

Ethylenediammonium dichloroiodide chloride

Li-Zhuang Chen

School of Material Science and Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: clz1977@sina.com


Received 13 August 2009; accepted 25 September 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293\text{ K}$; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.005\text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.023; wR factor = 0.056; data-to-parameter ratio = 27.2.

The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure of the title compound, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2^{2+} \cdot \text{Cl}_2\text{I}^- \cdot \text{Cl}^-$, contains two ethylenediammonium cations, two $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$ anions and two Cl^- anions, of which one cation, one $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$ anion and one Cl^- anion have site symmetry 2, with the mid-point of the C–C bond of the cation, the I atom of $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$ anion and the Cl^- anion located on the twofold rotation axes. The two independent cations show different conformations, the N–C–C–N torsion angles being 160.1 (2) and –73.1 (4)°. The crystal structure is stabilized by extensive intermolecular N–H···Cl hydrogen bonding.

Related literature

For general background to combining protonated aromatic nitrogen bases with halide or polyhalide ions, see: Tucker & Kroon (1973); Bandoli *et al.* (1978). For Cl–I bond lengths and Cl–I–Cl bond angles, see: Lang *et al.* (2000); Wang *et al.* (1999a,b).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_2\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2^{2+} \cdot \text{Cl}_2\text{I}^- \cdot \text{Cl}^-$
 $M_r = 295.37$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$
 $a = 8.565$ (2) \AA

$b = 16.2186$ (15) \AA
 $c = 19.9631$ (16) \AA
 $\beta = 101.164$ (16)°
 $V = 2720.8$ (7) \AA^3

$Z = 12$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 4.34\text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 293\text{ K}$
 $0.36 \times 0.30 \times 0.28\text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.230$, $T_{\max} = 0.301$

13418 measured reflections
3106 independent reflections
2821 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.034$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.056$
 $S = 1.10$
3106 reflections

114 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.92\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.65\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , °).

$D-\text{H} \cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H} \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-\text{H} \cdots A$
N1–H1A···Cl1 ⁱ	0.89	2.65	3.410 (3)	144
N1–H1A···Cl3 ⁱ	0.89	2.76	3.341 (3)	124
N1–H1B···Cl4	0.89	2.27	3.136 (2)	164
N1–H1C···Cl5 ⁱ	0.89	2.27	3.148 (3)	168
N2–H2A···Cl4 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.38	3.232 (3)	161
N2–H2B···Cl5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.26	3.123 (3)	162
N2–H2C···Cl3 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.40	3.246 (3)	159
N3–H3A···Cl3 ^{iv}	0.89	2.42	3.297 (2)	167
N3–H3B···Cl5	0.89	2.32	3.144 (3)	154
N3–H3C···Cl1 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.49	3.319 (2)	155

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$; (iii) $x + 1, y, z$; (iv) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + 1$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

This work was supported by a start-up grant from Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, China.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: XU2588).

References

- Bandoli, G., Clemente, D. A. & Nicolini, M. (1978). *J. Cryst. Mol. Struct.* **8**, 279–293.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Lang, E. S., Burrow, R. A. & Diniz, J. (2000). *Acta Cryst. C* **56**, 471–472.
- Rigaku (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Tucker, P. A. & Kroon, P. A. (1973). *Acta Cryst. B* **29**, 2967–2968.
- Wang, Y.-Q., Wang, Z.-M., Liao, C.-S. & Yan, C.-H. (1999a). *Acta Cryst. C* **55**, 1503–1506.
- Wang, Z.-M., Wang, Y.-Q., Liao, C.-S. & Yan, C.-H. (1999b). *Acta Cryst. C* **55**, 1506–1508.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o2625 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536809039038]

Ethylenediammonium dichloroiodide chloride

Li-Zhuang Chen

S1. Comment

Recently much attention has been devoted to combining protonated aromatic nitrogen bases with halide or polyhalide ions due to their interesting structural features (Tucker & Kroon, 1973; Bandoli *et al.*, 1978). In our laboratory, a compound containing diprotonated ethylenediamine and ICl_2^- anions has been synthesized, its crystal structure is reported herein.


The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $[\text{C}_2\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2]^{2+} \cdot [\text{ICl}_2]^- \cdot \text{Cl}^-$, (Fig. 1) consists of two diprotonated ethylenediammonium cations, two $[\text{ICl}_2]^-$ anions and two Cl^- anions. The dichloroiodide anion Cl1—I1—Cl1A has site symmetry 2 and is linear with Cl1—I1—Cl1A bond angle of 179.55 (4). The Cl1—I1 bond length is similar to the values of 2.5417 (11) to 2.5575 (10) Å reported by (Wang *et al.*, 1999a,b). In Cl2—I2—Cl3 anion, the I2—Cl3 bond length of 2.6790 (9) Å is longer than I2—Cl2 bond length of 2.4518 (10) Å. The Cl2—I2—Cl3 is also nearly linear, the Cl2—I2—Cl3 bond angle being 178.30 (3)°. The nearly linear Cl—I—Cl bonds are similar to those reported by Lang *et al.* (2000) and Wang *et al.* (1999a,b). The two independent cations show the different conformations, the N-C-C-N torsion angles being 160.1 (2) and -73.1 (4)°. The crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular N—H···Cl hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

KI (0.33 g) and I_2 (0.5 g) were dissolved in a mixed solution of ethanol (30 ml) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (10 ml, 36%). On addition of ethylenediamine (0.60 g) to the above solution, the mixture was stirred for 2 h, then filtered. The filtrate was left at room temperature to allow the solvent to evaporate. Yellow transparent block crystals were obtained after two weeks.

S3. Refinement


H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.97 Å and N—H = 0.89 Å, and refined using a riding model, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ and $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$.

**Figure 1**

The structure of the title compound with atom labels. Displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the 40% probability level [symmetry code: (i) -x, y, -z+1/2; (ii) 2-x, y, -z+1/2].

Ethylenediammonium dichloroiodide chloride

Crystal data



$M_r = 295.37$

Monoclinic, $C2/c$

Hall symbol: -C 2yc

$a = 8.565$ (2) Å

$b = 16.2186$ (15) Å

$c = 19.9631$ (16) Å

$\beta = 101.164$ (16)°

$V = 2720.8$ (7) Å³

$Z = 12$

$F(000) = 1680$

$D_x = 2.163$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 2821 reflections

$\theta = 2.5\text{--}27.5$ °

$\mu = 4.34$ mm⁻¹

$T = 293$ K

Block, yellow

0.36 × 0.30 × 0.28 mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm⁻¹
 ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)

$T_{\min} = 0.230$, $T_{\max} = 0.301$

13418 measured reflections

3106 independent reflections

2821 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.034$

$\theta_{\max} = 27.5$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 2.5$ °

$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

$k = -20 \rightarrow 20$

$l = -25 \rightarrow 25$

*Refinement*Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.056$$

$$S = 1.10$$

3106 reflections

114 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0271P)^2]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.92 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.65 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$$

Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick,
2008), $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001x F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.00017 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.9115 (3)	0.1716 (2)	0.24029 (15)	0.0472 (8)
H1D	0.8758	0.2243	0.2199	0.057*
H1E	0.8778	0.1289	0.2065	0.057*
C2	1.0658 (4)	0.8169 (2)	0.43343 (16)	0.0412 (7)
H2D	1.0988	0.8492	0.4747	0.049*
H2E	1.1129	0.7626	0.4416	0.049*
C3	0.8881 (4)	0.80844 (18)	0.41979 (16)	0.0415 (7)
H3D	0.8539	0.7849	0.3747	0.050*
H3E	0.8592	0.7700	0.4526	0.050*
Cl1	0.30378 (10)	0.45106 (5)	0.26597 (4)	0.04654 (19)
Cl2	1.16812 (9)	0.58195 (6)	0.42064 (4)	0.04530 (19)
Cl3	0.57256 (8)	0.54598 (5)	0.41952 (3)	0.03576 (16)
Cl4	0.5000	0.23483 (7)	0.2500	0.0401 (2)
Cl5	0.47720 (9)	0.79063 (5)	0.40942 (4)	0.04283 (18)
I1	0.0000	0.450435 (17)	0.2500	0.03375 (8)
I2	0.88263 (2)	0.564990 (11)	0.418170 (9)	0.02956 (7)
N1	0.8379 (3)	0.15711 (16)	0.29954 (12)	0.0395 (6)
H1A	0.8485	0.1042	0.3114	0.059*
H1B	0.7351	0.1700	0.2890	0.059*
H1C	0.8855	0.1883	0.3342	0.059*
N2	1.1282 (3)	0.85669 (15)	0.37681 (12)	0.0384 (6)
H2A	1.0820	0.8342	0.3372	0.058*
H2B	1.2330	0.8492	0.3832	0.058*

H2C	1.1069	0.9104	0.3762	0.058*
N3	0.8007 (3)	0.88669 (15)	0.42352 (12)	0.0382 (6)
H3A	0.8401	0.9123	0.4626	0.057*
H3B	0.6981	0.8759	0.4216	0.057*
H3C	0.8115	0.9189	0.3886	0.057*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0272 (16)	0.084 (3)	0.0303 (15)	-0.0052 (16)	0.0042 (13)	0.0027 (16)
C2	0.0345 (17)	0.0478 (18)	0.0391 (16)	0.0067 (13)	0.0013 (13)	0.0026 (14)
C3	0.0399 (18)	0.0322 (16)	0.0529 (19)	-0.0026 (12)	0.0100 (15)	0.0020 (14)
Cl1	0.0356 (4)	0.0628 (5)	0.0405 (4)	0.0103 (4)	0.0056 (3)	0.0010 (4)
Cl2	0.0291 (4)	0.0586 (5)	0.0487 (4)	-0.0054 (3)	0.0089 (3)	0.0000 (4)
Cl3	0.0263 (3)	0.0409 (4)	0.0391 (4)	0.0016 (3)	0.0038 (3)	-0.0005 (3)
Cl4	0.0340 (5)	0.0407 (6)	0.0420 (6)	0.000	-0.0016 (4)	0.000
Cl5	0.0300 (4)	0.0488 (4)	0.0488 (4)	-0.0043 (3)	0.0055 (3)	-0.0040 (3)
I1	0.03698 (16)	0.03827 (15)	0.02565 (13)	0.000	0.00517 (11)	0.000
I2	0.02793 (11)	0.03197 (11)	0.02788 (10)	0.00081 (7)	0.00317 (7)	-0.00044 (7)
N1	0.0337 (13)	0.0450 (15)	0.0410 (14)	0.0042 (11)	0.0099 (11)	0.0038 (11)
N2	0.0295 (13)	0.0401 (14)	0.0463 (14)	0.0023 (10)	0.0090 (11)	-0.0025 (11)
N3	0.0310 (13)	0.0435 (14)	0.0413 (14)	-0.0039 (11)	0.0103 (11)	-0.0044 (11)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

C1—N1	1.463 (4)	Cl2—I2	2.4518 (10)
C1—C1 ⁱ	1.491 (6)	Cl3—I2	2.6790 (9)
C1—H1D	0.9700	I1—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	2.5595 (10)
C1—H1E	0.9700	N1—H1A	0.8900
C2—N2	1.488 (4)	N1—H1B	0.8900
C2—C3	1.499 (4)	N1—H1C	0.8900
C2—H2D	0.9700	N2—H2A	0.8900
C2—H2E	0.9700	N2—H2B	0.8900
C3—N3	1.483 (4)	N2—H2C	0.8900
C3—H3D	0.9700	N3—H3A	0.8900
C3—H3E	0.9700	N3—H3B	0.8900
Cl1—I1	2.5595 (10)	N3—H3C	0.8900
N1—C1—C1 ⁱ	111.4 (3)	Cl2—I2—Cl3	178.30 (3)
N1—C1—H1D	109.3	C1—N1—H1A	109.5
C1 ⁱ —C1—H1D	109.3	C1—N1—H1B	109.5
N1—C1—H1E	109.3	H1A—N1—H1B	109.5
C1 ⁱ —C1—H1E	109.3	C1—N1—H1C	109.5
H1D—C1—H1E	108.0	H1A—N1—H1C	109.5
N2—C2—C3	113.8 (3)	H1B—N1—H1C	109.5
N2—C2—H2D	108.8	C2—N2—H2A	109.5
C3—C2—H2D	108.8	C2—N2—H2B	109.5
N2—C2—H2E	108.8	H2A—N2—H2B	109.5

C3—C2—H2E	108.8	C2—N2—H2C	109.5
H2D—C2—H2E	107.7	H2A—N2—H2C	109.5
N3—C3—C2	114.7 (3)	H2B—N2—H2C	109.5
N3—C3—H3D	108.6	C3—N3—H3A	109.5
C2—C3—H3D	108.6	C3—N3—H3B	109.5
N3—C3—H3E	108.6	H3A—N3—H3B	109.5
C2—C3—H3E	108.6	C3—N3—H3C	109.5
H3D—C3—H3E	107.6	H3A—N3—H3C	109.5
Cl1—I1—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	179.55 (4)	H3B—N3—H3C	109.5

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2, y, -z+1/2$; (ii) $-x, y, -z+1/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
N1—H1A \cdots Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.65	3.410 (3)	144
N1—H1A \cdots Cl3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.76	3.341 (3)	124
N1—H1B \cdots Cl4	0.89	2.27	3.136 (2)	164
N1—H1C \cdots Cl5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.27	3.148 (3)	168
N2—H2A \cdots Cl4 ^{iv}	0.89	2.38	3.232 (3)	161
N2—H2B \cdots Cl5 ^v	0.89	2.26	3.123 (3)	162
N2—H2C \cdots Cl3 ^{iv}	0.89	2.40	3.246 (3)	159
N3—H3A \cdots Cl3 ^{vi}	0.89	2.42	3.297 (2)	167
N3—H3B \cdots Cl5	0.89	2.32	3.144 (3)	154
N3—H3C \cdots Cl1 ^{iv}	0.89	2.49	3.319 (2)	155

Symmetry codes: (iii) $x+1/2, y-1/2, z$; (iv) $x+1/2, y+1/2, z$; (v) $x+1, y, z$; (vi) $-x+3/2, -y+3/2, -z+1$.