69(9)^{\circ}\right]$. The crystal structure shows $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions [centroid-centroid distance $=3.70(1) \AA$ ] between the pyridinium rings and the phenylene rings, generating a linear chain structure. The cation also forms two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds to two nitrate groups.

## Related literature

For general background to the title compound, see: SiawLattey et al. (2005); Burchell et al. (2006). For the synthesis, see: Gao et al. (2004). For related structures, see Gao et al. (2006, 2009a,b).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2+} \cdot 2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$
$M_{r}=418.36$
Monoclinic, C2/c

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=10.364(6) \AA \\
& b=19.7593(11) \AA \\
& c=9.996(8) \AA
\end{aligned}
$$

$\beta=110.75(2)^{\circ}$
$V=1914.2(19) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Data collection
Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.955, T_{\text {max }}=0.981$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.055$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.144$
$S=1.03$
2195 reflections
140 parameters
18 restraints
$\mu=0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=291 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.40 \times 0.22 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$

9339 measured reflections
2195 independent reflections
1203 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.049$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.28$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.17 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $0.92(3)$ | $2.34(3)$ | $3.017(3)$ | $130(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | $0.92(3)$ | $1.96(3)$ | $2.873(3)$ | $170(3)$ |

Data collection: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: RAPID-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalClear (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors thank the Specialized Research Funds for Technological Innovative Talent of Harbin (RC2009XK018007) and Heilongjiang University for supporting this study.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG2647).
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# 4,4'-(o-Phenylenedioxydimethylene)dipyridinium dinitrate 

Ping Zou, Shuang Zhang, Ying Liu, Jun Qiao and Jin-Sheng Gao

## S1. Comment

Many poly-N-heterocyclic ligands coordinated with transition metal ions can form a variety of topology structures, including macrocycles, polyhedra and linear and helical polymers. Son's group have reported the synthesis of bis(pyridylether) ligand, which reacted with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ to produce a helical metallopolymer, a bridged dinuclear complex and a monomeric octahedral complex, respectively. Puddephatt's group have investigated a series of silver complexes of two U-shaped bis(amidopyridyl) ligands, which assemble into macrocyclic and one-dimensional chain that are connected further into two- or three-dimensional structures by anion binding and hydrogen bonding. Our group has report three kinds of flexible pyridyl-based ligands in the previous report (Gao et al. 2006; Gao et al. 2009a; Gao et al. 2009b). As a part of our continuing research for bipyridyl aromatic ligands, we report the crystal structure of the title compound here.
In the title compound, the diprotonated 1,2-bis(4-pyridylmethoxy)benzene cation is centrosymmetric. The two terminal pyridyl rings lie in an almost coplane arrangement with the central benzene ring [dihedral angles of $5.69(9)^{\circ}$ ]. The dihedral angle between the two pyridyl rings is $10.22(8)^{\circ}$ (Figure 1).
In the crystal packing structure, the $\pi \mathrm{i}-\pi \mathrm{i}$ stacking interactions [distance of 3.70 (1) $\AA$ ] existing between each spacer benzene ring and two adjacent pyridine rings from different ligands link the ligands into a one-dimensional chain structure. Furthermore, the uncoordinated nitrate anions are stabilized by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Figure 2, Table $1)$.

## S2. Experimental

The 1,2-bis(4-pyridylmethoxy)benzene was synthesized by the reaction of o-benzenediol and 4-chloromethylpyridine hydrochloride under nitrogen atmosphere and alkaline condition (Gao et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2006). Colorless blockshaped crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution after several days.

## S3. Refinement

H atoms bound to C atoms were placed in calculated positions and treated as riding on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.93 \AA$ (aromatic), $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97 \AA$ (methylene), and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. N-bond H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and were refined freely.


Figure 1
The molecular structure of the title compound, showing displacement ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level for non-H atoms. [Symmetry codes: (i) $2-x, y, 2.5-z$ ]


Figure 2
A partial packing view, showing the one-dimensional chain. Green dashed lines indicate the hydrogen bonds and red dashed lines indicate the $\pi \mathrm{i}-\pi \mathrm{i}$ stacking interactions.

4,4'-(o-Phenylenedioxydimethylene)dipyridinium dinitrate

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2+} \cdot 2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$
$M_{r}=418.36$
Monoclinic, C2/c
Hall symbol: -C 2yc
$a=10.364$ (6) $\AA$
$b=19.7593$ (11) $\AA$
$c=9.996(8) \AA$
$\beta=110.75$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1914.2(19) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.955, T_{\text {max }}=0.981$
$F(000)=872$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.452 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 5255 reflections
$\theta=3.0-24.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=291 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, brown
$0.40 \times 0.22 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$

9339 measured reflections
2195 independent reflections
1203 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.049$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.0^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$k=-23 \rightarrow 25$
$l=-12 \rightarrow 12$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.055$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.144$
$S=1.03$
2195 reflections
140 parameters
18 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

```
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0652 P)^{2}+0.3119 P\right]\) where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3\)
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.28\) e \(\AA^{-3}\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.17 \mathrm{e}^{-3}\)
```


## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving 1.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors $(\mathrm{gt})$ etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | $0.8168(3)$ | $0.12902(14)$ | $0.7346(3)$ | $0.0648(7)$ |
| H1 | 0.7836 | 0.1178 | 0.6380 | $0.078^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.8406(2)$ | $0.19528(14)$ | $0.7751(2)$ | $0.0601(7)$ |
| H2 | 0.8229 | 0.2291 | 0.7063 | $0.072^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.8913(2)$ | $0.21178(12)$ | $0.9188(2)$ | $0.0493(6)$ |
| C4 | $0.9127(3)$ | $0.16022(12)$ | $1.0166(3)$ | $0.0600(7)$ |
| H4 | 0.9450 | 0.1699 | 1.1140 | $0.072^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.8867(3)$ | $0.09496(13)$ | $0.9712(3)$ | $0.0668(7)$ |
| H5 | 0.9012 | 0.0602 | 1.0377 | $0.080^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.9194(3)$ | $0.28409(12)$ | $0.9634(2)$ | $0.0553(6)$ |
| H6A | 0.9925 | 0.3017 | 0.9339 | $0.066^{*}$ |
| H6B | 0.8372 | 0.3111 | 0.9188 | $0.066^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.9788(2)$ | $0.34991(10)$ | $1.1756(2)$ | $0.0460(5)$ |
| C8 | $0.9581(2)$ | $0.41020(12)$ | $1.1024(3)$ | $0.0546(6)$ |
| H8 | 0.9299 | 0.4104 | 1.0031 | $0.066^{*}$ |
| C9 | $0.9797(3)$ | $0.47065(11)$ | $1.1773(3)$ | $0.0580(6)$ |
| H9 | 0.9663 | 0.5115 | 1.1281 | $0.070^{*}$ |
| N1 | $0.8408(2)$ | $0.08066(13)$ | $0.8325(2)$ | $0.0632(6)$ |
| H10 | $0.830(3)$ | $0.0347(17)$ | $0.815(3)$ | $0.095(10)^{*}$ |
| N2 | $0.7766(2)$ | $-0.08567(12)$ | $0.8502(2)$ | $0.0687(6)$ |
| O1 | $0.95913(17)$ | $0.28718(7)$ | $1.11369(15)$ | $0.0572(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.8126(2)$ | $-0.05377(9)$ | $0.96265(19)$ | $0.0749(6)$ |
| O3 | $0.7935(2)$ | $-0.05844(11)$ | $0.7449(2)$ | $0.0908(7)$ |
| O4 | $0.7253(3)$ | $-0.14159(13)$ | $0.8438(3)$ | $0.1233(10)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | $0.0624(16)$ | $0.0818(19)$ | $0.0516(15)$ | $-0.0097(14)$ | $0.0218(13)$ | $-0.0211(14)$ |
| C2 | $0.0659(16)$ | $0.0697(16)$ | $0.0447(13)$ | $-0.0076(13)$ | $0.0198(12)$ | $-0.0086(12)$ |
| C3 | $0.0474(12)$ | $0.0563(14)$ | $0.0430(12)$ | $0.0003(11)$ | $0.0144(10)$ | $-0.0063(10)$ |
| C4 | $0.0729(16)$ | $0.0537(15)$ | $0.0459(13)$ | $0.0010(12)$ | $0.018(12)$ | $-0.0072(11)$ |
| C5 | $0.0736(18)$ | $0.0551(15)$ | $0.0630(16)$ | $0.0005(13)$ | $0.0133(13)$ | $-0.0039(13)$ |
| C6 | $0.0699(15)$ | $0.0541(14)$ | $0.0402(12)$ | $0.0008(12)$ | $0.0175(11)$ | $-0.0006(10)$ |
| C7 | $0.0501(12)$ | $0.0382(11)$ | $0.0470(11)$ | $0.0006(10)$ | $0.0139(10)$ | $-0.0016(10)$ |
| C8 | $0.0631(15)$ | $0.0488(13)$ | $0.0492(13)$ | $-0.0002(11)$ | $0.0164(11)$ | $0.0049(11)$ |
| C9 | $0.0645(15)$ | $0.0406(12)$ | $0.0691(15)$ | $0.0020(12)$ | $0.0237(13)$ | $0.0076(11)$ |
| N1 | $0.0598(13)$ | $0.0607(15)$ | $0.0666(15)$ | $-0.0034(11)$ | $0.0191(11)$ | $-0.0199(12)$ |
| N2 | $0.0808(16)$ | $0.0634(15)$ | $0.0523(14)$ | $0.0062(12)$ | $0.0120(12)$ | $-0.0048(12)$ |
| O1 | $0.0859(11)$ | $0.0411(9)$ | $0.0372(8)$ | $-0.0022(8)$ | $0.0125(7)$ | $-0.0021(6)$ |
| O2 | $0.1068(15)$ | $0.0618(11)$ | $0.0505(11)$ | $-0.0016(10)$ | $0.0208(10)$ | $-0.0025(9)$ |
| O3 | $0.1169(16)$ | $0.1030(16)$ | $0.0543(12)$ | $0.0114(13)$ | $0.0325(11)$ | $-0.0007(11)$ |
| O4 | $0.160(2)$ | $0.0758(15)$ | $0.1154(19)$ | $-0.0354(15)$ | $0.0251(16)$ | $-0.0257(13)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| C1-N1 | 1.326 (4) | C6-H6B | 0.9700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.367 (4) | C7-O1 | 1.368 (2) |
| C1-H1 | 0.9300 | C7-C8 | 1.375 (3) |
| C2-C3 | 1.383 (3) | C7-C7 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.394 (4) |
| C2-H2 | 0.9300 | C8-C9 | 1.386 (3) |
| C3-C4 | 1.375 (3) | C8-H8 | 0.9300 |
| C3-C6 | 1.494 (3) | C9-C9 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.362 (5) |
| C4-C5 | 1.362 (3) | C9-H9 | 0.9300 |
| C4-H4 | 0.9300 | N1-H10 | 0.92 (3) |
| C5-N1 | 1.327 (3) | N2-O4 | 1.218 (3) |
| C5-H5 | 0.9300 | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.226 (3) |
| C6-O1 | 1.411 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 1.249 (3) |
| C6-H6A | 0.9700 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 120.3 (2) | C3-C6-H6B | 110.1 |
| N1-C1-H1 | 119.8 | H6A-C6-H6B | 108.4 |
| C2-C1-H1 | 119.8 | O1-C7-C8 | 125.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 119.7 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 7^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 115.02 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.1 | C8-C7-C7 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 119.93 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.1 | C7-C8-C9 | 119.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 118.1 (2) | C7-C8-H8 | 120.2 |
| C4-C3-C6 | 122.1 (2) | C9-C8-H8 | 120.2 |
| C2-C3-C6 | 119.8 (2) | C9 - ${ }^{\text {C9- } 988}$ | 120.45 (14) |
| C5-C4-C3 | 120.1 (2) | C9--C9-H9 | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 120.0 | C8-C9-H9 | 119.8 |
| C3-C4-H4 | 120.0 | C1-N1-C5 | 121.4 (2) |
| N1-C5-C4 | 120.4 (3) | C1-N1-H10 | 126 (2) |


| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 10$ | $112(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $120.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $108.15(19)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $122.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.1 | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $117.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.1 | $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $117.47(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~B}$ | 110.1 |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2, y,-z+5 / 2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $0.92(3)$ | $2.34(3)$ | $3.017(3)$ | $130(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | $0.92(3)$ | $1.96(3)$ | $2.873(3)$ | $170(3)$ |

