

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

catena-Poly[[[2-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)pyridine- $\kappa^2 N$,S]copper(I)]- $\mu_{1.5}$ dicyanamido]

Shixi Wu, Wei Jiang, Fengsheng Li* and Li Liu

National Special Superfine Powder Engineering Research Center, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: wushixi_308@yahoo.com.cn

Received 8 January 2011; accepted 20 January 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.028; wR factor = 0.081; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.7.

In the title compound, $[Cu(C_2N_3)(C_{10}H_8N_2S_2)]_n$, the Cu^I atoms are connected by bridging dicyanamide ligands, forming chains parallel to [100]. Each Cu^I atom displays a tetrahedral coordination environment, formed by one S atom and three N atoms from one 2-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)pyridine and two dicyanamide ligands. The crystal structure is stabilized by C- $H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ hydrogen bonds, forming a three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For potential applications of metal-organic frameworks, see: Eddaoudi et al. (2001). For metal-organic frameworks constructed from flexible ligands, see: Xu et al. (2009). For related structures, see: Mal et al. (2006); Schlueter et al. (2007); Sen et al. (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data $[Cu(C_2N_3)(C_{10}H_8N_2S_2)]$ $M_r = 349.92$

Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 7.6294 (15) Å

b = 9.5964 (19) Å c = 10.202 (2) Å $\alpha = 84.19 (3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.63 (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 70.93 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 695.6 (2) \text{ Å}^{3}$	Z = 2 Mo K α radiation $\mu = 1.87 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K $0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan	6615 measured reflections 2669 independent reflections 2301 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$ (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.893, T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$	181 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.081$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.07	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
2669 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C9−H9···N3 ⁱ	0.93	2.53	3.453 (3)	171
Symmetry code: (i)	r + 1 v - 1 z			

Symmetry code: (i) x + 1, y - 1, z.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors are grateful for the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 50602024, 50972060) and NUST Research Funding (No. 2010ZDJH06).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZQ2085).

References

Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Eddaoudi, M., Moler, D. B., Li, H. L., Chen, B. L., Reineke, T. M., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2001). Acc. Chem. Res. 34, 319-330.
- Mal, D., Tuchagues, J.-P., Chakraborty, S., Mukherjee, A. K., Sen, R. & Koner, S. (2006). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 359, 4431-4435.
- Schlueter, J. A., Manson, J. L., Hyzer, K. A. & Geiser, U. (2007). Polyhedron, 26, 2264-2272
- Sen, S., Mitra, S., Hughes, D. L., Rosair, G. & Desplanches, C. (2007). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 360, 4085-4092.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Xu, B., Lu, J. & Cao, R. (2009). Cryst. Growth Des. 9, 3003-3005.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m285 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811002728]

catena-Poly[[[2-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)pyridine- $\kappa^2 N$,S]copper(I)]- $\mu_{1,5}$ -dicyanamido]

Shixi Wu, Wei Jiang, Fengsheng Li and Li Liu

S1. Comment

Metal-organic compounds have attracted much attention because of their diverse structures (Eddaoudi *et al.*, 2001). Flexible ligands can play different roles in constructing metal-organic frameworks (Xu *et al.*, 2009). The title compound, $\{C_{12}H_8CuN_5S_2\}_n$, is constructed by two kinds of flexible ligands: briding dicyanamide ligands and chelate 2-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)pyridine ligands. In this paper, the crystal structure of the title compound is presented. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the Cu atoms are connected by briding dicyanamide ligands, forming a serrate chain. Each Cu atom displays a tetrahedral coordination environment, formed by one S atom and three N atoms from one 2-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)pyridine and two dicyanamide ligands, where the Cu—S and average Cu—N bonds are 2.472 (1) and 1.969 Å, respectively. The crystal structure is stabilized by C—H···N hydrogen bonds [H9···N3ⁱⁱⁱ = 2.53 Å, C9···N3ⁱⁱⁱ = 3.453 (3) Å, and C9—H9···N3ⁱⁱⁱ = 171°] between the central N atom of the dicyanamide and one H atom of a pyridine ring, forming a three-dimensional network [symmetry code: (iii) x + 1, y - 1, z].

S2. Experimental

 $CuN(CN)_2$ (0.4 mmol) and $NaN(CN)_2$ (1.2 mmol) were added into 2 ml DMF with thorough stir for 6 minutes. After filtration, the colorless filtrate was carefully laid on the surface with the solution of bis(2-pyridyl)disulfide (0.5 mmol) in 5 ml *i*-PrOH. Colorless crystals were obtained after 3 weeks.

S3. Refinement

The H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined with a riding model, with C—H = 0.93 Å and $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of a portion of the title compound, with atom labels and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. All H atoms have been omitted [symmetry code: (i) x+1, y, z].

catena-Poly[[[2-(pyridin-2-yldisulfanyl)pyridine- $\kappa^2 N$,S]copper(I)]- $\mu_{1,5}$ -dicyanamido]

Z = 2

F(000) = 352

 $\theta = 3.0-28.9^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 1.87 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Block, colourless

 $0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

6615 measured reflections

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^\circ, \, \theta_{\rm min} = 3.1^\circ$

2669 independent reflections

2301 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$

 $h = -8 \rightarrow 9$

 $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.671 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 3088 reflections

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Cu(C_2N_3)(C_{10}H_8N_2S_2) \end{bmatrix}$ $M_r = 349.92$ Triclinic, *P*1 Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.6294 (15) Å b = 9.5964 (19) Å c = 10.202 (2) Å $a = 84.19 (3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.63 (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 70.93 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 695.6 (2) \text{ Å}^{3}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.893, T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$ $m^2(E^2) = 0.081$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 1.07 2669 reflections	H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0478P)^2 + 0.0204P]$ where $P = (E^2 + 2E^2)/2$
0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	where $P = (P_0^2 + 2P_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cu1	-0.03943 (3)	0.83530 (3)	0.16964 (3)	0.05693 (13)	
S1	-0.00326 (7)	0.67390 (7)	0.37486 (5)	0.05609 (17)	
S2	0.11161 (9)	0.47767 (7)	0.28919 (5)	0.06255 (18)	

N1	-0.3026 (2)	0.9293 (2)	0.16879 (19)	0.0580 (5)
N2	0.1131 (2)	0.9633 (2)	0.17961 (19)	0.0599 (5)
N3	-0.6377(2)	1.0592 (2)	0.2077 (2)	0.0694 (6)
N4	0.3589 (3)	0.6469 (2)	0.38308 (18)	0.0610 (5)
N5	0.1249 (2)	0.65814 (18)	0.06703 (15)	0.0464 (4)
C1	-0.4605 (3)	0.9840 (2)	0.1839 (2)	0.0489 (5)
C2	0.2361 (3)	1.0016 (2)	0.1905 (2)	0.0480 (5)
C3	0.1841 (3)	0.7106 (2)	0.43562 (18)	0.0452 (4)
C4	0.1311 (3)	0.8103 (3)	0.5330 (2)	0.0612 (6)
H4	0.0059	0.8499	0.5684	0.073*
C5	0.2682 (4)	0.8504 (3)	0.5770 (2)	0.0709 (7)
Н5	0.2372	0.9197	0.6414	0.085*
C6	0.4516 (4)	0.7863 (3)	0.5242 (2)	0.0692 (7)
H6	0.5475	0.8109	0.5519	0.083*
C7	0.4889 (3)	0.6858 (3)	0.4302 (2)	0.0695 (7)
H7	0.6136	0.6409	0.3963	0.083*
C8	0.1811 (3)	0.5212 (2)	0.11824 (18)	0.0462 (5)
C9	0.2919 (4)	0.4027 (2)	0.0454 (2)	0.0627 (6)
H9	0.3245	0.3078	0.0850	0.075*
C10	0.3528 (4)	0.4264 (3)	-0.0852 (2)	0.0681 (7)
H10	0.4289	0.3484	-0.1362	0.082*
C11	0.2996 (4)	0.5682 (3)	-0.1403 (2)	0.0660 (6)
H11	0.3402	0.5877	-0.2292	0.079*
C12	0.1868 (3)	0.6795 (2)	-0.0633 (2)	0.0568 (5)
H12	0.1505	0.7748	-0.1019	0.068*

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Cu1	0.03597 (17)	0.0595 (2)	0.0745 (2)	-0.01273 (13)	-0.00278 (13)	-0.01572 (14)
S 1	0.0434 (3)	0.0798 (4)	0.0469 (3)	-0.0244 (3)	0.0007 (2)	-0.0063 (3)
S2	0.0847 (4)	0.0645 (4)	0.0502 (3)	-0.0423 (3)	-0.0082 (3)	0.0048 (3)
N1	0.0393 (10)	0.0623 (11)	0.0748 (12)	-0.0157 (9)	-0.0099 (8)	-0.0125 (9)
N2	0.0394 (10)	0.0572 (11)	0.0836 (13)	-0.0155 (9)	-0.0067 (9)	-0.0086 (10)
N3	0.0382 (10)	0.0433 (10)	0.1278 (17)	-0.0077 (8)	-0.0184 (10)	-0.0154 (11)
N4	0.0464 (10)	0.0763 (13)	0.0606 (10)	-0.0187 (9)	0.0016 (8)	-0.0213 (10)
N5	0.0448 (9)	0.0463 (9)	0.0459 (9)	-0.0120 (7)	-0.0051 (7)	-0.0025 (7)
C1	0.0433 (12)	0.0430 (10)	0.0654 (12)	-0.0170 (9)	-0.0154 (10)	-0.0011 (9)
C2	0.0353 (10)	0.0381 (10)	0.0647 (12)	-0.0040 (8)	-0.0064 (9)	-0.0028 (9)
C3	0.0450 (11)	0.0532 (11)	0.0357 (9)	-0.0147 (9)	-0.0049 (8)	0.0008 (9)
C4	0.0542 (13)	0.0674 (14)	0.0528 (11)	-0.0058 (11)	-0.0028 (10)	-0.0145 (11)
C5	0.0843 (18)	0.0700 (15)	0.0601 (13)	-0.0197 (14)	-0.0148 (12)	-0.0186 (12)
C6	0.0719 (16)	0.0802 (17)	0.0667 (14)	-0.0325 (14)	-0.0237 (13)	-0.0036 (13)
C7	0.0453 (12)	0.0879 (18)	0.0762 (15)	-0.0188 (12)	-0.0066 (11)	-0.0184 (14)
C8	0.0496 (11)	0.0484 (11)	0.0467 (10)	-0.0227 (9)	-0.0093 (9)	-0.0022 (9)
C9	0.0802 (17)	0.0417 (11)	0.0665 (14)	-0.0190 (11)	-0.0118 (12)	-0.0030 (11)
C10	0.0834 (18)	0.0525 (13)	0.0635 (14)	-0.0162 (12)	0.0008 (12)	-0.0167 (11)
C11	0.0817 (17)	0.0652 (15)	0.0466 (11)	-0.0200 (13)	0.0006 (11)	-0.0081 (11)

C12	0.0596 (13)	0.0518 (12)	0.0520 (11)	-0.0108 (10)	-0.0056 (10)	0.0024 (10)
Geome	etric parameters (2	Å, °)				
Cu1—	N1	1.914	3 (18)	C3—C4		1.369 (3)
Cu1—	N2	1.967	(2)	C4—C5		1.377 (4)
Cu1-	N5	2.024	4 (18)	C4—H4		0.9300
Cu1—	S1	2.472	0 (10)	C5—C6		1.373 (4)
S1—C	3	1.792	(2)	С5—Н5		0.9300
S1—S2	2	2.020	7 (11)	C6—C7		1.361 (4)
S2—C	8	1.787	(2)	С6—Н6		0.9300
N1-C	21	1.138	(3)	С7—Н7		0.9300
N2C	22	1.138	(3)	С8—С9		1.378 (3)
N3—C	22^{i}	1.298	(3)	C9—C10		1.360 (3)
N3—C	21	1.303	(3)	С9—Н9		0.9300
N4—C	23	1.319	(3)	C10-C11		1.375 (3)
N4—C	27	1.337	(3)	C10—H10		0.9300
N5—C	28	1.322	(3)	C11—C12		1.359 (3)
N5—C	212	1.356	(3)	C11—H11		0.9300
C2—N	13 ⁱⁱ	1.298	(3)	C12—H12		0.9300
N1—C	Cu1—N2	117.10	6 (8)	C6—C5—C4		118.9 (2)
N1-C	Cu1—N5	126.5	8 (8)	С6—С5—Н5		120.6
N2-C	Cu1—N5	107.6	0 (7)	С4—С5—Н5		120.6
N1-C	Cu1—S1	106.7	5 (7)	C7—C6—C5		118.1 (2)
N2C	Cu1—S1	104.2	9 (6)	С7—С6—Н6		121.0
N5—C	Cu1—S1	87.90	(5)	С5—С6—Н6		121.0
C3—S	1—S2	105.9	5 (8)	N4—C7—C6		124.6 (2)
C3—S	1—Cu1	102.3	5 (7)	N4—C7—H7		117.7
S2—S	1—Cu1	98.20	(4)	С6—С7—Н7		117.7
C8—S	2—S1	105.52	2 (8)	N5—C8—C9		123.48 (18)
C1—N	11—Cu1	172.0	6 (19)	N5—C8—S2		121.22 (16)
C2—N	12—Cu1	161.6	3 (17)	C9—C8—S2		115.29 (16)
C2 ⁱ —N	N3—C1	120.2	3 (19)	С10—С9—С8		119.1 (2)
C3—N	I4—C7	115.9	(2)	С10—С9—Н9		120.5
C8—N	15—C12	116.52	2 (18)	С8—С9—Н9		120.5
C8—N	15—Cu1	124.8	8 (13)	C9-C10-C11		118.6 (2)
C12—	N5—Cu1	118.5	9 (14)	C9-C10-H10		120.7
N1-C	C1—N3	173.2	(2)	С11—С10—Н10		120.7
N2C	22—N3 ⁱⁱ	173.5	(2)	C12—C11—C10		119.2 (2)
N4—C	C3—C4	124.4	(2)	С12—С11—Н11		120.4
N4—C	23—S1	119.92	2 (16)	С10—С11—Н11		120.4
С4—С	23—S1	115.6	1 (16)	N5-C12-C11		123.1 (2)
С3—С	C4—C5	118.1	(2)	N5-C12-H12		118.5
С3—С	24—H4	120.9		С11—С12—Н12		118.5
С5—С	24—H4	120.9				

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1, y, z; (ii) x+1, y, z.

supporting information

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
С9—Н9…N3 ^{ііі}	0.93	2.53	3.453 (3)	171

Symmetry code: (iii) x+1, y-1, z.