

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis(2-amino-4-methylpyridinium) bis(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylato)cuprate(II)

Hossein Aghabozorg,^a* Azadeh Mofidi Rouchi,^a Behrouz Notash^b and Masoud Mirzaei^c

^aFaculty of Chemistry, Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Shahid Beheshti University, G. C., Evin, Tehran, 1983963113, Iran, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, School of Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 917791436, Iran Correspondence e-mail: haghabozorg@yahoo.com

Received 25 December 2010; accepted 8 January 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.057; wR factor = 0.119; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.5.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $(C_6H_9N_2)_2$ -[Cu(C₇H₃NO₄)₂], contains half of a [Cu(pydc)₂]²⁻ (pydcH₂ is pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid) anion and one protonated 2amino-4-methylpyridine (2a4mpH)⁺ counter-ion. The anion is a six-coordinated complex with a distorted CuN₂O₄ octahedral geometry around the Cu^{II} ion. N-H···O and C-H···O hydrogen bonds along with π - π contacts between the pyridine rings of the (2a4mpH)⁺ cations [centroid–centroid distance = 3.573 (2) Å] stabilize the crystal structure.

Related literature

For background to proton-transfer compounds, see: Aghabozorg *et al.* (2008). For related structures see: Aghabozorg *et al.* (2011); Eshtiagh-Hosseini, Aghabozorg *et al.* (2010); Eshtiagh-Hosseini, Gschwind *et al.* (2010); Sharif *et al.* (2010).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} (C_{6}H_{9}N_{2})_{2}[Cu(C_{7}H_{3}NO_{4})_{2}]\\ M_{r}=612.06\\ Monoclinic, C2/c\\ a=24.034 \ (5) \\ \AA\\ b=14.231 \ (3) \\ \AA\\ c=7.9780 \ (16) \\ \AA\\ \beta=107.01 \ (3)^{\circ} \end{array}$

Data collection

Stoe IPDS II diffractometer Absorption correction: numerical [shape of crystal determined optically (*X-RED32*; Stoe & Cie, 2005)] $T_{\rm min} = 0.743, T_{\rm max} = 0.846$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$
S = 1.15
3509 reflections
201 parameters
-

 $V = 2609.3 (10) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation \mu = 0.9 mm^{-1} T = 298 K 0.45 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 mm

8829 measured reflections 3509 independent reflections 2785 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.061$

H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.39 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho = -0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Fable 1			
Hvdrogen-bond	geometry	(Å.	c

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N3-H3A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.92 (4)	1.77 (4)	2.662 (4)	163 (4)
$N4-H4A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.85 (5)	2.22 (5)	3.056 (4)	170 (4)
$N4-H4B\cdots O3$	0.89 (5)	1.97 (5)	2.854 (4)	176 (4)
C7−H7···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.58	3.250 (4)	130
$C14-H14\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.93	2.42	3.160 (4)	136

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z - 1; (ii) x, -y + 1, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, -z + 1.

Data collection: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2005); cell refinement: X-AREA; data reduction: X-AREA; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

We are grateful to the Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT5449).

References

- Aghabozorg, H., Manteghi, F. & Sheshmani, S. (2008). J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 5, 184–227.
- Aghabozorg, H., Mofidi Rouchi, A., Mirzaei, M. & Notash, B. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o54.
- Eshtiagh-Hosseini, H., Aghabozorg, H., Mirzaei, M., Amini, M. M., Chen, Y.-G., Shokrollahi, A. & Aghaei, R. (2010). J. Mol. Struct. 973, 180–189.
- Eshtiagh-Hosseini, H., Gschwind, F., Alfi, N. & Mirzaei, M. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m826–m827.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Sharif, M. A., Tabatabaee, M., Adinehloo, M. & Aghabozorg, H. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, 03232.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Stoe & Cie (2005). X-AREA and X-RED32. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m189 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811001139]

Bis(2-amino-4-methylpyridinium) bis(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylato)cuprate(II)

Hossein Aghabozorg, Azadeh Mofidi Rouchi, Behrouz Notash and Masoud Mirzaei

S1. Comment

Polycarboxylate ligands are widely applied to assemble supramolecular network decorated by coordination bonds, van der Waals interactions, and $\pi - \pi$ stacking. Due to the manifold N– and O-donors of pyridine or pyrazine-(di)carboxylic ligands, metal pyridine- or pyrazine dicarboxylates can contrast versatile structural motifs, which finally aggregate to generate various supramolecular architectures with interesting properties. As ones of the dicarboxylate ligands, pydcH₂ have drawn extensive attentions. Continuing with our previous works on synthesizing coordination and proton transfer compounds (Aghabozorg *et al.* 2008, 2011), (Eshtiagh-Hosseini, Aghabozorg *et al.*, 2010, Eshtiagh-Hosseini, Gschwind *et al.*, 2010), (Sharif *et al.*, 2010), herein, we planned the reaction between pydcH₂, 2a4mp, and copper^{II} nitrate trihydrate which resulted in the formation of $(2a4mpH)^+_2$.[Cu(pydc)₂] crystals (Fig. 1). Crystal packing diagram related to the title compound is also rendered in the Fig. 2. In the anionic fragment, the Cu^{II} atom is six-coordinated by two nitrogen and four oxygen atoms from the carboxylate groups of two (pydc)²⁻ ligands, with bond length ranges of 1.911 (3)–2.029 (2) Å. The N1—Cu1—N2 [180.000 (1)°], O1—Cu1—O1 [146.67 (5)°] and O3—Cu1—O3 [160.23 (5)°] angles. The coordination environment around Cu^{II} is distorted octahedral. In the crystal structure of the title compound, there are intermolecular C—H···O and N—H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 1) and also π - π contacts between pyridine rings of (2a4mpH)⁺ with centroid-centroid distance Cg1···Cg1^{II} equel to 3.573 (2) Å [symmetry code: (i) 2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z, where Cg1 is the centroid of ring N3/C9—C11/C13—C14]. (Fig. 2) stabilize the structure.

S2. Experimental

A solution of pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (pydcH₂) (167 mg, 1 mmol) in 10 ml me thanol was added to a solution of 2amino-4-methylpyridine (2a4mp) (216 mg, 0.6 mmol) in 10 ml me thanol and stirred for 4 hrs. Then a solution of $Cu(NO_3)_2$.3H₂O (240 mg, 1 mmol) in 3 ml me thanol was added to the solution of pydcH₂ and 2a4mp. To the resulted precipitate was added 1 ml of DMSO and stirred for several minutes under heating. By slove evaporation of this solution in room temprature, green crystals of the title compound were obtained after three week which were suitable for X-ray analysis (m.p 265–267 °C).

S3. Refinement

The hydrogen atoms of the N—H and NH₂ groups were found in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically without restraint. The C—H protons were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms with C—H = 0.93 Å and Uiso(H) = 1.2 Ueq(C) for aromatic C—H groups and C—H = 0.96 Å and Uiso(H) = 1.5 Ueq(C) for methyl group.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of $(2a4mpH)^+_2$.[Cu(pydc)₂] with displacement ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability level (symmetry code: i: -*x*, *y*, 3/2 - *z*).



Figure 2

The packing diagram of $(2a4mpH)^+_2$.[Cu(pydc)₂]. The intermolecular N—H···O and C—H···O hydrogen bonds and π - π contacts are shown as blue and orange dashed lines, respectively.

Bis(2-amino-4-methylpyridinium) bis(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylato)cuprate(II)

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} (C_{6}H_{9}N_{2})_{2}[Cu(C_{7}H_{3}NO_{4})_{2}]\\ M_{r} = 612.06\\ \text{Monoclinic, } C2/c\\ \text{Hall symbol: -C 2yc}\\ a = 24.034 \ (5) \text{ Å}\\ b = 14.231 \ (3) \text{ Å}\\ c = 7.9780 \ (16) \text{ Å}\\ \beta = 107.01 \ (3)^{\circ}\\ V = 2609.3 \ (10) \text{ Å}^{3}\\ Z = 4 \end{array}$

Data collection

Stoe IPDS II
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 0.15 mm pixels mm ⁻¹
rotation method scans
Absorption correction: numerical
[shape of crystal determined optically (X-
<i>RED32</i> , Stoe & Cie, 2005)]
Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 0.15 mm pixels mm ⁻¹ rotation method scans Absorption correction: numerical [shape of crystal determined optically (<i>X</i> - <i>RED32</i> , Stoe & Cie, 2005)]

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 1.15	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
3509 reflections	and constrained refinement
201 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0336P)^2 + 5.0605P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.39 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 1260

 $\theta = 2.9 - 29.2^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.9 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Needle, blue

 $0.45 \times 0.15 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.743, T_{\max} = 0.846$ 8829 measured reflections 3509 independent reflections 2785 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 29.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.9^{\circ}$

T = 298 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.061$

 $h = -30 \rightarrow 32$ $k = -19 \rightarrow 16$ $l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.558 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 3509 reflections

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C2	0.04362 (14)	0.1040 (2)	0.8614 (4)	0.0350 (6)	
O2	0.13708 (12)	0.1246 (2)	1.0589 (3)	0.0592 (7)	
01	0.07172 (11)	0.23932 (17)	1.0341 (3)	0.0475 (6)	

C7	0.04400(13)	0.5659 (2)	0.7068 (4)	0.0338 (6)
H7	0.0734	0.5980	0.6770	0.041*
C8	0.0000	0.6143 (3)	0.7500	0.0359 (9)
H8	0.0000	0.6796	0.7500	0.043*
Cu1	0.0000	0.29007 (3)	0.7500	0.02835 (14)
N2	0.0000	0.4244 (2)	0.7500	0.0266 (6)
N4	0.11686 (12)	0.2123 (2)	0.4309 (4)	0.0461 (7)
03	0.07121 (9)	0.31454 (14)	0.6690 (3)	0.0370 (5)
N3	0.19892 (12)	0.14346 (19)	0.3935 (4)	0.0388 (6)
N1	0.0000	0.1521 (2)	0.7500	0.0318 (7)
С9	0.17056 (13)	0.1785 (2)	0.5020 (4)	0.0345 (6)
C11	0.25320 (16)	0.1393 (2)	0.7462 (4)	0.0444 (7)
C10	0.19805 (15)	0.1743 (2)	0.6831 (4)	0.0420 (7)
H10	0.1786	0.1957	0.7608	0.050*
O4	0.12832 (10)	0.43226 (18)	0.6314 (3)	0.0493 (6)
C6	0.04298 (11)	0.46879 (19)	0.7094 (3)	0.0272 (5)
C5	0.08574 (12)	0.4019 (2)	0.6666 (4)	0.0320 (6)
C13	0.28131 (16)	0.1052 (3)	0.6265 (5)	0.0516 (9)
H13	0.3188	0.0808	0.6665	0.062*
C14	0.25339 (15)	0.1082 (3)	0.4529 (5)	0.0485 (8)
H14	0.2719	0.0859	0.3734	0.058*
C12	0.2851 (2)	0.1384 (3)	0.9394 (5)	0.0679 (12)
H12A	0.3097	0.1927	0.9684	0.102*
H12B	0.3084	0.0826	0.9675	0.102*
H12C	0.2574	0.1393	1.0052	0.102*
C1	0.08860 (15)	0.1616 (2)	0.9957 (4)	0.0395 (7)
C3	0.04539 (18)	0.0064 (2)	0.8605 (5)	0.0485 (8)
Н3	0.0770	-0.0255	0.9339	0.058*
C4	0.0000	-0.0425 (3)	0.7500	0.0591 (15)
H4	0.0000	-0.1079	0.7500	0.071*
H3A	0.1810 (18)	0.148 (3)	0.275 (5)	0.057 (11)*
H4B	0.1012 (19)	0.245 (3)	0.501 (6)	0.062 (12)*
H4A	0.108 (2)	0.225 (3)	0.322 (7)	0.074 (15)*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C2	0.0432 (17)	0.0305 (14)	0.0316 (14)	0.0044 (12)	0.0116 (13)	-0.0005 (11)
O2	0.0498 (15)	0.0694 (18)	0.0477 (14)	0.0226 (13)	-0.0024 (12)	-0.0063 (12)
01	0.0519 (14)	0.0415 (13)	0.0427 (12)	0.0065 (11)	0.0036 (11)	-0.0087 (10)
C7	0.0291 (14)	0.0305 (14)	0.0372 (14)	-0.0073 (11)	0.0026 (12)	0.0014 (11)
C8	0.033 (2)	0.0240 (18)	0.042 (2)	0.000	-0.0011 (18)	0.000
Cu1	0.0325 (3)	0.0218 (2)	0.0336 (2)	0.000	0.01408 (19)	0.000
N2	0.0261 (16)	0.0261 (15)	0.0273 (15)	0.000	0.0072 (13)	0.000
N4	0.0400 (14)	0.0526 (17)	0.0444 (15)	0.0111 (14)	0.0100 (12)	-0.0122 (15)
O3	0.0376 (11)	0.0338 (11)	0.0444 (11)	0.0037 (9)	0.0193 (10)	-0.0036 (9)
N3	0.0367 (14)	0.0414 (14)	0.0378 (13)	0.0074 (11)	0.0102 (11)	-0.0009 (11)
N1	0.042 (2)	0.0233 (15)	0.0304 (16)	0.000	0.0112 (15)	0.000

C9	0.0320 (14)	0.0303 (13)	0.0410 (15)	-0.0003 (11)	0.0104 (12)	-0.0066 (11)
C11	0.0478 (18)	0.0351 (16)	0.0440 (17)	-0.0024 (14)	0.0033 (14)	-0.0012 (14)
C10	0.0445 (18)	0.0415 (16)	0.0399 (16)	0.0009 (14)	0.0120 (14)	-0.0061 (13)
O4	0.0357 (12)	0.0546 (14)	0.0658 (15)	-0.0093 (11)	0.0279 (12)	-0.0084 (12)
C6	0.0249 (13)	0.0304 (13)	0.0254 (11)	-0.0025 (10)	0.0059 (10)	-0.0004 (10)
C5	0.0304 (14)	0.0357 (14)	0.0305 (13)	-0.0020 (11)	0.0098 (11)	-0.0030 (11)
C13	0.0375 (18)	0.049 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.0101 (15)	0.0032 (16)	-0.0013 (16)
C14	0.0394 (18)	0.051 (2)	0.058 (2)	0.0144 (15)	0.0181 (16)	-0.0010 (16)
C12	0.080 (3)	0.058 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.008 (2)	0.0008 (18)
C1	0.0470 (18)	0.0404 (17)	0.0294 (14)	0.0049 (14)	0.0086 (13)	-0.0005 (12)
C3	0.065 (2)	0.0306 (15)	0.0484 (18)	0.0147 (15)	0.0148 (17)	0.0050 (13)
C4	0.088 (4)	0.022 (2)	0.067 (3)	0.000	0.022 (3)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C2—N1	1.346 (3)	N3—C14	1.351 (4)	
С2—С3	1.390 (4)	N3—H3A	0.92 (4)	
C2-C1	1.520 (4)	$N1$ — $C2^{i}$	1.346 (3)	
O2—C1	1.243 (4)	C9—C10	1.403 (4)	
01—C1	1.246 (4)	C11—C10	1.367 (5)	
С7—С6	1.382 (4)	C11—C13	1.407 (5)	
С7—С8	1.387 (4)	C11—C12	1.507 (5)	
С7—Н7	0.9300	C10—H10	0.9300	
C8—C7 ⁱ	1.387 (4)	O4—C5	1.217 (3)	
С8—Н8	0.9300	C6—C5	1.511 (4)	
Cu1—N2	1.911 (3)	C13—C14	1.352 (5)	
Cu1—N1	1.964 (3)	C13—H13	0.9300	
Cu1—O3	2.029 (2)	C14—H14	0.9300	
Cu1—O3 ⁱ	2.029 (2)	C12—H12A	0.9600	
N2—C6	1.330 (3)	C12—H12B	0.9600	
N2—C6 ⁱ	1.330 (3)	C12—H12C	0.9600	
N4—C9	1.338 (4)	C3—C4	1.374 (5)	
N4—H4B	0.89 (5)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
N4—H4A	0.85 (5)	C4—C3 ⁱ	1.374 (5)	
O3—C5	1.292 (4)	C4—H4	0.9300	
N3—C9	1.344 (4)			
N1—C2—C3	121.6 (3)	C10-C11-C12	121.8 (3)	
N1-C2-C1	116.5 (3)	C13—C11—C12	119.3 (3)	
C3—C2—C1	121.8 (3)	C11—C10—C9	120.5 (3)	
С6—С7—С8	118.3 (3)	C11-C10-H10	119.7	
С6—С7—Н7	120.8	C9—C10—H10	119.7	
С8—С7—Н7	120.8	N2	119.8 (3)	
C7 ⁱ —C8—C7	120.5 (4)	N2	112.5 (2)	
C7 ⁱ —C8—H8	119.8	C7—C6—C5	127.6 (3)	
С7—С8—Н8	119.8	O4—C5—O3	126.4 (3)	
N2—Cu1—N1	180.000 (1)	O4—C5—C6	120.1 (3)	
N2—Cu1—O3	80.12 (6)	O3—C5—C6	113.5 (2)	

N1—Cu1—O3	99.88 (6)	C14—C13—C11	119.4 (3)
N2—Cu1—O3 ⁱ	80.12 (6)	C14—C13—H13	120.3
N1—Cu1—O3 ⁱ	99.88 (6)	С11—С13—Н13	120.3
O3—Cu1—O3 ⁱ	160.24 (12)	N3-C14-C13	120.7 (3)
$C6-N2-C6^{i}$	123.2 (3)	N3—C14—H14	119.6
C6—N2—Cu1	118.39 (17)	C13—C14—H14	119.6
C6 ⁱ —N2—Cu1	118.39 (17)	C11—C12—H12A	109.5
C9—N4—H4B	117 (3)	C11—C12—H12B	109.5
C9—N4—H4A	116 (3)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
H4B—N4—H4A	120 (4)	C11—C12—H12C	109.5
C5—O3—Cu1	115.23 (17)	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C9—N3—C14	122.2 (3)	H12B— $C12$ — $H12C$	109.5
C9—N3—H3A	118 (3)	$0^{2}-C^{1}-0^{1}$	127.6(3)
C14 N3 H3A	120(3)	$0^{2}-C^{1}-C^{2}$	127.0(3) 1164(3)
$C2^{i}$ N1 $C2$	120(3) 1189(4)	01 - C1 - C2	115.9(3)
$C2^{i}$ N1 $C2^{i}$	120.56(18)	C_{4} C_{3} C_{2}	119.3(3)
$C_2 = N_1 = C_{u1}$	120.56(18)	$C_4 = C_3 = C_2$	119.3 (5)
$N_{1} = 0$ N3	120.30(10) 118.0(3)	$C_{1}^{2} = C_{2}^{3} = H_{3}^{3}$	120.3
N4 = C9 = C10	110.0(3) 123.8(3)	$C_2 = C_3 = H_3$	120.3
$N_{4} C_{9} C_{10}$	123.0(3)	$C_{2i} = C_{4} = C_{3}$	119.2 (4)
$N_{3} = C_{9} = C_{10}$	110.2(3)	$C_3 = C_4 = H_4$	120.4
010-011-013	118.8 (5)	C3—C4—H4	120.4
C6 C7 C8 C7 ⁱ	-0.48(18)	$C_{\rm H}1$ N2 C6 C7	170 50 (10)
$C_0 - C_1 - C_0 - C_1$	-0.46(16)	C(1) = N2 = C6 = C7	1/9.30(19)
$O_{2i} = C_{1i} = N_{2i} = C_{0i}$	-2.03(14)	$C_0 - N_2 - C_0 - C_3$	-1/9.4(2)
$O_3 = Cu_1 = N_2 = C_0$	177.35(14)	$C_{11} = N_2 = C_0 = C_3$	0.0(2)
03 - Cui - N2 - Co	1/7.35(14)	$C_{8} - C_{7} - C_{6} - N_{2}$	1.0(4)
03^{-} Cul $-N2$ -C6 ⁴	-2.65 (14)		1/9./(2)
N2—Cu1—O3—C5	4.56 (19)	Cu1-03-C5-04	1/6.0 (3)
NI—Cul—O3—C5	-175.44 (19)	Cu1—O3—C5—C6	-5.4 (3)
O3 ¹ —Cu1—O3—C5	4.56 (19)	N2	-178.1(2)
$C3-C2-N1-C2^{1}$	1.8 (2)	C7—C6—C5—O4	3.2 (5)
$C1-C2-N1-C2^{i}$	-174.4 (3)	N2—C6—C5—O3	3.2 (3)
C3—C2—N1—Cu1	-178.2 (2)	C7—C6—C5—O3	-175.5 (3)
C1—C2—N1—Cu1	5.6 (3)	C10-C11-C13-C14	0.3 (5)
$O3$ — $Cu1$ — $N1$ — $C2^i$	-114.82 (16)	C12—C11—C13—C14	-178.2 (4)
$O3^{i}$ —Cu1—N1—C2 ⁱ	65.18 (16)	C9—N3—C14—C13	1.3 (5)
O3—Cu1—N1—C2	65.18 (16)	C11—C13—C14—N3	-0.1 (6)
$O3^{i}$ —Cu1—N1—C2	-114.82 (16)	N1—C2—C1—O2	-157.6 (3)
C14—N3—C9—N4	179.6 (3)	C3—C2—C1—O2	26.2 (5)
C14—N3—C9—C10	-2.6 (5)	N1-C2-C1-O1	24.9 (4)
C13—C11—C10—C9	-1.6 (5)	C3—C2—C1—O1	-151.3 (3)
C12-C11-C10-C9	176.8 (3)	N1-C2-C3-C4	-3.6 (5)
N4—C9—C10—C11	-179.6 (3)	C1—C2—C3—C4	172.4 (3)
N3—C9—C10—C11	2.7 (5)	C2-C3-C4-C3 ⁱ	1.7 (2)
C6 ⁱ —N2—C6—C7	-0.50 (19)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y, -z+3/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H… <i>A</i>
N3—H3 <i>A</i> ···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.92 (4)	1.77 (4)	2.662 (4)	163 (4)
N4—H4A···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.85 (5)	2.22 (5)	3.056 (4)	170 (4)
N4—H4 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.89 (5)	1.97 (5)	2.854 (4)	176 (4)
C7—H7···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.58	3.250 (4)	130
C14—H14…O4 ^{iv}	0.93	2.42	3.160 (4)	136

Symmetry codes: (ii) *x*, *y*, *z*-1; (iii) *x*, -*y*+1, *z*-1/2; (iv) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+1.