

(E)-1-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)pent-1-en-3-one

Alain Collas and Frank Blockhuys*

Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium
Correspondence e-mail: frank.blockhuys@ua.ac.be


Received 30 July 2010; accepted 27 August 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293\text{ K}$; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002\text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.037; wR factor = 0.106; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.3.

The title compound, $C_{14}H_{18}O_4$, was obtained unintentionally as the major product of an attempted synthesis of (*E,E*)-2,5-bis[2-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)ethenyl]pyrazine. The crystal packing features layers based on two weak C—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds involving the O atom of the carbonyl group and two O_{methoxy} \cdots C_{methoxy} interactions [3.109 (2) Å]. The sheets are interconnected *via* methoxy–methoxy dimers and C—H \cdots π interactions.

Related literature

For related compounds containing the Ph—CH=CH—CO— fragment, see: Zhang *et al.* (2008); Degen & Bolte (1999); Zonouzi *et al.* (2009); Wang *et al.* (2005). For π -bridged donor-acceptor–donor systems as candidates for organic light-emitting diodes and their non-linear optical properties, see Liu *et al.* (2001); Grimsdale *et al.* (1997); Chemla (1987). For a description of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{18}O_4$	$\gamma = 84.90(1)^\circ$
$M_r = 250.28$	$V = 648.88(15)\text{ \AA}^3$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$Z = 2$
$a = 6.8626(8)\text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$b = 8.297(1)\text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.09\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 12.068(2)\text{ \AA}$	$T = 293\text{ K}$
$\alpha = 71.96(1)^\circ$	$0.3 \times 0.24 \times 0.18\text{ mm}$
$\beta = 84.28(1)^\circ$	

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer	1589 reflections with $> 2/s(I)$
4732 measured reflections	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$
2366 independent reflections	3 standard reflections every 60 min
	intensity decay: none

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	166 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.106$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.03$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.14\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
2366 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.17\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg is the centroid of the C1–C6 ring.

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C5—H5 \cdots O4 ⁱ	0.93	2.59	3.517 (2)	177
C31—H31C \cdots O4 ⁱ	0.96	2.70	3.286 (2)	120
C21—H21C \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.76	3.419 (2)	127
C11—H11A \cdots O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96	2.75	3.557 (2)	142
C12—H12C \cdots O4 ^{iv}	0.96	2.76	3.706 (2)	167
C10—H10B \cdots Cg ^v	0.97	2.77	3.59	142

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - 1, y + 1, z$; (ii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (iii) $x - 1, y, z$; (iv) $-x + 3, -y - 1, -z + 2$; (v) $x + 1, y, z$.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1996); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

AC wishes to thank the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT) for a predoctoral grant. Financial support by the University of Antwerp under grant No. GOA-2404 is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZL2296).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 380–388.
- Chemla, D. S. (1987). *Nonlinear Optical Properties of Organic Molecules and Crystals*. Boston: Academic Press.
- Degen, A. & Bolte, M. (1999). *Acta Cryst.* **C55**, IUC9900170.
- Enraf–Nonius (1994). *CAD-4 EXPRESS*. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Grimsdale, A. C., Cervini, R., Friend, R. H., Holmes, A. B., Kim, S. T. & Moratti, S. C. (1997). *Synth. Met.* **85**, 1257–1258.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1996). *XCAD4*. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Liu, M. W., Zhang, X. H., Lai, W. Y., Lin, X. Q., Wong, F. L., Gao, Z. Q., Lee, C. S., Hung, L. S., Lee, S. T. & Kwong, H. L. (2001). *Phys. Status Solidi A: Appl. Res.* **185**, 203–211.

organic compounds

- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.
- Wang, S.-F., Ruan, B.-F., Li, H.-Q. & Zhu, H.-L. (2005). *Acta Cryst. E* **61**, o1697–o1698.
- Zhang, H., Li, S. & Shi, X. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, o1507.
- Zonouzi, A., Izakiana, Z., Rahmani, H. & Ng, S. W. (2009). *Acta Cryst. E* **65**, o795.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2525–o2526 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810034641]

(*E*)-1-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)pent-1-en-3-one

Alain Collas and Frank Blockhuys

S1. Comment

π -Bridged donor-acceptor-donor (A—D—A) systems are promising candidates for electronic applications such as organic light-emitting diodes (Liu *et al.*, 2001; Grimsdale *et al.*, 1997), as they are expected to have electronic properties similar to those of conventional OPV-type systems but with a red-shifted emission spectrum. Moreover, due to their high degree of conjugation, these A—D—A oligomers are also excellent candidates for organic non-linear optic (NLO) media with a high second-order hyperpolarizability, γ (Chemla, 1987). In an attempt to synthesize the A—D—A system *E,E*-2,5-bis[2-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)ethenyl]pyrazine from dimethylpyrazine and the relevant benzaldehyde under standard condensation conditions, (*E*)-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)pent-1-en-3-one (Fig. 1) was obtained as the major product. In this compound the C=C bond is not disordered, in contrast to what is the case in the 3-methoxy-4-acetoxyphenyl derivative YODGOO (Zhang *et al.*, 2008) and the molecule adopts the *anti* conformation indicating that there are no energetically beneficial intermolecular contacts favouring the *syn* conformation as in the unsubstituted DIBNEH (Degen & Bolte, 1999). The title compound displays two weak intramolecular hydrogen bonds involving the methoxy groups in the *ortho* positions of the phenyl ring, one in a five- and one in a six-membered ring configuration. In contrast, in the 2-hydroxy-5-bromophenyl derivative NORGOR (Zonouzi *et al.*, 2009) a less stable six-membered ring configuration is observed due to the competing strong intermolecular O—H···O hydrogen bond with an adjacent molecule. In the 2-hydroxyphenyl derivative FONKEZ (Wang *et al.*, 2005), the more favourable five-membered ring configuration is also seen. The packing of the title compound is determined in first instance by contacts between the methoxy groups. Two molecules (symmetry-related *via* an inversion centre) are connected into a dimer involving O2 and C11 of the methoxy groups in the 2- and 4-positions [C11···O2ⁱ, 3.109 (2) Å, 178.33 (12) $^\circ$, symm. code $i = 1 - x, -y, 1 - z$] (Fig. 2); note that the C···O—C angle is almost linear. Sheets are then generated through two weak hydrogen bonds involving H5 (Table 1, entry 1) and H31C (entry 2) contacting the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group (O4). These sheets are then interconnected by four additional weak hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3): H21C and O2 are involved in a second dimer formation (entry 3), H11A and H12C contact the oxygen atom of the carbonyl (O4, entries 4 and 5) and H10B of the methylene group next to the carbonyl group generates a CH··· π interaction with a nearby phenyl ring (entry 6).

S2. Experimental

A solution of sodium (1.0 g, 0.04 mol) in ethanol (50 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of 2,4,6-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (5.6 g, 0.04 mol) and 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (2.2 g, 0.02 mol) in ethanol (150 ml) at room temperature and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h. The resulting fluorescent yellow solution was poured into 500 ml of ice water and the precipitate was filtered off and isomerized to the all-*E* form in *p*-xylene with a catalytic amount of iodine. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a THF solution. The yield was 2.3 g (46%). M.p. (uncorrected) 401 K. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz, TMS): δ 7.63 (td, 8 and 0.4 Hz, H5), 8.18 (ddd, 8, 2 and 1 Hz, H6), 8.27 (ddd, 8, 2 and 1 Hz, H4), 8.62 (td, 2 and 0.4 Hz, H2), H4 and H6 appear to be magnetically equivalent. ^{13}C

NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz, TMS): δ 121.59 (C2), 124.54 (C5), 130.24 (C6), 132.32 (C4), 142.46 (C1), 148.53 (C3).

S3. Refinement

Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined as riding with C—H distances of 0.93 Å.






Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound showing the numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level; hydrogen atoms are represented by spheres with an arbitrary radius.

**Figure 2**

Sheets formed by weak hydrogen bonds and $O_{\text{methoxy}} \cdots C_{\text{methoxy}}$ interactions.

**Figure 3**

Interactions responsible for the stabilization of the crystal packing in the direction perpendicular to the the generated sheets.

(E)-1-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)pent-1-en-3-one

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{18}O_4$

$M_r = 250.28$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: -P 1

$a = 6.8626 (8) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 8.297 (1) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 12.068 (2) \text{ \AA}$

$\alpha = 71.96 (1)^\circ$

$\beta = 84.28 (1)^\circ$
 $\gamma = 84.90 (1)^\circ$
 $V = 648.88 (15) \text{ \AA}^3$
 $Z = 2$
 $F(000) = 268$
 $D_x = 1.281 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
 Melting point: 401 K

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
 Cell parameters from 25 reflections
 $\theta = 5.8\text{--}10.7^\circ$
 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 293 \text{ K}$
 Prism, yellow
 $0.3 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
 diffractometer
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
 Graphite monochromator
 non-profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scans
 4732 measured reflections
 2366 independent reflections
 1589 reflections with $> 2/s(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.3^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 1.8^\circ$
 $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
 $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
 3 standard reflections every 60 min
 intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.106$
 $S = 1.03$
 2366 reflections
 166 parameters
 0 restraints
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
 map
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from
 neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0495P)^2 + 0.1212P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.14 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.17 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C12	1.6553 (3)	-0.2420 (2)	0.97422 (19)	0.0572 (5)
H12A	1.6978	-0.1867	1.0259	0.086*
H12B	1.7585	-0.2453	0.9149	0.086*
H12C	1.6235	-0.3558	1.0179	0.086*
O2	0.41445 (17)	0.31488 (16)	0.60482 (12)	0.0544 (4)
O1	0.90913 (18)	-0.12493 (15)	0.63577 (11)	0.0498 (3)
O3	0.95452 (17)	0.26141 (15)	0.84353 (11)	0.0508 (4)
C2	0.8301 (2)	0.0153 (2)	0.66303 (15)	0.0389 (4)
C1	0.9334 (2)	0.0684 (2)	0.73980 (14)	0.0373 (4)
O4	1.4852 (2)	-0.34752 (17)	0.81476 (13)	0.0675 (4)

C3	0.6593 (2)	0.1019 (2)	0.61907 (15)	0.0434 (4)
H3	0.5942	0.0657	0.5679	0.052*
C7	1.1125 (2)	-0.0161 (2)	0.79054 (14)	0.0383 (4)
H7	1.1607	0.0323	0.8414	0.046*
C6	0.8527 (2)	0.2139 (2)	0.76858 (14)	0.0375 (4)
C8	1.2193 (2)	-0.1515 (2)	0.77646 (16)	0.0440 (4)
H8	1.1771	-0.2042	0.7262	0.053*
C5	0.6805 (2)	0.3019 (2)	0.72569 (15)	0.0405 (4)
H5	0.6307	0.3975	0.7462	0.049*
C9	1.3985 (2)	-0.2232 (2)	0.83457 (15)	0.0414 (4)
C21	0.3216 (3)	0.4540 (3)	0.63919 (19)	0.0590 (5)
H21A	0.2956	0.4200	0.7226	0.088*
H21B	0.2003	0.4891	0.6027	0.088*
H21C	0.4061	0.5469	0.6155	0.088*
C10	1.4756 (3)	-0.1447 (2)	0.91735 (15)	0.0427 (4)
H10A	1.3731	-0.1395	0.9778	0.051*
H10B	1.5081	-0.0292	0.8748	0.051*
C4	0.5856 (2)	0.2428 (2)	0.65167 (15)	0.0408 (4)
C31	0.8968 (3)	0.4174 (2)	0.86731 (18)	0.0548 (5)
H31A	0.8984	0.5088	0.7953	0.082*
H31B	0.9863	0.4371	0.9174	0.082*
H31C	0.7667	0.4115	0.9053	0.082*
C11	0.8077 (3)	-0.1881 (2)	0.56160 (17)	0.0535 (5)
H11A	0.6802	-0.2198	0.5982	0.080*
H11B	0.8809	-0.2856	0.5486	0.080*
H11C	0.7938	-0.1015	0.4882	0.080*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C12	0.0540 (12)	0.0567 (12)	0.0682 (13)	0.0125 (9)	-0.0247 (10)	-0.0277 (10)
O2	0.0432 (7)	0.0571 (8)	0.0729 (9)	0.0161 (6)	-0.0281 (6)	-0.0321 (7)
O1	0.0480 (7)	0.0507 (7)	0.0651 (8)	0.0121 (6)	-0.0228 (6)	-0.0368 (7)
O3	0.0475 (7)	0.0496 (7)	0.0707 (9)	0.0177 (6)	-0.0281 (6)	-0.0391 (7)
C2	0.0383 (9)	0.0378 (9)	0.0456 (10)	0.0026 (7)	-0.0072 (7)	-0.0201 (8)
C1	0.0339 (9)	0.0384 (9)	0.0434 (10)	0.0034 (7)	-0.0085 (7)	-0.0177 (8)
O4	0.0663 (9)	0.0600 (9)	0.0944 (11)	0.0310 (7)	-0.0347 (8)	-0.0504 (8)
C3	0.0412 (10)	0.0478 (10)	0.0495 (11)	0.0017 (8)	-0.0167 (8)	-0.0241 (9)
C7	0.0358 (9)	0.0394 (9)	0.0452 (10)	0.0036 (7)	-0.0106 (7)	-0.0199 (8)
C6	0.0355 (9)	0.0389 (9)	0.0431 (9)	0.0025 (7)	-0.0095 (7)	-0.0190 (8)
C8	0.0435 (10)	0.0436 (10)	0.0538 (11)	0.0070 (8)	-0.0168 (8)	-0.0261 (9)
C5	0.0385 (9)	0.0364 (9)	0.0498 (10)	0.0063 (7)	-0.0096 (8)	-0.0181 (8)
C9	0.0405 (9)	0.0371 (9)	0.0497 (11)	0.0073 (8)	-0.0087 (8)	-0.0190 (8)
C21	0.0445 (11)	0.0633 (13)	0.0740 (14)	0.0208 (9)	-0.0200 (10)	-0.0298 (11)
C10	0.0436 (10)	0.0390 (9)	0.0485 (10)	0.0055 (8)	-0.0107 (8)	-0.0175 (8)
C4	0.0330 (9)	0.0431 (10)	0.0463 (10)	0.0042 (7)	-0.0098 (8)	-0.0132 (8)
C31	0.0526 (11)	0.0507 (11)	0.0773 (14)	0.0156 (9)	-0.0255 (10)	-0.0415 (11)
C11	0.0562 (11)	0.0568 (12)	0.0629 (12)	0.0039 (9)	-0.0177 (9)	-0.0385 (10)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $\text{^{\circ}}$)

C12—C10	1.514 (2)	C7—H7	0.9300
C12—H12A	0.9600	C6—C5	1.390 (2)
C12—H12B	0.9600	C8—C9	1.463 (2)
C12—H12C	0.9600	C8—H8	0.9300
O2—C4	1.3620 (19)	C5—C4	1.381 (2)
O2—C21	1.423 (2)	C5—H5	0.9300
O1—C2	1.3584 (19)	C9—C10	1.508 (2)
O1—C11	1.4287 (19)	C21—H21A	0.9600
O3—C6	1.3629 (19)	C21—H21B	0.9600
O3—C31	1.4253 (19)	C21—H21C	0.9600
C2—C3	1.382 (2)	C10—H10A	0.9700
C2—C1	1.412 (2)	C10—H10B	0.9700
C1—C6	1.409 (2)	C31—H31A	0.9600
C1—C7	1.452 (2)	C31—H31B	0.9600
O4—C9	1.2206 (19)	C31—H31C	0.9600
C3—C4	1.385 (2)	C11—H11A	0.9600
C3—H3	0.9300	C11—H11B	0.9600
C7—C8	1.332 (2)	C11—H11C	0.9600
C10—C12—H12A	109.5	O4—C9—C8	118.99 (15)
C10—C12—H12B	109.5	O4—C9—C10	120.39 (15)
H12A—C12—H12B	109.5	C8—C9—C10	120.62 (14)
C10—C12—H12C	109.5	O2—C21—H21A	109.5
H12A—C12—H12C	109.5	O2—C21—H21B	109.5
H12B—C12—H12C	109.5	H21A—C21—H21B	109.5
C4—O2—C21	118.09 (13)	O2—C21—H21C	109.5
C2—O1—C11	118.44 (13)	H21A—C21—H21C	109.5
C6—O3—C31	119.00 (12)	H21B—C21—H21C	109.5
O1—C2—C3	122.66 (14)	C9—C10—C12	113.02 (14)
O1—C2—C1	115.88 (14)	C9—C10—H10A	109.0
C3—C2—C1	121.46 (14)	C12—C10—H10A	109.0
C6—C1—C2	116.34 (14)	C9—C10—H10B	109.0
C6—C1—C7	118.79 (15)	C12—C10—H10B	109.0
C2—C1—C7	124.87 (14)	H10A—C10—H10B	107.8
C2—C3—C4	119.62 (15)	O2—C4—C5	124.12 (15)
C2—C3—H3	120.2	O2—C4—C3	114.18 (14)
C4—C3—H3	120.2	C5—C4—C3	121.69 (15)
C8—C7—C1	130.83 (16)	O3—C31—H31A	109.5
C8—C7—H7	114.6	O3—C31—H31B	109.5
C1—C7—H7	114.6	H31A—C31—H31B	109.5
O3—C6—C5	121.97 (14)	O3—C31—H31C	109.5
O3—C6—C1	115.08 (13)	H31A—C31—H31C	109.5
C5—C6—C1	122.94 (14)	H31B—C31—H31C	109.5
C7—C8—C9	124.60 (15)	O1—C11—H11A	109.5
C7—C8—H8	117.7	O1—C11—H11B	109.5
C9—C8—H8	117.7	H11A—C11—H11B	109.5

C4—C5—C6	117.93 (15)	O1—C11—H11C	109.5
C4—C5—H5	121.0	H11A—C11—H11C	109.5
C6—C5—H5	121.0	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5
C11—O1—C2—C3	-2.0 (2)	C7—C1—C6—C5	-179.07 (16)
C11—O1—C2—C1	177.92 (16)	C1—C7—C8—C9	-179.80 (17)
O1—C2—C1—C6	179.96 (15)	O3—C6—C5—C4	-178.96 (16)
C3—C2—C1—C6	-0.1 (2)	C1—C6—C5—C4	0.0 (3)
O1—C2—C1—C7	-0.5 (3)	C7—C8—C9—O4	-179.72 (18)
C3—C2—C1—C7	179.42 (17)	C7—C8—C9—C10	0.1 (3)
O1—C2—C3—C4	179.20 (16)	O4—C9—C10—C12	-3.5 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.8 (3)	C8—C9—C10—C12	176.69 (17)
C6—C1—C7—C8	-178.60 (19)	C21—O2—C4—C5	-3.7 (3)
C2—C1—C7—C8	1.9 (3)	C21—O2—C4—C3	176.19 (16)
C31—O3—C6—C5	-7.9 (3)	C6—C5—C4—O2	178.94 (16)
C31—O3—C6—C1	173.02 (16)	C6—C5—C4—C3	-0.9 (3)
C2—C1—C6—O3	179.50 (15)	C2—C3—C4—O2	-178.58 (16)
C7—C1—C6—O3	0.0 (2)	C2—C3—C4—C5	1.3 (3)
C2—C1—C6—C5	0.5 (3)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cg is the centroid of the C1—C6 ring.

$D\cdots H\cdots A$	$D—H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—H\cdots A$
C5—H5 ⁱ ···O4 ⁱ	0.93	2.59	3.517 (2)	177
C31—H31C ^v ···O4 ⁱ	0.96	2.70	3.286 (2)	120
C21—H21C ^v ···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.76	3.419 (2)	127
C11—H11A ^v ···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96	2.75	3.557 (2)	142
C12—H12C ^v ···O4 ^{iv}	0.96	2.76	3.706 (2)	167
C10—H10B ^v ···Cg ^v	0.97	2.77	3.59	142

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1, y+1, z$; (ii) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv) $-x+3, -y-1, -z+2$; (v) $x+1, y, z$.