

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis[*N,N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato- $\kappa^2$ S,S']copper(II)Lan-Feng Hou,<sup>a</sup> Yun Zhong,<sup>a\*</sup> Yan Mei<sup>a</sup> and Jun Fan<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup>School of Basic Science, East China Jiaotong University, Nanchang 330013, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>School of Chemistry and Environment, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zhongyun1973@126.com


Received 17 November 2009; accepted 20 November 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 298$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.035;  $wR$  factor = 0.095; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.4.

In the title compound,  $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_2)_2]$ , the  $\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}$  cation is chelated by two bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamate anions with a distorted square-planar coordination geometry. Intermolecular  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonding is observed between the terminal hydroxy groups in the crystal structure.

## Related literature

For the different oxidation state of Cu in copper-dithiocarbamate complexes, see: Cardell *et al.* (2006); Zhang *et al.* (2004); Jian *et al.* (1999); Hogarth *et al.* (2000). For the Cu—S bond distances in a related structure, see: Jian *et al.* (2003).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

 $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_2)_2]$  $M_r = 424.06$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  $a = 11.1088$  (9) Å $b = 14.7047$  (11) Å $c = 11.3401$  (9) Å $\beta = 118.253$  (1)° $V = 1631.7$  (2) Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 4$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation $\mu = 1.86$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 298$  K

0.35 × 0.35 × 0.30 mm

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\text{min}} = 0.562$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.605$ 8158 measured reflections  
2928 independent reflections  
2652 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.068$ 

## Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$  $wR(F^2) = 0.095$  $S = 1.03$ 

2928 reflections

190 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.74$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.56$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cu1—S1	2.3026 (8)	Cu1—S3	2.3148 (8)
Cu1—S2	2.3201 (8)	Cu1—S4	2.2999 (8)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{O1}-\text{H1}\cdots\text{O4}^{\text{i}}$	0.82	1.86	2.654 (4)	162
$\text{O2}-\text{H2}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{ii}}$	0.82	2.29	2.694 (3)	111
$\text{O3}-\text{H3}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{iii}}$	0.82	1.94	2.744 (3)	166
$\text{O4}-\text{H4}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{iv}}$	0.82	1.90	2.677 (3)	157

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y, -z + 1$ ; (iii)  $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT* program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province, China (2007GZH1573).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: XU2681).

## References

- Bruker (1998). *SMART*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
 Bruker (1999). *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
 Cardell, D., Hogarth, D. & Faulkner, S. (2006). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **359**, 1321–1324.  
 Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.  
 Hogarth, G., Pateman, A. & Redmond, S. P. (2000). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **306**, 232–236.  
 Jian, F.-F., Wang, Z.-X., Bai, Z.-P., You, X.-Z., Fun, H. K., Chinnakali, K. & Razak, I. A. (1999). *Polyhedron*, **18**, 3401–3406.  
 Jian, F.-F., Xue, T., Jiao, K. & Zhang, S.-S. (2003). *Chin. J. Chem.* **21**, 50–55.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
 Zhang, W., Zhu, X.-L., Cheng, Z.-P., Zhu, J., Lang, J.-P. & Lu, J.-M. (2004). *Chin. J. Chem.* **22**, 888–890.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, m1694 [ doi:10.1107/S160053680904999X ]

## Bis[*N,N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato- $\kappa^2$ *S,S'*]copper(II)

L.-F. Hou, Y. Zhong, Y. Mei and J. Fan

### Comment

Metal dithiocarbamate complexes have been extensively studied. Monomeric, dimeric, polymeric, two-dimensional and three-dimensional structures are all featured amongst these complexes. In copper dithiocarbamate complexes, the copper oxidation states I–III have been accessible (Cardell *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2004; Jian *et al.*, 1999; Hogarth *et al.*, 2000) because dithiocarbamates have capability to stabilize transition metals in a wide range of oxidation states. The title complex (I), was synthesized and characterized by X-ray crystal structure analysis.

The title complex has a monomeric structure (shown as Fig.1). The copper atom, which has distorted square-planar geometry, was coordinated to four sulfur atoms of two 2-hydroxyethylthiocarbamate ligands. The Cu—S distances ranged from 2.2999 (8) to 2.3201 (8) Å. This is consistent with the literature precedents (Jian, 2003). The interesting feature of this structure is the presence of hydrogen bonding between molecules, owing to the presence of hydrogen-bonding functionality in the N-bound residues. The structure can be thought of as being comprised of layers held together primarily by O—H—O interactions in the *bc* plane; see Table 2 for geometric parameters describing the hydrogen-bonding interactions. Successive layers stack parallel to the *a* direction, held together by O—H—O interactions.

### Experimental

NaOH(0.04 g, 1.0 mmol), NH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub> (0.105 g, 1.0 mmol) and CS<sub>2</sub> (0.092 g, 1.2 mmol) was stirred for 1 h in methanol (25 ml) at room temperature, to this solution, CuCl<sub>2</sub> (0.067 g, 0.5 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and the precipitate was filtered off. Black crystals were obtained from the slow evaporation of the filtrate.

### Refinement

H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.97 and O—H = 0.82 Å, and refined in riding mode with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  and  $1.5U_{eq}(O)$ . The highest peak in the final difference Fourier map is 1.1 Å apart from the C1 atom.

### Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

## Bis[*N,N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato- $\kappa^2$ S,S']copper(II)

### Crystal data

[Cu(C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>10</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ]	$F(000) = 876$
$M_r = 424.06$	$D_x = 1.726 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 5620 reflections
$a = 11.1088 (9) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.5\text{--}28.1^\circ$
$b = 14.7047 (11) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 1.86 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 11.3401 (9) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 298 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 118.253 (1)^\circ$	Prism, black
$V = 1631.7 (2) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.35 \times 0.35 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 4$	

### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer	2928 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite	2652 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.068$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.3^\circ$ , $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.1^\circ$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.562$ , $T_{\text{max}} = 0.605$	$h = -13 \rightarrow 9$
8158 measured reflections	$k = -16 \rightarrow 17$
	$l = -7 \rightarrow 13$

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.095$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.03$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0446P)^2 + 1.7228P]$
2928 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
190 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.74 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.56 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations

between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.0521 (3)	-0.05853 (18)	0.2261 (3)	0.0192 (6)
C2	-0.1504 (3)	-0.0869 (2)	0.2496 (3)	0.0233 (6)
H2A	-0.1983	-0.1411	0.2531	0.028*
H2B	-0.2019	-0.0601	0.1614	0.028*
C3	-0.1424 (4)	-0.0196 (3)	0.3548 (4)	0.0406 (8)
H3A	-0.1207	-0.0520	0.4371	0.049*
H3B	-0.0697	0.0236	0.3735	0.049*
C4	0.0381 (3)	-0.20342 (18)	0.3257 (3)	0.0212 (6)
H4A	0.1013	-0.2229	0.2942	0.025*
H4B	-0.0383	-0.2454	0.2901	0.025*
C5	0.1091 (3)	-0.2093 (2)	0.4765 (3)	0.0227 (6)
H5A	0.0560	-0.1763	0.5100	0.027*
H5B	0.1130	-0.2725	0.5028	0.027*
C6	0.3436 (3)	0.17401 (18)	0.0766 (2)	0.0162 (5)
C7	0.5611 (3)	0.20374 (19)	0.0815 (3)	0.0190 (6)
H7A	0.5985	0.1702	0.1650	0.023*
H7B	0.6123	0.2600	0.0980	0.023*
C8	0.5805 (3)	0.1480 (2)	-0.0214 (3)	0.0255 (6)
H8A	0.6740	0.1264	0.0185	0.031*
H8B	0.5209	0.0953	-0.0462	0.031*
C9	0.3588 (3)	0.30637 (19)	-0.0457 (3)	0.0206 (6)
H9A	0.2618	0.3096	-0.0736	0.025*
H9B	0.3693	0.3001	-0.1255	0.025*
C10	0.4260 (3)	0.3936 (2)	0.0247 (3)	0.0307 (7)
H10A	0.5215	0.3927	0.0462	0.037*
H10B	0.3827	0.4445	-0.0347	0.037*
Cu1	0.18494 (3)	0.05408 (2)	0.13092 (3)	0.02051 (13)
N1	-0.0131 (2)	-0.11176 (16)	0.2714 (2)	0.0194 (5)
N2	0.4167 (2)	0.22570 (16)	0.0394 (2)	0.0166 (5)
O1	-0.2655 (3)	0.02700 (18)	0.3122 (3)	0.0447 (6)
H1	-0.3242	-0.0082	0.3092	0.067*
O2	0.2441 (2)	-0.17337 (15)	0.5363 (2)	0.0270 (5)
H2	0.2414	-0.1197	0.5156	0.040*
O3	0.5511 (2)	0.19925 (15)	-0.13961 (19)	0.0290 (5)
H3	0.6027	0.2431	-0.1195	0.044*
O4	0.4164 (3)	0.40549 (16)	0.1437 (2)	0.0457 (7)
H4	0.4534	0.3626	0.1944	0.069*
S1	0.20586 (7)	-0.08469 (5)	0.23294 (7)	0.02249 (18)

## supplementary materials

---

S2	-0.01496 (8)	0.04398 (5)	0.14746 (8)	0.02730 (19)
S3	0.17688 (7)	0.19631 (5)	0.03995 (7)	0.01979 (17)
S4	0.40396 (7)	0.07346 (5)	0.16331 (7)	0.02160 (18)

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0173 (13)	0.0192 (14)	0.0184 (13)	-0.0016 (11)	0.0064 (11)	-0.0002 (10)
C2	0.0194 (14)	0.0258 (15)	0.0272 (15)	-0.0037 (12)	0.0130 (12)	-0.0013 (12)
C3	0.0315 (18)	0.051 (2)	0.047 (2)	-0.0082 (17)	0.0249 (16)	-0.0178 (18)
C4	0.0210 (14)	0.0159 (13)	0.0253 (14)	-0.0022 (11)	0.0097 (12)	0.0012 (11)
C5	0.0208 (14)	0.0214 (14)	0.0258 (15)	0.0013 (12)	0.0110 (12)	0.0039 (11)
C6	0.0163 (12)	0.0175 (13)	0.0151 (12)	0.0001 (11)	0.0076 (10)	-0.0040 (10)
C7	0.0144 (13)	0.0229 (14)	0.0202 (13)	-0.0002 (11)	0.0086 (11)	0.0004 (11)
C8	0.0250 (15)	0.0253 (15)	0.0311 (15)	0.0012 (13)	0.0173 (13)	-0.0021 (12)
C9	0.0172 (13)	0.0227 (14)	0.0203 (13)	0.0003 (11)	0.0077 (11)	0.0057 (11)
C10	0.0266 (16)	0.0206 (15)	0.0334 (17)	-0.0023 (13)	0.0047 (13)	0.0066 (13)
Cu1	0.0192 (2)	0.0191 (2)	0.0275 (2)	0.00087 (14)	0.01459 (16)	0.00434 (13)
N1	0.0161 (11)	0.0186 (11)	0.0226 (12)	-0.0017 (10)	0.0084 (9)	0.0018 (9)
N2	0.0146 (11)	0.0173 (11)	0.0182 (11)	-0.0005 (9)	0.0079 (9)	0.0009 (9)
O1	0.0341 (13)	0.0424 (14)	0.0581 (16)	0.0015 (12)	0.0223 (12)	-0.0075 (12)
O2	0.0191 (10)	0.0286 (11)	0.0287 (11)	0.0026 (9)	0.0076 (8)	0.0000 (9)
O3	0.0321 (12)	0.0350 (12)	0.0256 (10)	-0.0108 (10)	0.0183 (9)	-0.0059 (9)
O4	0.0601 (17)	0.0282 (12)	0.0299 (12)	0.0181 (12)	0.0058 (11)	-0.0052 (10)
S1	0.0161 (3)	0.0221 (4)	0.0296 (4)	0.0025 (3)	0.0111 (3)	0.0073 (3)
S2	0.0251 (4)	0.0220 (4)	0.0423 (4)	0.0070 (3)	0.0221 (3)	0.0120 (3)
S3	0.0157 (3)	0.0196 (3)	0.0263 (4)	0.0013 (3)	0.0118 (3)	0.0025 (3)
S4	0.0195 (3)	0.0189 (3)	0.0294 (4)	0.0034 (3)	0.0140 (3)	0.0062 (3)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

C1—N1	1.324 (4)	C7—C8	1.522 (4)
C1—S1	1.717 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9700
C1—S2	1.730 (3)	C7—H7B	0.9700
C2—N1	1.472 (4)	C8—O3	1.434 (4)
C2—C3	1.519 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9700
C2—H2A	0.9700	C8—H8B	0.9700
C2—H2B	0.9700	C9—N2	1.471 (3)
C3—O1	1.396 (4)	C9—C10	1.508 (4)
C3—H3A	0.9700	C9—H9A	0.9700
C3—H3B	0.9700	C9—H9B	0.9700
C4—N1	1.479 (3)	C10—O4	1.414 (4)
C4—C5	1.510 (4)	C10—H10A	0.9700
C4—H4A	0.9700	C10—H10B	0.9700
C4—H4B	0.9700	Cu1—S1	2.3026 (8)
C5—O2	1.423 (3)	Cu1—S2	2.3201 (8)
C5—H5A	0.9700	Cu1—S3	2.3148 (8)
C5—H5B	0.9700	Cu1—S4	2.2999 (8)
C6—N2	1.319 (4)	O1—H1	0.8200

C6—S4	1.726 (3)	O2—H2	0.8200
C6—S3	1.727 (3)	O3—H3	0.8200
C7—N2	1.477 (3)	O4—H4	0.8200
N1—C1—S1	124.4 (2)	O3—C8—H8A	109.1
N1—C1—S2	122.3 (2)	C7—C8—H8A	109.1
S1—C1—S2	113.23 (16)	O3—C8—H8B	109.1
N1—C2—C3	111.0 (2)	C7—C8—H8B	109.1
N1—C2—H2A	109.4	H8A—C8—H8B	107.8
C3—C2—H2A	109.4	N2—C9—C10	112.7 (2)
N1—C2—H2B	109.4	N2—C9—H9A	109.1
C3—C2—H2B	109.4	C10—C9—H9A	109.1
H2A—C2—H2B	108.0	N2—C9—H9B	109.1
O1—C3—C2	111.3 (3)	C10—C9—H9B	109.1
O1—C3—H3A	109.4	H9A—C9—H9B	107.8
C2—C3—H3A	109.4	O4—C10—C9	111.6 (3)
O1—C3—H3B	109.4	O4—C10—H10A	109.3
C2—C3—H3B	109.4	C9—C10—H10A	109.3
H3A—C3—H3B	108.0	O4—C10—H10B	109.3
N1—C4—C5	114.6 (2)	C9—C10—H10B	109.3
N1—C4—H4A	108.6	H10A—C10—H10B	108.0
C5—C4—H4A	108.6	S4—Cu1—S1	100.48 (3)
N1—C4—H4B	108.6	S4—Cu1—S3	76.94 (3)
C5—C4—H4B	108.6	S1—Cu1—S3	176.35 (3)
H4A—C4—H4B	107.6	S4—Cu1—S2	167.33 (3)
O2—C5—C4	112.7 (2)	S1—Cu1—S2	77.02 (3)
O2—C5—H5A	109.1	S3—Cu1—S2	104.91 (3)
C4—C5—H5A	109.1	C1—N1—C2	120.1 (2)
O2—C5—H5B	109.1	C1—N1—C4	121.8 (2)
C4—C5—H5B	109.1	C2—N1—C4	117.4 (2)
H5A—C5—H5B	107.8	C6—N2—C9	122.0 (2)
N2—C6—S4	123.0 (2)	C6—N2—C7	120.7 (2)
N2—C6—S3	124.5 (2)	C9—N2—C7	117.3 (2)
S4—C6—S3	112.51 (15)	C3—O1—H1	109.5
N2—C7—C8	113.3 (2)	C5—O2—H2	109.5
N2—C7—H7A	108.9	C8—O3—H3	109.5
C8—C7—H7A	108.9	C10—O4—H4	109.5
N2—C7—H7B	108.9	C1—S1—Cu1	85.25 (10)
C8—C7—H7B	108.9	C1—S2—Cu1	84.42 (10)
H7A—C7—H7B	107.7	C6—S3—Cu1	84.86 (9)
O3—C8—C7	112.5 (2)	C6—S4—Cu1	85.35 (9)

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1—H1 $\cdots$ O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	1.86	2.654 (4)	162.
O2—H2 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.82	2.29	2.694 (3)	111.
O3—H3 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.82	1.94	2.744 (3)	166.
O4—H4 $\cdots$ O3 <sup>iv</sup>	0.82	1.90	2.677 (3)	157.

# supplementary materials

---

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y, -z+1$ ; (iii)  $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (iv)  $x, -y+1/2, z+1/2$ .

Fig. 1

