# metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Diammonium diaquabis(methylenediphosphonato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$ )cobaltate(II)

#### K. A. Van der Merwe, Hendrik G. Visser\* and J. A. Venter

Department of Chemistry, University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9330, South Africa

Correspondence e-mail: visserhg.sci@ufs.ac.za

Received 12 October 2009; accepted 14 October 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 103 K; mean  $\sigma$ (P–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.097; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.0.

In the salt,  $(NH_4)_2[Co(CH_4O_6P_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$ , the methylenediphosphonate acts as a bidentate ligand and the Co<sup>II</sup> ion (site symmetry  $\overline{1}$ ) assumes an octahedral CoO<sub>6</sub> coordination geometry. The acid H atom of the ligand is distributed over two O atoms. In the crystal, a three-dimensional network is formed through  $O-H \cdots O$  and  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds between the cations and anions.

#### **Related literature**

For related structures, see: DeLaMatter *et al.* (1973); Jurisson *et al.* (1983); Barthelet *et al.* (2002); Stahl *et al.* (2006).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data

```
\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{NH}_4)_2[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CH}_4\mathrm{O}_6\mathrm{P}_2)_2(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})_2] & \gamma = 71.834~(5)^\circ \\ M_r = 479.01 & V = 378.0~(4)~\mathrm{\AA}^3 \\ \mathrm{Triclinic}, P\overline{1} & Z = 1 \\ a = 7.455~(5)~\mathrm{\AA} & \mathrm{Mo}~\mathrm{K}\alpha~\mathrm{radiation} \\ b = 7.560~(5)~\mathrm{\AA} & \mu = 1.63~\mathrm{mm}^{-1} \\ c = 8.035~(5)~\mathrm{\AA} & T = 103~\mathrm{K} \\ \alpha = 88.282~(5)^\circ & 0.28 \times 0.16 \times 0.11~\mathrm{mm} \\ \beta = 62.450~(5)^\circ \end{array}
```



#### Data collection

Bruker SMART diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.739, T_{\max} = 0.830$ 

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$   $wR(F^2) = 0.097$  S = 1.231875 reflections 134 parameters 6 restraints 8419 measured reflections 1875 independent reflections 1735 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.029$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement 
$$\begin{split} &\Delta\rho_{max}=0.58~\text{e}~\text{\AA}^{-3}\\ &\Delta\rho_{min}=-0.48~\text{e}~\text{\AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

#### **Table 1** Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1A\cdots O5^{i}$	0.819 (18)	1.99 (2)	2.795 (3)	166 (4)
$O1 - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot O4^{ii}$	0.835 (19)	1.994 (19)	2.827 (3)	175 (4)
$N1 - H1N \cdot \cdot \cdot O7^{iii}$	0.825 (18)	2.09 (2)	2.892 (3)	166 (3)
$N1 - H2N \cdot \cdot \cdot O6$	0.825 (18)	1.98 (2)	2.796 (3)	171 (4)
$N1 - H3N \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{iv}$	0.821 (18)	2.17 (2)	2.959 (3)	161 (3)
$N1 - H4N \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{v}$	0.821 (18)	2.146 (19)	2.966 (3)	177 (3)
$O6-H6A\cdots O6^{vi}$	0.82	1.66	2.463 (4)	165
$O7-H7\cdots O4^{vii}$	0.82	1.66	2.435 (3)	156

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenberg & Putz, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank the University of the Free State for financial support and Professor A. Roodt for helpful discussions.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG2665).

#### References

- Barthelet, K., Riou, D. & Ferey, G. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* C58, m264–m265. Brandenberg, K. & Putz, H. (2005). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn,
- Germany. Bruker (2004). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- DeLaMatter, D., McCullough, J. J. & Calvo, C. (1973). J. Phys. Chem. 77, 1146– 1148.
- Jurisson, S. S., Benedict, J. J., Elder, R. C., Whittle, R. & Deutsch, E. (1983). *Inorg. Chem.* 22, 1332–1338.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Stahl, K., Oddershede, J., Preikschat, H., Fischer, E. & Bennekou, J. S. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, m112–m115.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E**65**, m1394 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536809042159]

## Diammonium diaquabis(methylenediphosphonato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$ )cobaltate(II)

## K. A. Van der Merwe, Hendrik G. Visser and J. A. Venter

## S1. Comment

Diphosphonic acids are useful for the synthesis of metalorganic frameworks exhibiting microporous properties (Barthelet *et al.*, 2002).

The Co<sup>II</sup> ion in the title complex,  $(NH_4)_2[Co(C_2H_8O_{12})_2(H_2O)_2]$ , is in a slightly distorted octahedral environment with O —Co—O bonding angles ranging from 84.72 (8) to 95.28 (8) °. All the bonding distances and angles fall within the normal range observed for complexes of this nature (DeLaMatter *et al.*, 1973; Jurisson *et al.*, 1983 and Stahl *et al.*, 2006). In the bidentate ligand, the distances of uncoordinated O atoms to their respective P atoms do not vary much with values ranging from 1.5304 (19) and 1.5469 (19) Å. This, together with the fact that the best fits of our data were obtained when a 50% positional disorder was applied to the hydrogen atoms bonded to these O atoms, probably is enough to validate the slight disorder. A three-dimensional network is provided by numerous hydrogen bonds between the oxygen atoms of the anionic species and the ammonium cations.

## **S2. Experimental**

 $CoCl_2.6H_2O$  (0,1696 g, 0,00071 mol) was dissolved in water (7 cm<sup>3</sup>) and heated to 70°C. The pH of the solution was 4,89 and deep pink in colour. Ammonium bicarbonate was added to raise the pH to 5,61 after which methylene disphosphonate (0,25 g, 0,00142 mol), dissolved in water (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added dropwise. The final pH of the solution was adjusted to 1.50 with ammonium bicarbonate. (Yield: 84.1%)

## **S3. Refinement**

The aliphatic H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on its parent atoms with  $U < i />_{iso}(H) = 1.2U < i />_{eq}(C)$ . The hydroxyl H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on its parent atoms with  $U < i />_{iso}(H) = 1.5U < i />_{eq}(O)$ . A 50% positional disorder was assigned to these hydrogen atoms and provided the best fits of the data. The highest electron density lies within 0.74 Å from O7.



#### Figure 1

View of (I) (50% probability displacement ellipsoids). The ammonium cations have been omitted for clarity.

Diammonium diaquabis (methylenediphosphonato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$ ) cobaltate (II)

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{NH}_{4})_{2}[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\mathrm{O}_{6}\mathrm{P}_{2})_{2}(\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O})_{2}]\\ M_{r} = 479.01\\ \mathrm{Triclinic}, P1\\ \mathrm{Hall \ symbol: -P1}\\ a = 7.455\ (5)\ \text{\AA}\\ b = 7.560\ (5)\ \text{\AA}\\ c = 8.035\ (5)\ \text{\AA}\\ \alpha = 88.282\ (5)^{\circ}\\ \beta = 62.450\ (5)^{\circ}\\ \gamma = 71.834\ (5)^{\circ}\\ V = 378.0\ (4)\ \text{\AA}^{3} \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.739, T_{\max} = 0.830$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$  $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ S = 1.231875 reflections 134 parameters 6 restraints Z = 1 F(000) = 237  $D_x = 2.069 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 4649 reflections  $\theta = 3.2-28.3^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 103 KRod, pink  $0.28 \times 0.16 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$ 

8419 measured reflections 1875 independent reflections 1735 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.029$   $\theta_{max} = 28.4^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.2^\circ$   $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$   $k = -9 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -10 \rightarrow 9$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0501P)^2 + 0.4031P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.58 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.48 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ 

### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Col	0.5	0	1	0.00601 (14)	
P1	0.79673 (9)	0.26243 (8)	0.74506 (8)	0.00547 (16)	
P2	0.40236 (9)	0.26654 (8)	0.71287 (8)	0.00548 (15)	
C1	0.5577 (4)	0.3956 (3)	0.7338 (3)	0.0069 (4)	
H51	0.4658	0.487	0.847	0.008*	
H61	0.5984	0.465	0.6264	0.008*	
O2	0.3230 (3)	0.1616 (2)	0.8790 (2)	0.0082 (3)	
O3	0.7476 (3)	0.1227 (2)	0.8847 (2)	0.0079 (3)	
06	0.5476 (3)	0.1357 (2)	0.5238 (2)	0.0103 (4)	
H6A	0.4935	0.058	0.5191	0.016*	0.5
01	0.3253 (3)	0.2144 (3)	1.2321 (2)	0.0101 (4)	
N1	0.8609 (4)	0.1904 (3)	0.1800 (3)	0.0114 (4)	
07	0.2170 (3)	0.4231 (2)	0.7030 (2)	0.0092 (3)	
H7	0.1063	0.3971	0.7569	0.014*	0.5
O5	0.9736 (3)	0.1640 (2)	0.5452 (2)	0.0091 (3)	
H5A	0.9708	0.0583	0.5301	0.014*	0.5
O4	0.8575 (3)	0.4110 (2)	0.8125 (2)	0.0083 (3)	
H4	0.9866	0.3715	0.7787	0.013*	0.5
H1N	0.860 (5)	0.298 (3)	0.197 (4)	0.010 (8)*	
H2N	0.779 (5)	0.165 (6)	0.282 (4)	0.029 (10)*	
H3N	0.984 (3)	0.121 (4)	0.149 (5)	0.020 (9)*	
H4N	0.829 (6)	0.175 (5)	0.098 (4)	0.020 (9)*	
H1A	0.233 (5)	0.201 (5)	1.334 (3)	0.020 (9)*	
H1B	0.274 (6)	0.323 (3)	1.212 (5)	0.027 (10)*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

	Atomic	displ	lacement	parameters	$(Å^2)$	1
--	--------	-------	----------	------------	---------	---

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Col	0.0060 (2)	0.0058 (2)	0.0061 (2)	-0.00256 (17)	-0.00242 (18)	0.00160 (16)
P1	0.0050 (3)	0.0051 (3)	0.0066 (3)	-0.0025 (2)	-0.0026 (2)	0.0016 (2)
P2	0.0056 (3)	0.0052 (3)	0.0062 (3)	-0.0025 (2)	-0.0028 (2)	0.0017 (2)
C1	0.0074 (11)	0.0060 (10)	0.0083 (10)	-0.0026 (8)	-0.0043 (9)	0.0013 (8)
O2	0.0077 (8)	0.0087 (8)	0.0093 (8)	-0.0042 (6)	-0.0043 (7)	0.0044 (6)
O3	0.0071 (8)	0.0083 (8)	0.0087 (8)	-0.0038 (6)	-0.0036 (6)	0.0034 (6)
O6	0.0115 (9)	0.0112 (8)	0.0081 (8)	-0.0071 (7)	-0.0023 (7)	-0.0008 (6)
01	0.0107 (9)	0.0075 (8)	0.0085 (8)	-0.0032 (7)	-0.0017 (7)	0.0011 (6)
N1	0.0107 (11)	0.0102 (10)	0.0108 (10)	-0.0006 (8)	-0.0051 (9)	0.0010 (8)
O7	0.0077 (8)	0.0076 (8)	0.0147 (9)	-0.0033 (6)	-0.0069 (7)	0.0055 (6)
O5	0.0086 (8)	0.0067 (8)	0.0083 (8)	-0.0033 (6)	-0.0006 (6)	-0.0003 (6)

04	0.0056 (8)	0.0087 (8)	0.0113 (8)	-0.0026 (6)	-0.0042 (7)	0.0002 (6)
Geome	etric parameters (	(Å, <sup>o</sup> )				
Co1-	02	2.071	4 (18)	P2—C1		1.793 (2)
Co1—	O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.071	4 (18)	C1—H51		0.97
Co1—	O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.102	2 (2)	C1—H61		0.97
Co1—O1		2.102	(2)	O6—H6A		0.82
Co1—	O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.135	(2)	O1—H1A		0.819 (18)
Co1—	03	2.135	(2)	O1—H1B	O1—H1B	
P10	03	1.516	6 (18)	N1—H1N		0.825 (18)
P10	94	1.530	4 (19)	N1—H2N		0.825 (18)
P10	5	1.540	3 (19)	N1—H3N		0.821 (18)
P1—C	21	1.795	(3)	N1—H4N		0.821 (18)
P2—0	02	1.503	2 (18)	O7—H7		0.82
P2—0	6	1.536	3 (19)	O5—H5A		0.82
Р2—О	07	1.546	9 (19)	O4—H4		0.82
02—0	Co1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	180		O2—P2—C1		111.74 (11)
02-0	Co1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	92.53	(8)	O6—P2—C1		106.30 (11)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1 <sup>i</sup>		87.47	' (8)	O7—P2—C1	O7—P2—C1	
O2—Co1—O1		87.47	' (8)	P2—C1—P1		116.96 (14)
02 <sup>i</sup> —0	Co1—O1	92.53	(8)	P2—C1—H51		108.1
01 <sup>i</sup> —0	Co1—O1	180.0	000 (10)	P1—C1—H51		108.1
02-0	Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	84.72	2 (8)	P2—C1—H61		108.1
O2 <sup>i</sup> —0	Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	95.28	(8)	P1-C1-H61		108.1
01 <sup>i</sup> —0	Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	88.91	(8)	H51—C1—H61		107.3
01-0	Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	91.09	(8)	P2-O2-Co1		126.71 (11)
02—0	Co1—O3	95.28	(8)	P1		133.61 (10)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —0	Co1—O3	84.72	2 (8)	Р2—О6—Н6А		109.5
01 <sup>i</sup> —0	Co1—O3	91.09	(8)	Col—Ol—H1A		121 (2)
01-0	Co1—O3	88.91	(8)	Co1-01-H1B		117 (3)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —(	Co1—O3	180.0	0 (7)	H1A—01—H1B		106 (4)
03—P	P1—O4	111.6	8 (11)	H1N—N1—H2N		108 (4)
03—P	P1—O5	111.7	7 (11)	H1N—N1—H3N		105 (3)
04—P	91—05	110.4	7 (11)	H2N—N1—H3N		108 (4)
O3—P	P1—C1	109.6	61 (11)	H1N—N1—H4N		114 (3)
O4—P	P1—C1	103.9	2 (11)	H2N—N1—H4N		111 (4)
05—P	P1—C1	109.0	8 (11)	H3N—N1—H4N		110 (3)
O2—P	2-06	112.6	2 (11)	Р2—О7—Н7		109.5
O2—P	2-07	112.3	9 (10)	P1—O5—H5A		109.5
06—P	2-07	110.7	3 (11)	P1—O4—H4		109.5

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y, -z+2.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
O1—H1 <i>A</i> …O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.82 (2)	1.99 (2)	2.795 (3)	166 (4)

Acta Cryst. (2009). E**65**, m1394

# supporting information

# supporting information

O1—H1 <i>B</i> ···O4 <sup>iii</sup>	0.84 (2)	1.99 (2)	2.827 (3)	175 (4)	
N1— $H1N$ ···O7 <sup>iv</sup>	0.83 (2)	2.09 (2)	2.892 (3)	166 (3)	
N1—H2 <i>N</i> ···O6	0.83 (2)	1.98 (2)	2.796 (3)	171 (4)	
N1—H3 <i>N</i> ···O3 <sup>v</sup>	0.82 (2)	2.17 (2)	2.959 (3)	161 (3)	
N1—H4 <i>N</i> ···O3 <sup>vi</sup>	0.82 (2)	2.15 (2)	2.966 (3)	177 (3)	
O6—H6A····O6 <sup>vii</sup>	0.82	1.66	2.463 (4)	165	
O7—H7····O4 <sup>viii</sup>	0.82	1.66	2.435 (3)	156	

Symmetry codes: (ii) x-1, y, z+1; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (iv) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (v) -x+2, -y, -z+1; (vi) x, y, z-1; (vii) -x+1, -y, -z+1; (viii) x-1, y, z.