

## Poly[[1-(2-pyridyl)ethanone- $\kappa^2N,O$ ]di- $\mu_2$ -thiocyanato- $\kappa^2N:S;\kappa^2S:N$ -cadmium(II)]

Jian-Ying Miao

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Baoji University of Arts and Sciences, Baoji 721007, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: jianying\_miao@163.com


Received 23 June 2010; accepted 27 June 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 298$  K; mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004$  Å;  
 $R$  factor = 0.022;  $wR$  factor = 0.054; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.5.

In the title compound,  $[Cd(NCS)_2(C_7H_7NO)]_n$ , the Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion is six-coordinated by one  $N,O$ -bidentate 1-(2-pyridylethanone ligand, two  $N$ -bonded thiocyanate ions and two  $S$ -bonded thiocyanate ions. In the resulting distorted CdOS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> octahedron, the N atoms adopt a *fac* arrangement. The bridging thiocyanate ions lead to infinite sheets oriented parallel to (101) in the crystal structure.

### Related literature

For background to cadmium complexes, see: Banerjee *et al.* (2005); Shi *et al.* (2004); Ercan *et al.* (2004); Reger *et al.* (2002); Ghosh *et al.* (2007). For related cadmium complexes with thiocyanate bridges, see: Zhao *et al.* (2006); Bigoli *et al.* (1972); Taniguchi *et al.* (1986); Marsh *et al.* (1995); Yang *et al.* (2001).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$[Cd(NCS)_2(C_7H_7NO)]$   
 $M_r = 349.70$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$

$a = 12.3511$  (12) Å  
 $b = 7.6540$  (8) Å  
 $c = 12.5636$  (12) Å

$\beta = 97.045$  (1)°  
 $V = 1178.7$  (2) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu = 2.19$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 298$  K  
 $0.27 \times 0.27 \times 0.22$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD  
diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.580$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.645$

7522 measured reflections  
2559 independent reflections  
2234 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.021$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.054$   
 $S = 1.06$   
2559 reflections

146 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.29$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.64$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**  
Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cd1–N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.271 (2)	Cd1–O1	2.4571 (19)
Cd1–N3 <sup>ii</sup>	2.314 (2)	Cd1–S2	2.6235 (8)
Cd1–N1	2.336 (2)	Cd1–S1	2.7269 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{5}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + 2, -y, -z + 2$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The author acknowledges the Baoji University of Arts and Sciences for funding this study (project No. ZK0831).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5520).

### References

- Banerjee, S., Wu, B., Lassahn, P.-G., Janiak, C. & Ghosh, A. (2005). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **358**, 535–544.
- Bigoli, F., Braibanti, A., Pellinghelli, M. A. & Tiripicchio, A. (1972). *Acta Cryst. B* **28**, 962–966.
- Bruker (1998). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ercan, F., Arici, C., Ulku, D., Kurtaran, R., Aksu, M. & Atakol, O. (2004). *Z. Kristallogr.* **219**, 295–304.
- Ghosh, R., Rahaman, S. H., Rosair, G. M. & Ghosh, B. K. (2007). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **10**, 61–65.
- Marsh, R. E. (1995). *Acta Cryst. B* **51**, 897–907.
- Reger, D. L., Wright, T. D., Smith, M. D., Rheingold, A. L., Kassel, S., Concolino, T. & Rhagavan, B. (2002). *Polyhedron*, **21**, 1795–1807.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Shi, Q., Xu, L., Ji, J., Li, Y., Wang, R., Zhou, Z., Cao, R., Hong, M. & Chan, A. S. C. (2004). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **7**, 1254–1257.
- Taniguchi, M., Shimoi, M. & Ouchi, A. (1986). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **59**, 2299–2302.
- Yang, G., Zhu, H.-G., Liang, B.-H. & Chen, X.-M. (2001). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 580–585.
- Zhao, Q.-H., Wang, L., Wang, K.-M. & Fang, R.-B. (2006). *Chin. J. Inorg. Chem.* **22**, 1285–1288.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2010). E66, m868 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810025225]

## Poly[[1-(2-pyridyl)ethanone- $\kappa^2N,O$ ]di- $\mu_2$ -thiocyanato- $\kappa^2N:S;\kappa^2S:N$ -cadmium(II)]

Jian-Ying Miao

### S1. Comment

Considerable attention has been focused on the cadmium(II) complexes with multidentate ligands (Banerjee *et al.*, 2005; Shi *et al.*, 2004; Ercan *et al.*, 2004; Reger *et al.*, 2002; Ghosh *et al.*, 2007). As an extension of the work on the structural characterization of such complexes, the title new polynuclear cadmium(II) complex is reported here.


The title compound is a thiocyanate-bridged polynuclear cadmium(II) complex, as shown in Fig. 1. Each Cd atom is six-coordinated by one O and one N atoms of 2-acetylpyridine (*L*), and by two N and two S atoms from four thiocyanate ligands, forming an octahedral geometry. The bond lengths in the octahedral coordination are comparable with those reported in similar cadmium structures with thiocyanate bridges (Zhao *et al.*, 2006; Bigoli *et al.*, 1972; Taniguchi *et al.*, 1986; Marsh *et al.*, 1995; Yang *et al.*, 2001). The adjacent two CdL units are linked by two thiocyanate ligands, forming a dimer. The dimers are further linked by thiocyanate ligands, forming a two-dimensional sheet, as shown in Fig. 2.

### S2. Experimental


2-Acetylpyridine (1 mmol, 121 mg), ammonium thiocyanate (2 mmol, 152 mg), and Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mmol, 308 mg) were dissolved in MeOH (80 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h to give a colorless solution. The resulting solution was kept in air for a week, and colorless blocks of (I) were formed as the solvent slowly evaporated.

### S3. Refinement

H atoms were placed in idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C—H distances in the range 0.93–0.96 Å, and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2$  or  $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ .

**Figure 1**

A fragment of (I), showing 30% displacement ellipsoids (arbitrary spheres for the H atoms).

**Figure 2**

The two-dimensional sheet of (I).

**Poly[[1-(2-pyridyl)ethanone- $\kappa^2N,O$ ]di- $\mu_2$ - thiocyanato- $\kappa^2N:S;\kappa^2S:N$ -cadmium(II)]***Crystal data*[Cd(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO)] $M_r = 349.70$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ 

Hall symbol: -P 2yn

 $a = 12.3511 (12)$  Å $b = 7.6540 (8)$  Å $c = 12.5636 (12)$  Å $\beta = 97.045 (1)^\circ$  $V = 1178.7 (2)$  Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 4$  $F(000) = 680$  $D_x = 1.971$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 3922 reflections

 $\theta = 2.4\text{--}28.3^\circ$  $\mu = 2.19$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 298$  K

Block, colorless

0.27 × 0.27 × 0.22 mm

*Data collection*

Bruker SMART CCD

diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

 $\omega$  scansAbsorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.580$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.645$ 

7522 measured reflections

2559 independent reflections

2234 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.021$  $\theta_{\max} = 27.0^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.5^\circ$  $h = -14 \rightarrow 15$  $k = -7 \rightarrow 9$  $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ *Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$  $wR(F^2) = 0.054$  $S = 1.06$ 

2559 reflections

146 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0236P)^2 + 0.5142P]$   
where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.29$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.64$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^* / U_{\text{eq}}$
Cd1	1.056450 (14)	0.09491 (3)	0.785436 (13)	0.03489 (7)
N1	0.95994 (16)	0.3107 (3)	0.68209 (16)	0.0364 (5)
N2	1.2939 (2)	-0.2353 (4)	0.67082 (19)	0.0543 (7)
N3	1.0159 (2)	-0.1892 (3)	1.06320 (18)	0.0517 (6)

O1	0.87057 (15)	-0.0002 (3)	0.71826 (16)	0.0502 (5)
S1	1.12429 (5)	-0.00856 (10)	0.59676 (5)	0.04115 (16)
S2	1.10322 (6)	-0.21406 (10)	0.86912 (5)	0.04669 (18)
C1	1.0029 (2)	0.4653 (4)	0.6646 (2)	0.0477 (7)
H1	1.0753	0.4858	0.6914	0.057*
C2	0.9446 (3)	0.5976 (4)	0.6082 (3)	0.0598 (8)
H2	0.9771	0.7048	0.5975	0.072*
C3	0.8386 (3)	0.5672 (4)	0.5688 (3)	0.0596 (9)
H3	0.7976	0.6539	0.5308	0.072*
C4	0.7924 (2)	0.4074 (4)	0.5857 (2)	0.0488 (7)
H4	0.7201	0.3851	0.5592	0.059*
C5	0.8547 (2)	0.2807 (3)	0.64241 (18)	0.0353 (5)
C6	0.8117 (2)	0.1048 (4)	0.6665 (2)	0.0401 (6)
C7	0.6955 (2)	0.0612 (4)	0.6271 (2)	0.0562 (8)
H7A	0.6826	0.0793	0.5510	0.084*
H7B	0.6479	0.1352	0.6620	0.084*
H7C	0.6816	-0.0588	0.6430	0.084*
C8	1.2247 (2)	-0.1410 (4)	0.64196 (19)	0.0365 (6)
C9	1.0505 (2)	-0.1971 (3)	0.9824 (2)	0.0366 (5)

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cd1	0.03050 (11)	0.04039 (12)	0.03362 (10)	-0.00046 (8)	0.00330 (7)	0.00215 (8)
N1	0.0353 (11)	0.0383 (12)	0.0356 (10)	0.0008 (10)	0.0050 (9)	-0.0021 (9)
N2	0.0480 (14)	0.0677 (18)	0.0469 (13)	0.0216 (13)	0.0040 (11)	0.0042 (12)
N3	0.0677 (16)	0.0464 (14)	0.0445 (13)	0.0133 (13)	0.0216 (12)	0.0088 (11)
O1	0.0408 (11)	0.0483 (12)	0.0601 (12)	-0.0025 (10)	0.0012 (9)	0.0110 (10)
S1	0.0385 (3)	0.0497 (4)	0.0352 (3)	0.0111 (3)	0.0045 (3)	0.0026 (3)
S2	0.0606 (4)	0.0451 (4)	0.0365 (3)	0.0130 (4)	0.0139 (3)	0.0011 (3)
C1	0.0477 (16)	0.0435 (16)	0.0505 (16)	-0.0066 (14)	0.0005 (13)	-0.0039 (13)
C2	0.072 (2)	0.0399 (17)	0.065 (2)	-0.0077 (16)	-0.0006 (17)	0.0078 (15)
C3	0.066 (2)	0.0461 (19)	0.064 (2)	0.0109 (16)	-0.0067 (16)	0.0081 (15)
C4	0.0454 (16)	0.0511 (18)	0.0474 (15)	0.0063 (14)	-0.0039 (13)	0.0008 (13)
C5	0.0352 (13)	0.0414 (14)	0.0295 (11)	0.0025 (11)	0.0042 (10)	-0.0051 (10)
C6	0.0382 (14)	0.0470 (16)	0.0355 (13)	-0.0021 (12)	0.0055 (11)	-0.0011 (12)
C7	0.0403 (16)	0.073 (2)	0.0530 (17)	-0.0140 (15)	-0.0014 (13)	0.0057 (16)
C8	0.0355 (13)	0.0442 (15)	0.0303 (12)	0.0012 (12)	0.0064 (10)	-0.0017 (11)
C9	0.0394 (14)	0.0323 (14)	0.0374 (13)	0.0059 (11)	0.0025 (11)	0.0057 (11)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $\text{^\circ}$ )*

Cd1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.271 (2)	S2—C9	1.642 (3)
Cd1—N3 <sup>ii</sup>	2.314 (2)	C1—C2	1.386 (4)
Cd1—N1	2.336 (2)	C1—H1	0.9300
Cd1—O1	2.4571 (19)	C2—C3	1.362 (5)
Cd1—S2	2.6235 (8)	C2—H2	0.9300
Cd1—S1	2.7269 (7)	C3—C4	1.377 (4)

N1—C1	1.326 (4)	C3—H3	0.9300
N1—C5	1.353 (3)	C4—C5	1.380 (4)
N2—C8	1.143 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
N2—Cd1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.271 (2)	C5—C6	1.492 (4)
N3—C9	1.149 (3)	C6—C7	1.497 (4)
N3—Cd1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.314 (2)	C7—H7A	0.9600
O1—C6	1.217 (3)	C7—H7B	0.9600
S1—C8	1.648 (3)	C7—H7C	0.9600
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N3 <sup>ii</sup>	90.44 (9)	C2—C1—H1	118.5
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N1	94.28 (9)	C3—C2—C1	118.5 (3)
N3 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—N1	90.80 (8)	C3—C2—H2	120.8
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—O1	162.00 (9)	C1—C2—H2	120.8
N3 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—O1	86.32 (8)	C2—C3—C4	119.6 (3)
N1—Cd1—O1	68.10 (7)	C2—C3—H3	120.2
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—S2	106.62 (7)	C4—C3—H3	120.2
N3 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—S2	92.30 (6)	C3—C4—C5	119.3 (3)
N1—Cd1—S2	158.83 (6)	C3—C4—H4	120.4
O1—Cd1—S2	91.21 (5)	C5—C4—H4	120.4
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—S1	92.80 (6)	N1—C5—C4	121.3 (3)
N3 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—S1	174.86 (6)	N1—C5—C6	115.4 (2)
N1—Cd1—S1	84.98 (5)	C4—C5—C6	123.3 (2)
O1—Cd1—S1	89.38 (5)	O1—C6—C5	120.0 (2)
S2—Cd1—S1	90.60 (2)	O1—C6—C7	121.1 (3)
C1—N1—C5	118.4 (2)	C5—C6—C7	119.0 (2)
C1—N1—Cd1	122.40 (18)	C6—C7—H7A	109.5
C5—N1—Cd1	119.10 (17)	C6—C7—H7B	109.5
C8—N2—Cd1 <sup>iii</sup>	173.0 (2)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C9—N3—Cd1 <sup>ii</sup>	164.7 (2)	C6—C7—H7C	109.5
C6—O1—Cd1	117.39 (18)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
C8—S1—Cd1	100.23 (9)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
C9—S2—Cd1	100.65 (9)	N2—C8—S1	178.2 (3)
N1—C1—C2	122.9 (3)	N3—C9—S2	177.8 (2)
N1—C1—H1	118.5		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+5/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2$ ; (ii)  $-x+2, -y, -z+2$ ; (iii)  $-x+5/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$ .