organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

N'-[(2E)-3-Phenylprop-2-enoyl]benzohydrazide

Samir A. Carvalho,^{a,b} Edson F. da Silva,^b Edward R. T. Tiekink,^c* James L. Wardell^d[‡] and Solange M. S. V. Wardell^e

^aInstituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21949-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, ^bDepartamento de Síntese Orgánica, Instituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos FIOCRUZ, Manguinhos, Rua Sizenando Nabuco 100, Manguinhos, 21041-250, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, ^dCentro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico em Saúde (CDTS), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Casa Amarela, Campus de Manguinhos, Av. Brasil 4365, 21040-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, and ^eCHEMSOL, 1 Harcourt Road, Aberdeen AB15 5NY, Scotland Correspondence e-mail: Edward.Tiekink@gmail.com

Received 12 November 2009; accepted 13 November 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 120 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.053; wR factor = 0.172; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.6.

In the title compound, $C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2$, the conformation about the C=C bond is E, and the two amide groups are effectively orthogonal [the C-N-N-C torsion angle is 104.5 (2)°]. In the crystal structure, the amide groups groups associate via N-H···O hydrogen bonding, forming supramolecular tapes with undulating topology along the *c*-axis direction.

Related literature

For the biological activity of trans-cinnamic acid derivatives, see: Bezerra et al. (2006); Chung & Shin (2007); Naz et al. (2006); Rastogi et al. (1998); Reddy et al. (1995). For recent studies directed towards developing drugs for the treatment of tuberculosis, see: Carvalho et al. (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

03118

Å

Carvalho et al.

‡ Additional correspondence author, e-mail: j.wardell@abdn.ac.uk.

Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector	17862 measured reflections
diffractometer	3110 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	2010 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.086$
$T_{\min} = 0.636, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$	

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$ H atoms treated by a mixture of $wR(F^2) = 0.172$ independent and constrained S = 1.10refinement $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.45 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 3110 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.42 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 187 parameters

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1 - HN1 \cdots O1^{i}$ $N2 - HN2 \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.89 (2) 0.86 (2)	1.95 (2) 2.01 (2)	2.827 (2) 2.852 (2)	168 (2) 168 (2)
Summature as daar (i)			1	

T = 120 K

 $0.48 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: COLLECT (Hooft, 1998); cell refinement: DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and COLLECT; data reduction: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2009).

The use of the EPSRC X-ray crystallographic service at the University of Southampton, England and the valuable assistance of the staff there is gratefully acknowledged. JLW acknowledges support from CAPES (Brazil).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HG2591).

References

- Bezerra, D. P., Castro, F. O., Alves, A. P. N. N., Pessoa, C., Moraes, M. O., Silveira, E. R., Lima, M. A. S., Elmiro, F. J. M. & Costa-Lotufo, L. V. (2006). Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res. 39, 801-807.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Carvalho, S. R., de Silva, E. F., de Souza, M. V. N., Lourenço, M. C. S. & Vicente, F. R. (2008). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18, 538-541.
- Chung, H. S. & Shin, J. C. (2007). Food Chem. 104, 1670-1677.
- Hooft, R. W. W. (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Naz, S., Ahmad, S., Rasool, S. A., Sayeed, S. A. & Siddiqi, R. (2006). Microb. Res. 161, 43-48.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
- Rastogi, N., Goh, K. S., Horgen, L. & Barrow, W. W. (1998). FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 21, 149-157.
- Reddy, V. M., Nadadhur, G., Daneluzzi, D., Dimova, V. & Gangadharam, P. R. J. (1995). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39, 2320-2324.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2009). publCIF. In preparation.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o3118 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809048156]

N'-[(2E)-3-Phenylprop-2-enoyl]benzohydrazide

Samir A. Carvalho, Edson F. da Silva, Edward R. T. Tiekink, James L. Wardell and Solange M. S. V. Wardell

S1. Comment

Tuberculosis (TB) remains among the world's great public health challenges. Worldwide resurgence of TB is due to two major problems: the AIDS epidemic, which started in the mid-1980's, and the outbreak of multi-drug resistant (MDR) TB. The deadly combination of TB and HIV has led to a quadrupling of TB cases in several African and Asian countries [http://www.who.int/tdr/diseases/tb/default.htm]. MDR-TB, defined as resistance to at least isoniazid and rifamycin, two current first-line drugs, has increased morbidity and mortality with an overall increase in health care costs. The first-line treatment has some disadvantages such as important side-effects and weak sterility problems, and must be administered for 6–9 months. When standard treatments fail, second-line TB drugs are used, but these drugs have a far lower efficacy and require even longer administration periods (18–24 months) with higher cost (US \$2500–3000 per treatment), higher rates of adverse effects, and low cure rates (around 60%). It is estimated that 4% of all worldwide TB patients are resistant to at least one of the current first-line drugs. TB is responsible for 20% of all deaths in adults, and each year there are about nine million new cases, of which 15% are children, and two million of deaths, of which 450.000 are children. Due to the increase of MDR-TB and AIDS cases worldwide and the lack of new drugs, there is an urgent need for new drugs to fight this disease. In our continuing research for new potent and anti-malarial agents, we reported on a new class of isonicotinic and benzoic acid N'-(3-phenyl-acryloyl)-hydrazide derivatives as attractive anti-tubercular agents (Carvalho et al., 2008) and now report the structure of N'-[(2E)-3-phenylprop-2-enoyl]benzohydrazide, (I). The choice of trans-cinnamic acid derivatives in this study follows on from earlier reports of their significant biological activities (Bezerra et al., 2006; Chung & Shin, 2007; Naz et al., 2006; Rastogi et al., 1998; Reddy et al., 1995).

In (I), the conformation about the C7=C8 bond is *E*, Fig. 1. There is significant twisting in the molecule, in particular about about the central N1–N2 bond as seen in the value of the C9/N1/N2/C10 torsion angle of 104.5 (2) °. This has the consequence that the amide groups are effectively orthogonal to each other, a feature that facilitates the formation of N–H…O hydrogen bond leading to the formation of undulating supramolecular tapes in the *c* direction, Fig. 2 and Table 1.

S2. Experimental

4-Nitrophenyl (2E)-3-phenyl-2-propenoate (2.0 g), prepared by successive treatments of *trans*-cinnamic acid with thionyl chloride and 4-nitrophenol, was added to a solution of PhCONHNH₂ (1.1 equiv.) in pyridine (40 ml). After refluxing the reaction mixture for 6 h, the excess of pyridine was removed under vacuum and water (20 ml) was added. The precipitate was filtered under vacuum, and washed with water to furnish (I) in 78% yield. The crystals used in the structure determination were grown from ethanol solution, m. pt. 482–483 K. ¹H NMR (500.00 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ : 6.78 (1*H*, *d*, *J* = 16.0 Hz, Ph—CH), 7.42 (3*H*, *m*, aryl-H), 7.52 (2*H*, *m*, aryl-H), 7.60 (1*H*, *d*, *J* = 16.0 Hz, CHCO), 7.63 (3*H*, *m*, aryl-H), 7.93 (2*H*, *d*, J = 7.5 Hz, aryl-H, 10.25 (1*H*, *s*, NH), 10.56 (1*H*, *s*, NH) p.p.m. ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ : 165.48, 164.45, 140.34, 134.59, 132.45, 131.92, 129.91, 129.07, 127.78, 121.52, 119.45 p.p.m.

S3. Refinement

The C-bound H atoms were geometrically placed (C–H = 0.95 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The positions of the amide-N H atoms were refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N)$, see Table 1 for distances.



Figure 1

Molecular structure of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

Supramolecular tape with undulating topology in (I). The N-H…O hydrogen bonding is shown as orange dashed lines

(I)

Crystal data	
$C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2$	<i>a</i> = 15.9696 (7) Å
$M_r = 266.29$	b = 10.4563 (5) Å
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	c = 8.3162 (2) Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	$\beta = 102.072 \ (3)^{\circ}$

V = 1357.95 (9) Å³ Z = 4 F(000) = 560 $D_x = 1.303$ Mg m⁻³ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 6527 reflections

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: Enraf–Nonius FR591 rotating anode 10 cm confocal mirrors monochromator Detector resolution: 9.091 pixels mm⁻¹ φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2003)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Least-squares matrix: full map $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$ Hydrogen site location: inferred from $wR(F^2) = 0.172$ neighbouring sites S = 1.10H atoms treated by a mixture of independent 3110 reflections and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0894P)^2]$ 187 parameters 0 restraints where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Primary atom site location: structure-invariant $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.45 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ direct methods $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.42 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

 $\theta = 2.9 - 27.5^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Block, light-red

 $0.48 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\rm min} = 0.636, T_{\rm max} = 0.746$

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^{\circ}$ $h = -20 \rightarrow 20$

17862 measured reflections 3110 independent reflections

2010 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 120 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.086$

 $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$

 $l = -9 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordin	ates and isotropic of	or equivalent isotropic	displacement	parameters ($(Å^2)$
				r	/

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.20786 (9)	0.62832 (14)	0.42536 (16)	0.0272 (4)	
O2	0.36866 (9)	0.61547 (14)	0.11169 (15)	0.0249 (4)	
N1	0.25365 (10)	0.74825 (18)	0.2337 (2)	0.0239 (4)	
HN1	0.2442 (14)	0.779 (2)	0.132 (3)	0.029*	
N2	0.33940 (11)	0.73943 (18)	0.3148 (2)	0.0248 (4)	
HN2	0.3532 (14)	0.774 (2)	0.410 (3)	0.030*	
C1	-0.04530 (13)	0.6129 (2)	0.1175 (2)	0.0233 (5)	
C2	-0.07212 (14)	0.6812 (2)	-0.0287 (3)	0.0305 (5)	
H2	-0.0322	0.7330	-0.0692	0.037*	

C3	-0.15601 (14)	0.6745 (2)	-0.1150 (3)	0.0328 (6)
Н3	-0.1733	0.7219	-0.2138	0.039*
C4	-0.21495 (13)	0.5991 (2)	-0.0583 (3)	0.0291 (5)
H4	-0.2725	0.5948	-0.1177	0.035*
C5	-0.18951 (14)	0.5302 (2)	0.0851 (3)	0.0301 (5)
Н5	-0.2297	0.4782	0.1244	0.036*
C6	-0.10529 (13)	0.5366 (2)	0.1725 (2)	0.0264 (5)
H6	-0.0884	0.4885	0.2709	0.032*
C7	0.04268 (13)	0.6196 (2)	0.2143 (2)	0.0236 (5)
H7	0.0550	0.5693	0.3115	0.028*
C8	0.10735 (12)	0.6886 (2)	0.1809 (2)	0.0236 (5)
H8	0.0983	0.7412	0.0857	0.028*
C9	0.19296 (12)	0.6835 (2)	0.2911 (2)	0.0211 (4)
C10	0.39296 (12)	0.66689 (19)	0.2472 (2)	0.0203 (5)
C11	0.48306 (12)	0.65355 (19)	0.3422 (2)	0.0208 (5)
C12	0.52237 (13)	0.7406 (2)	0.4602 (2)	0.0226 (5)
H12	0.4913	0.8126	0.4859	0.027*
C13	0.60701 (13)	0.7227 (2)	0.5409 (2)	0.0272 (5)
H13	0.6338	0.7826	0.6213	0.033*
C14	0.65253 (14)	0.6170 (2)	0.5038 (2)	0.0280 (5)
H14	0.7105	0.6050	0.5582	0.034*
C15	0.61300 (13)	0.5296 (2)	0.3873 (2)	0.0278 (5)
H15	0.6438	0.4569	0.3631	0.033*
C16	0.52891 (13)	0.5473 (2)	0.3060 (2)	0.0255 (5)
H16	0.5024	0.4873	0.2255	0.031*

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0249 (8)	0.0309 (9)	0.0232 (7)	0.0004 (6)	-0.0008 (6)	0.0013 (6)
O2	0.0223 (8)	0.0286 (9)	0.0214 (7)	-0.0042 (6)	-0.0009(5)	-0.0019 (6)
N1	0.0140 (9)	0.0337 (11)	0.0212 (8)	-0.0004 (8)	-0.0030 (6)	0.0011 (8)
N2	0.0164 (9)	0.0348 (11)	0.0202 (8)	-0.0005 (8)	-0.0033 (6)	-0.0027 (8)
C1	0.0197 (11)	0.0247 (12)	0.0245 (10)	0.0021 (9)	0.0024 (8)	-0.0015 (8)
C2	0.0213 (11)	0.0354 (13)	0.0335 (11)	-0.0057 (10)	0.0026 (9)	0.0068 (10)
C3	0.0247 (12)	0.0371 (14)	0.0323 (12)	-0.0049 (10)	-0.0038 (9)	0.0084 (10)
C4	0.0174 (11)	0.0292 (13)	0.0369 (12)	0.0000 (9)	-0.0029 (9)	-0.0022 (9)
C5	0.0244 (12)	0.0288 (12)	0.0368 (12)	-0.0087 (10)	0.0056 (9)	-0.0024 (10)
C6	0.0249 (11)	0.0270 (12)	0.0264 (10)	-0.0020 (9)	0.0031 (8)	0.0006 (9)
C7	0.0216 (11)	0.0270 (12)	0.0212 (10)	0.0030 (9)	0.0025 (8)	-0.0012 (8)
C8	0.0218 (11)	0.0262 (11)	0.0209 (10)	0.0041 (9)	0.0004 (8)	-0.0011 (8)
C9	0.0183 (10)	0.0222 (11)	0.0213 (10)	0.0015 (9)	0.0005 (7)	-0.0048 (8)
C10	0.0193 (11)	0.0218 (11)	0.0188 (10)	-0.0031 (8)	0.0014 (7)	0.0041 (8)
C11	0.0181 (10)	0.0231 (11)	0.0204 (10)	-0.0020 (8)	0.0019 (7)	0.0043 (8)
C12	0.0189 (10)	0.0268 (12)	0.0218 (9)	-0.0014 (9)	0.0037 (7)	-0.0001 (8)
C13	0.0202 (11)	0.0328 (13)	0.0264 (10)	-0.0028 (9)	-0.0002 (8)	-0.0034 (9)
C14	0.0181 (10)	0.0357 (13)	0.0274 (11)	0.0019 (9)	-0.0017 (8)	0.0010 (9)
C15	0.0249 (12)	0.0277 (12)	0.0298 (11)	0.0069 (9)	0.0034 (8)	0.0038 (9)

C16	0.0253 (12)	0.0252 (12)	0.0242 (10)	-0.0008 (9)	0.0015 (8)	0.0003 (8)
Geomet	ric parameters (Å	,)				
01—C9	9	1.235 (2	2)	С6—Н6		0.9500
O2—C	10	1.235 (2	2)	С7—С8		1.336 (3)
N1-C9	9	1.348 (3	5)	С7—Н7		0.9500
N1N2	2	1.397 (2	2)	С8—С9		1.479 (3)
N1—H	N1	0.89 (2)		С8—Н8		0.9500
N2C	10	1.351 (3	5)	C10-C11		1.496 (3)
N2—H	N2	0.86 (2)		C11—C12		1.388 (3)
C1—Ce	5	1.395 (3	5)	C11—C16		1.398 (3)
C1—C2	2	1.398 (3	5)	C12—C13		1.390 (3)
C1—C2	7	1.467 (3	5)	C12—H12		0.9500
C2—C3	3	1.383 (3	5)	C13—C14		1.392 (3)
С2—Н2	2	0.9500	·	С13—Н13		0.9500
C3—C4	1	1.384 (3	5)	C14—C15		1.384 (3)
С3—Н	3	0.9500	·	C14—H14		0.9500
C4—C5	5	1.379 (3	5)	C15—C16		1.384 (3)
C4—H4	4	0.9500	·	С15—Н15		0.9500
С5—Се	6	1.390 (3	5)	C16—H16		0.9500
С5—Н:	5	0.9500				
C9—N	1—N2	120.03 ((17)	С7—С8—С9		120.44 (18)
C9—N	l—HN1	121.9 (1	4)	С7—С8—Н8		119.8
N2—N	1—HN1	116.0 (1	4)	С9—С8—Н8		119.8
C10-N	N2—N1	118.56 ((16)	01—C9—N1		122.52 (17)
C10-N	N2—HN2	124.2 (1	.6)	01—C9—C8		123.72 (18)
N1N2	2—HN2	117.0 (1	5)	N1—C9—C8		113.74 (17)
C6—C1	l—C2	118.08 ((18)	O2-C10-N2		121.24 (17)
C6—C1	l—C7	119.48 ((18)	O2-C10-C11		121.69 (17)
C2—C	l—C7	122.44 ((19)	N2-C10-C11		117.06 (16)
C3—C2	2—C1	120.8 (2	2)	C12—C11—C16		119.57 (18)
C3—C2	2—Н2	119.6		C12—C11—C10		123.71 (18)
C1-C2	2—Н2	119.6		C16—C11—C10		116.71 (18)
C2—C3	3—C4	120.41 ((19)	C11—C12—C13		120.21 (19)
C2—C3	3—Н3	119.8		C11—C12—H12		119.9
C4—C3	3—Н3	119.8		С13—С12—Н12		119.9
C5—C4	4—С3	119.58 ((19)	C12—C13—C14		119.99 (19)
C5—C4	4—H4	120.2		С12—С13—Н13		120.0
C3—C4	4—H4	120.2		С14—С13—Н13		120.0
C4—C5	5—С6	120.3 (2	2)	C15—C14—C13		119.76 (19)
C4—C5	5—H5	119.9		C15—C14—H14		120.1
C6—C5	5—H5	119.9		C13—C14—H14		120.1
С5—Се	6—C1	120.80 ((19)	C14—C15—C16		120.5 (2)
С5—Се	6—H6	119.6		С14—С15—Н15		119.8
C1—C6	6—H6	119.6		C16—C15—H15		119.8
C8—C2	7—C1	127.24 ((19)	C15—C16—C11		119.97 (19)

supporting information

С8—С7—Н7 С1—С7—Н7	116.4 116.4	C15—C16—H16 C11—C16—H16	120.0 120.0
C1-C7-H7 $C9-N1-N2-C10$ $C6-C1-C2-C3$ $C7-C1-C2-C3$ $C1-C2-C3-C4$ $C2-C3-C4-C5$ $C3-C4-C5-C6$ $C4-C5-C6-C1$ $C2-C1-C6-C5$ $C7-C1-C6-C5$ $C6-C1-C7-C8$ $C2-C1-C7-C8$ $C2-C1-C7-C8$ $C1-C7-C8-C9$ $N2-N1-C9-O1$ $N2-N1-C9-C8$	116.4 $104.5 (2)$ $0.7 (3)$ $-178.7 (2)$ $-0.3 (4)$ $-0.1 (3)$ $0.3 (3)$ $-0.7 (3)$ $178.8 (2)$ $-179.0 (2)$ $0.4 (3)$ $-179.37 (19)$ $9.3 (3)$ $-172.41 (17)$	C11-C16-H16 $C7-C8-C9-N1$ $N1-N2-C10-O2$ $N1-N2-C10-C11$ $O2-C10-C11-C12$ $N2-C10-C11-C12$ $O2-C10-C11-C16$ $N2-C10-C11-C16$ $C16-C11-C12-C13$ $C10-C11-C12-C13$ $C10-C11-C12-C13$ $C11-C12-C13-C14$ $C12-C13-C14-C15$ $C13-C14-C15-C16$ $C14-C15-C16$ $C14-C15-C16-C11$ $C12-C11-C16-C15$	120.0 $173.98 (19)$ $4.4 (3)$ $-176.55 (16)$ $156.22 (19)$ $-22.8 (3)$ $-23.0 (3)$ $158.00 (18)$ $0.5 (3)$ $-178.68 (17)$ $-0.2 (3)$ $-0.5 (3)$ $-0.5 (3)$ $-0.1 (3)$
С7—С8—С9—О1	-7.8 (3)	C10-C11-C16-C15	179.11 (17)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
N1—HN1···O1 ⁱ	0.89 (2)	1.95 (2)	2.827 (2)	168 (2)
N2—HN2····O2 ⁱⁱ	0.86 (2)	2.01 (2)	2.852 (2)	168 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+3/2, *z*-1/2; (ii) *x*, -*y*+3/2, *z*+1/2.