

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis(4-aminobenzenesulfonato- $\kappa$ O)bis-(propane-1,3-diamine- $\kappa^2$ N,N')copper(II) dihydrate

Ke-Juan Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Xiang-Gao Meng<sup>b</sup> and Xiu-Ling Li<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Pesticides & Chemical Biology, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei 430079, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: lx@fjirm.ac.cn


Received 5 November 2009; accepted 20 November 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.048;  $wR$  factor = 0.132; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9.

In the title compound,  $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2)_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{NO}_3\text{S})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the  $\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}$  atom lies on an inversion center and is hexacoordinated by four N atoms from two 1,3-diaminopropane ligands and two O atoms from two 4-aminobenzenesulfonate ligands in a *trans* arrangement, displaying a distorted and axially elongated octahedral coordination geometry, with the O atoms at the axial positions. A three-dimensional network is formed in the crystal structure through  $\text{O}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ ,  $\text{N}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$  and  $\text{N}-\text{H} \cdots \text{N}$  hydrogen bonds.

## Related literature

For general background to crystal engineering based on metal and organic building blocks, see: Evans & Lin (2002); Li *et al.* (2003, 2004). For related structures, see: Kim & Lee (2002); Sundberg *et al.* (2001); Sundberg & Sillanpää (1993); Sundberg & Uggla (1997); Wang *et al.* (2002). For the synthesis, see: Gunderman *et al.* (1996).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2)_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{NO}_3\text{S})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$   
 $M_r = 592.19$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 9.5171$  (1) Å

$b = 10.3875$  (4) Å  
 $c = 13.1646$  (5) Å  
 $\beta = 101.256$  (2)°  
 $V = 1276.40$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 1.07$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  K  
 $0.48 \times 0.20 \times 0.18$  mm

### Data collection

Siemens SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.627$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.830$

3629 measured reflections  
2230 independent reflections  
1889 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.132$   
 $S = 1.09$   
2230 reflections  
161 parameters

3 restraints  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.48$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.41$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**  
Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cu—N1	2.038 (3)	Cu—O1	2.589 (3)
Cu—N2	2.029 (3)		

**Table 2**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
O1W—H1WA $\cdots$ O2	0.85	1.90	2.651 (5)	146
O1W—H1WB $\cdots$ O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.85	2.16	2.969 (8)	160
N1—H1A $\cdots$ N3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.90	2.46	3.250 (5)	147
N1—H1B $\cdots$ O3 <sup>iii</sup>	0.90	2.39	3.243 (4)	158
N2—H2A $\cdots$ O3 <sup>iv</sup>	0.90	2.42	3.183 (4)	143
N2—H2B $\cdots$ O1W <sup>v</sup>	0.90	2.13	3.025 (5)	177
N3—H3D $\cdots$ O1W <sup>vi</sup>	0.86	2.69	3.337 (6)	133
N3—H3C $\cdots$ O1 <sup>vii</sup>	0.86	2.46	3.248 (5)	153

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$ ; (iv)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v)  $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vi)  $x - 1, y, z$ ; (vii)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported financially by the NSFC (grant No. 20801047), the Foundation of Xuzhou Normal University (07XLA07, KY2007039 and XGG2007034) and the 'Qing Lan' Project (08QLT001).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HY2252).

## References

- Evans, O. R. & Lin, W. (2002). *Acc. Chem. Res.* **35**, 511–522.  
Gunderman, B. J., Squatrito, P. J. & Dubey, S. N. (1996). *Acta Cryst.* **C52**, 1131–1134.  
Kim, C.-H. & Lee, S. G. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **C58**, m421–m423.  
Li, X. L., Chen, J. T., Niu, D. Z., Sheng, J. T. & Zhang, D. P. (2003). *Chin. J. Struct. Chem.* **22**, 415–418.  
Li, X. L., Tong, M. L., Niu, D. Z. & Chen, J. T. (2004). *Chin. J. Chem.* **22**, 64–68.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINTE*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sundberg, M. R., Kivekäs, R., Huovilainen, R. & Uggla, R. (2001). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **324**, 212–217.
- Sundberg, M. R. & Sillanpää, R. (1993). *Acta Chem. Scand.* **47**, 1173–1178.
- Sundberg, M. R. & Uggla, R. (1997). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **254**, 259–265.
- Wang, Y., Feng, L., Li, Y., Hu, C., Wang, E., Hu, N. & Jia, H. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* **41**, 6351–6357.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, m1678-m1679 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536809049769 ]

## Bis(4-aminobenzenesulfonato- $\kappa O$ )bis(propane-1,3-diamine- $\kappa^2 N, N'$ )copper(II) dihydrate

K.-J. Zhang, X.-G. Meng and X.-L. Li

### Comment

Crystal engineering based on metal and organic building blocks has been rapidly developed in recent years owing to their novel and diverse topologies and potential applications in catalysis and host–guest chemistry (Evans & Lin, 2002). Covalent bonds and hydrogen bonds have been demonstrated to be two important interactions in constructing metal-containing supramolecular frameworks, and they have brought forth a great variety of novel frameworks with fascinating structural motifs (Li *et al.*, 2003, 2004). 1,3-Diaminopropane (tn) ligand behaves as a strong chelator in its metal complexes due to the formation of a stable six-membered ring. At the same time, it is a good H-bond donor due to the existence of amino groups (Sundberg *et al.*, 2001). The crystal engineering of tn and carboxylate ligands has been studied in detail (Sundberg *et al.*, 2001), but supramolecular chemistry of tn and 4-aminobenzenesulfonate (4-ABS) ligand is still not explored to that extent (Wang *et al.*, 2002). 4-ABS can act as a bridging or a terminal ligand in its metal complexes. On the other hand, studies on the coordination and supramolecular chemistry of 4-ABS have showed that it is a good H-bond acceptor and can form strong H-bonds due to its three O atoms and one N atom (Kim & Lee, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2002). In view of their excellent coordination capability and good H-bond donor or acceptor nature, we employed tn and 4-ABS as mixed organic building blocks to construct supramolecular networks in an expectation that these ligands may generate hydrogen bonding and/or covalent interactions with transition metal ions in the assembly process. Herein, we report the synthesis and structure of the title compound.

As shown in Fig. 1, the Cu<sup>II</sup> atom lies on an inversion center and is octahedrally coordinated by four N atoms from two tn ligands and two O atoms from two 4-ABS ligands in a *trans* arrangement. The coordination polyhedron of the Cu<sup>II</sup> ion can be described as axially elongated octahedral, with the O atoms at the axial positions. The tn ligand shows chelating coordination behavior and displays a chair conformation in the equatorial direction. This kind of coordination mode was also found in the similar complexes (Sundberg *et al.*, 2001; Sundberg & Sillanpää, 1993; Sundberg & Ugglä, 1997). The axial Cu—O distance is 2.589 (3) Å, indicating a weak coordination. The equatorial Cu—N1 and Cu—N2 bond lengths are 2.038 (3) and 2.029 (3) Å, respectively, which are much shorter than the axial Cu—O distance and very similar to those in the previously reported *trans*-bis(4-methylbenzenesulfonato)bis(1,3-diaminopropane)copper(II) (Sundberg & Sillanpää, 1993). The tn molecule forms a six-membered chelate ring with asymmetric Cu—N1—C1 and Cu—N2—C3 angles of 122.7 (2) and 119.9 (2)°. A plausible explanation for the deviations described above may be attributed to the asymmetric hydrogen bonding with respect to the chelate ring. The complex molecules are linked into a two-dimensional layer through hydrogen bonds between the uncoordinated water, the sulfonate group and the amino groups of the tn ligand. The layers are further connected into a three-dimensional network through hydrogen bonds between the amino groups and the sulfonate groups of neighboring 4-ABS ligands (Fig. 2).

### Experimental

Diaquabis(4-aminobenzenesulfonato)copper(II) dihydrate was synthesized according to the literature (Gunderman *et al.*, 1996). 1,3-Diaminopropane (0.35 g, 4.72 mmol) in 10 ml water was dropped slowly into the stirred diaquabis(4-

## supplementary materials

aminobenzenesulfonato)copper(II) dihydrate (1.12 g, 2.33 mmol) solution in 20 ml water. The mixed solution was kept stirring at room temperature for 30 min. After filtration, the filtrate was left to evaporate in air. After a few days, blue crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray study were obtained (yield 0.70 g, 51%).

### Refinement

H atoms bonded to C atoms or N atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.93 (aromatic) and 0.97 (CH<sub>2</sub>) Å and N—H = 0.90 and 0.86 Å and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C},\text{N})$ . Water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding, with O—H = 0.85 Å and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$ .

### Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Dashed lines denote hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry code: (i)  $1 - x, -y, 1 - z$ .]




Fig. 2. The packing diagram of the title compound viewed along the *b* axis. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) are omitted for clarity.

### Bis(4-aminobenzenesulfonato- $\kappa$ O)bis(propane-1,3-diamine- $\kappa^2$ N,N')copper(II) dihydrate

#### Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2)_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{NO}_3\text{S})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$M_r = 592.19$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol:  $-P\ 2ybc$

$a = 9.5171$  (1) Å

$b = 10.3875$  (4) Å

$c = 13.1646$  (5) Å

$\beta = 101.256$  (2)°

$V = 1276.40$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 622$

$D_x = 1.541$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 2312 reflections

$\theta = 2.2\text{--}25.1^\circ$

$\mu = 1.07$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  K

Prism, blue

$0.48 \times 0.20 \times 0.18$  mm

#### Data collection

Siemens SMART 1000 CCD  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

2230 independent reflections

1889 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

graphite  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$   
 $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.1^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2^\circ$   
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $h = -9 \rightarrow 11$   
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.627$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.830$   $k = -7 \rightarrow 12$   
 3629 measured reflections  $l = -15 \rightarrow 10$

*Refinement*

Refinement on  $F^2$  Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map  
 Least-squares matrix: full Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$  H-atom parameters constrained  
 $wR(F^2) = 0.132$   $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0669P)^2 + 1.3129P]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $S = 1.09$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$   
 2230 reflections  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.48 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 161 parameters  $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 3 restraints Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008),  
 $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001 \times F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$   
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Extinction coefficient: 0.054 (4)

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu	0.5000	0.0000	0.5000	0.0387 (3)
N1	0.3723 (3)	-0.1305 (3)	0.5539 (2)	0.0470 (7)
H1A	0.3788	-0.2043	0.5193	0.056*
H1B	0.4125	-0.1457	0.6205	0.056*
N2	0.3801 (3)	0.1490 (3)	0.5351 (2)	0.0457 (7)
H2A	0.4171	0.1731	0.6005	0.055*
H2B	0.3929	0.2153	0.4938	0.055*
C1	0.2183 (4)	-0.1079 (4)	0.5506 (3)	0.0565 (10)
H1C	0.1820	-0.1742	0.5905	0.068*
H1D	0.1669	-0.1143	0.4796	0.068*
C2	0.1902 (4)	0.0226 (4)	0.5934 (3)	0.0537 (10)
H2C	0.0902	0.0277	0.5990	0.064*
H2D	0.2476	0.0314	0.6625	0.064*
C3	0.2240 (4)	0.1327 (4)	0.5273 (3)	0.0519 (9)
H3A	0.1794	0.1169	0.4557	0.062*
H3B	0.1840	0.2116	0.5491	0.062*
O1W	0.5872 (4)	-0.1325 (5)	0.1104 (5)	0.147 (2)
H1WA	0.5115	-0.0876	0.0934	0.221*
H1WB	0.5700	-0.1840	0.1563	0.221*
S	0.31964 (10)	0.04586 (13)	0.21957 (7)	0.0585 (4)
O1	0.3474 (3)	-0.0243 (3)	0.3153 (3)	0.0735 (10)
O2	0.3401 (4)	-0.0303 (6)	0.1333 (3)	0.156 (3)

## supplementary materials

---

O3	0.4010 (3)	0.1646 (4)	0.2294 (3)	0.0936 (13)
C11	0.1360 (4)	0.0876 (4)	0.1932 (2)	0.0435 (8)
C12	0.0384 (4)	0.0061 (4)	0.1338 (3)	0.0488 (9)
H12A	0.0702	-0.0675	0.1050	0.059*
C13	-0.1068 (4)	0.0337 (4)	0.1168 (3)	0.0527 (10)
H13A	-0.1717	-0.0220	0.0770	0.063*
C14	-0.1565 (4)	0.1431 (4)	0.1584 (3)	0.0483 (9)
C15	-0.0568 (4)	0.2261 (4)	0.2159 (3)	0.0523 (9)
H15A	-0.0880	0.3016	0.2424	0.063*
C16	0.0875 (4)	0.1982 (4)	0.2342 (3)	0.0493 (9)
H16A	0.1525	0.2538	0.2741	0.059*
N3	-0.3017 (3)	0.1723 (4)	0.1411 (3)	0.0680 (10)
H3D	-0.3627	0.1219	0.1039	0.082*
H3C	-0.3305	0.2407	0.1676	0.082*

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu	0.0294 (4)	0.0433 (4)	0.0434 (4)	0.0037 (2)	0.0068 (2)	0.0027 (2)
N1	0.0377 (16)	0.0488 (17)	0.0541 (18)	0.0005 (13)	0.0079 (13)	0.0047 (14)
N2	0.0406 (16)	0.0493 (17)	0.0478 (17)	0.0061 (13)	0.0103 (13)	-0.0016 (14)
C1	0.0385 (19)	0.064 (2)	0.069 (3)	-0.0065 (18)	0.0147 (18)	-0.005 (2)
C2	0.039 (2)	0.076 (3)	0.049 (2)	0.0008 (18)	0.0162 (17)	-0.0048 (19)
C3	0.0358 (19)	0.068 (3)	0.051 (2)	0.0141 (17)	0.0058 (16)	-0.0058 (19)
O1W	0.061 (2)	0.130 (4)	0.254 (6)	-0.018 (2)	0.038 (3)	-0.109 (4)
S	0.0392 (5)	0.0932 (8)	0.0388 (5)	0.0172 (5)	-0.0031 (4)	0.0027 (5)
O1	0.0558 (18)	0.081 (2)	0.070 (2)	0.0005 (15)	-0.0221 (15)	0.0234 (16)
O2	0.067 (3)	0.294 (7)	0.091 (3)	0.084 (3)	-0.025 (2)	-0.090 (4)
O3	0.0430 (16)	0.125 (3)	0.109 (3)	-0.0080 (18)	0.0046 (17)	0.051 (2)
C11	0.0385 (18)	0.057 (2)	0.0331 (17)	0.0085 (16)	0.0022 (13)	0.0113 (15)
C12	0.049 (2)	0.051 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.0118 (16)	0.0005 (16)	0.0030 (16)
C13	0.044 (2)	0.053 (2)	0.056 (2)	-0.0013 (17)	-0.0026 (17)	0.0072 (18)
C14	0.0387 (19)	0.058 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.0050 (17)	0.0075 (15)	0.0182 (17)
C15	0.054 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.0129 (18)	0.0123 (17)	0.0032 (18)
C16	0.050 (2)	0.055 (2)	0.0408 (19)	0.0000 (17)	0.0035 (15)	0.0011 (16)
N3	0.0404 (18)	0.075 (2)	0.087 (3)	0.0107 (17)	0.0085 (17)	0.012 (2)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

Cu—N1	2.038 (3)	O1W—H1WB	0.85
Cu—N2	2.029 (3)	S—O2	1.428 (4)
Cu—O1	2.589 (3)	S—O1	1.435 (3)
N1—C1	1.476 (4)	S—O3	1.448 (4)
N1—H1A	0.9000	S—C11	1.768 (3)
N1—H1B	0.9000	C11—C12	1.381 (5)
N2—C3	1.479 (4)	C11—C16	1.386 (5)
N2—H2A	0.9000	C12—C13	1.386 (6)
N2—H2B	0.9000	C12—H12A	0.9300
C1—C2	1.512 (5)	C13—C14	1.384 (6)

C1—H1C	0.9700	C13—H13A	0.9300
C1—H1D	0.9700	C14—N3	1.389 (5)
C2—C3	1.509 (6)	C14—C15	1.391 (6)
C2—H2C	0.9700	C15—C16	1.378 (5)
C2—H2D	0.9700	C15—H15A	0.9300
C3—H3A	0.9700	C16—H16A	0.9300
C3—H3B	0.9700	N3—H3D	0.8600
O1W—H1WA	0.85	N3—H3C	0.8600
N2—Cu—N2 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	C1—C2—H2D	109.0
N2—Cu—N1	91.61 (13)	H2C—C2—H2D	107.8
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—N1	88.40 (13)	N2—C3—C2	111.8 (3)
N2—Cu—N1 <sup>i</sup>	88.40 (13)	N2—C3—H3A	109.3
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—N1 <sup>i</sup>	91.60 (13)	C2—C3—H3A	109.3
N1—Cu—N1 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	N2—C3—H3B	109.3
N2—Cu—O1 <sup>i</sup>	87.08 (11)	C2—C3—H3B	109.3
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—O1 <sup>i</sup>	92.92 (11)	H3A—C3—H3B	107.9
N1—Cu—O1 <sup>i</sup>	90.08 (11)	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	105.1
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—O1 <sup>i</sup>	89.92 (11)	O2—S—O1	112.8 (3)
N2—Cu—O1	92.92 (11)	O2—S—O3	112.9 (3)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—O1	87.08 (12)	O1—S—O3	110.5 (2)
N1—Cu—O1	89.92 (11)	O2—S—C11	105.22 (19)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—O1	90.08 (11)	O1—S—C11	107.59 (18)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu—O1	180.0	O3—S—C11	107.44 (19)
C1—N1—Cu	122.7 (2)	S—O1—Cu	138.47 (19)
C1—N1—H1A	106.7	C12—C11—C16	119.4 (3)
Cu—N1—H1A	106.7	C12—C11—S	119.4 (3)
C1—N1—H1B	106.7	C16—C11—S	121.2 (3)
Cu—N1—H1B	106.7	C11—C12—C13	120.2 (4)
H1A—N1—H1B	106.6	C11—C12—H12A	119.9
C3—N2—Cu	119.9 (2)	C13—C12—H12A	119.9
C3—N2—H2A	107.3	C14—C13—C12	120.8 (4)
Cu—N2—H2A	107.3	C14—C13—H13A	119.6
C3—N2—H2B	107.3	C12—C13—H13A	119.6
Cu—N2—H2B	107.3	C13—C14—N3	121.3 (4)
H2A—N2—H2B	106.9	C13—C14—C15	118.3 (3)
N1—C1—C2	112.3 (3)	N3—C14—C15	120.3 (4)
N1—C1—H1C	109.1	C16—C15—C14	121.0 (4)
C2—C1—H1C	109.1	C16—C15—H15A	119.5
N1—C1—H1D	109.1	C14—C15—H15A	119.5
C2—C1—H1D	109.1	C15—C16—C11	120.1 (4)
H1C—C1—H1D	107.9	C15—C16—H16A	119.9
C3—C2—C1	113.1 (3)	C11—C16—H16A	119.9
C3—C2—H2C	109.0	C14—N3—H3D	120.0
C1—C2—H2C	109.0	C14—N3—H3C	120.0
C3—C2—H2D	109.0	H3D—N3—H3C	120.0

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y, -z+1$ .

## Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1W—H1WA $\cdots$ O2	0.85	1.90	2.651 (5)	146
O1W—H1WB $\cdots$ O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85	2.16	2.969 (8)	160
N1—H1A $\cdots$ N3 <sup>iii</sup>	0.90	2.46	3.250 (5)	147
N1—H1B $\cdots$ O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.90	2.39	3.243 (4)	158
N2—H2A $\cdots$ O3 <sup>iv</sup>	0.90	2.42	3.183 (4)	143
N2—H2B $\cdots$ O1W <sup>v</sup>	0.90	2.13	3.025 (5)	177
N3—H3D $\cdots$ O1W <sup>vi</sup>	0.86	2.69	3.337 (6)	133
N3—H3C $\cdots$ O1 <sup>vii</sup>	0.86	2.46	3.248 (5)	153

Symmetry codes: (ii)  $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (iii)  $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (i)  $-x+1, -y, -z+1$ ; (iv)  $x, -y+1/2, z+1/2$ ; (v)  $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (vi)  $x-1, y, z$ ; (vii)  $-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ .

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

