organic compounds



Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Ethyl-1*H*-imidazole-4-carboxylate monohydrate

Shi-Jie Li,^a Juan-Hua Liu,^a Wen-Dong Song,^b* Xiao-Fei Li^c and Dong-Liang Miao^a

^aCollege of Food Science and Technology, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, People's Republic of China, ^bCollege of Science, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, People's Republic of China, and ^cCollege of Agriculture, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: songwd60@163.com

Received 9 March 2011; accepted 23 March 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.038; wR factor = 0.106; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.7.

In the title compound, $C_7H_8N_2O_4\cdot H_2O$, the imidazole N atom is protonated and one of the carboxylate groups is deprontonated, forming a zwitterion. The two carboxyl groups are are approximately coplanar with the imidazole ring [O-C-C-C] torsion angles = -176.8 (2) and 2.9 (4)° for one group and -4.6 (3) and 176.4 (2)° for the other] and have an intramolecular $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bond between them. The water molecule is linked to the organic molecules via an $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. Intermolecular $O-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds are found in the crystal structure.

Related literature

For our past work based on the 2-propyl-1H-imidazole-4,5-carboxylate (H₃pimda) ligand, see: Yan *et al.* (2010); Li *et al.* (2010); Song *et al.* (2010); He *et al.* (2010); Fan *et al.* (2010). For related coordination polymers based on H₃EIDC (2-ethyl-1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylate), see: Wang *et al.* (2008); Zhang *et al.* (2010); Li *et al.* (2011). For the synthesis of H₃EIDC, see: Sun *et al.* (2006).

OH OH NH
$$^{\oplus}$$
 · H₂O

Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\rm C_7H_{10}N_2O_5} & & V = 861.04~(15)~\mathring{\rm A}^3 \\ M_r = 202.17 & Z = 4 \\ & {\rm Monoclinic,}~P2_1/c & {\rm Mo}~K\alpha~{\rm radiation} \\ a = 7.6132~(6)~\mathring{\rm A} & \mu = 0.13~{\rm mm}^{-1} \\ b = 14.3779~(16)~\mathring{\rm A} & T = 298~{\rm K} \\ c = 7.9396~(8)~\mathring{\rm A} & 0.50 \times 0.41 \times 0.40~{\rm mm} \\ \beta = 97.799~(1)^{\circ} \end{array}$

Data collection

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Bruker SMART 1000 CCD areadetector diffractometer} & 4224 \mbox{ measured reflections} \\ \mbox{Absorption correction: multi-scan} & 1510 \mbox{ independent reflections} \\ \mbox{($SADABS$; Bruker, 2007)} & 1166 \mbox{ reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$} \\ \mbox{$T_{\rm min} = 0.936$, $T_{\rm max} = 0.948$} \end{array}$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038 & 3 \text{ restraints} \\ WR(F^2) = 0.106 & \text{H-atom parameters constrained} \\ S = 1.05 & \Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.28 \text{ e Å}^{-3} \\ 1510 \text{ reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.21 \text{ e Å}^{-3} \end{array}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

D-H··· A	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$
$N1-H1\cdots O4^{i}$	0.86	1.91	2.754 (2)	168
$N2-H2\cdots O1W^{ii}$	0.86	1.89	2.751(2)	177
$O2-H2A\cdots O3$	0.82	1.63	2.452(2)	176
$O1W-H1W\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.85	2.05	2.8863 (19)	169
O1 <i>W</i> −H2 <i>W</i> ···O1	0.85	2.03	2.849 (2)	163
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 2, -y + 1, -z$.	-x + 1, y	$+\frac{1}{2}$, $-z+\frac{1}{2}$;	(ii) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}$	$-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii)

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The work was supported by the Nonprofit Industry Foundation of the National Ocean Administration of China (grant No. 2000905021), the Guangdong Ocean Fisheries Technology Promotion Project [grant No. A2009003–018(c)], the Guangdong Chinese Academy of Science Comprehensive Strategic Cooperation Project (grant No. 2009B091300121), the Guangdong Province Key Project in the Field of Social Development [grant No. A2009011–007(c)], the Science and Technology Department of Guangdong Province Project (grant No. 00087 061110314018) and the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (No. 9252408801000002).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JH2272).

organic compounds

References

- Bruker (2007). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fan, R.-Z., Li, S.-J., Song, W.-D., Miao, D.-L. & Hu, S.-W. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* E66, m897–m898.
- He, L.-Z., Li, S.-J., Song, W.-D. & Miao, D.-L. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m896.
 Li, S.-J., Ma, X.-T., Song, W.-D., Li, X.-F. & Liu, J.-H. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m295-m296
- Li, S.-J., Yan, J.-B., Song, W.-D., Wang, H. & Miao, D.-L. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m280.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Song, W.-D., Yan, J.-B., Li, S.-J., Miao, D.-L. & Li, X.-F. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m53
- Sun, T., Ma, J.-P., Huang, R.-Q. & Dong, Y.-B. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* E**62**, o2751–o2752.
- Wang, S., Zhang, L. R., Li, G. H., Huo, Q. S. & Liu, Y. L. (2008). CrystEngComm 10, 1662–1666.
- Yan, J.-B., Li, S.-J., Song, W.-D., Wang, H. & Miao, D.-L. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m99.
- Zhang, F. W., Li, Z. F., Ge, T. Z., Yao, H. C., Li, G., Lu, H. J. & Zhu, Y. Y. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* **49**, 3776–3788.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o996–o997 Li et al. • C₇H₁₀N₂O₅ **o997**

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o996-o997 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811010774]

2-Ethyl-1*H*-imidazole-4-carboxylate monohydrate

Shi-Jie Li, Juan-Hua Liu, Wen-Dong Song, Xiao-Fei Li and Dong-Liang Miao

S1. Comment

4,5-imidazoledicarboxylic acid (H₃IDC) ligand posesses great potential for coordination interactions and hydrogen bonding, can be deprotonated to generate H₂IDC⁻, HIDC₂⁻ and IDC₃⁻ anions at different pH values. Up to date, it has been widely studied. 2-propyl-1H-imidazole-4,5-carboxylate (H₃pimda) ligand as one derivative of H₃IDC with efficient N,O-donors has been used to obtain new metal-organic complexes by our research group(Song *et al.*, 2010; Yan *et al.*, 2010; He *et al.* 2010; Fan *et al.* 2010; Li *et al.* 2010). Recently, an analogue of H₃IDC, 2-ethyl-1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylate (H₃EIDC)ligand has also been used to construct intriguing coordination polymers (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2011;). However, the crystal structure of H₃EIDC ligand has not been determined. Considering that in mind, we focus on obtaining the crystal and its crystal structure will be reported here.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the title compound, $(C_7H_8N_2O_4).H_2O$, crystallizes as a zwitterion in which the imidazole N atom is protonated, one of the carboxylate groups is deprontonated. The two carboxyl groups are approximately coplanar with the imidazole ring, as indicated by the fact that the O1—C1—C2—C3 and O2—C1—C2—C3 torsion angles are -176.8 (2) ° and 2.9 (4) °, respectively; the O3—C4—C3—C2 and O4—C4—C3—C2 torsion angles are -4.6 (3) ° and 176.4 (2) °, respectively. The solvent water molecules are linked to the organic ligands via N—H···O and O—H···O hydrogen bonds(Table 1), which stabilize the three-dimensional network(Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

The organic molecule powder was abtained from 2-ethylbenzimidazole according to a literature procedure (Sun *et al.* 2006), then the 2-ethyl-1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylate(0.5 mmol, 0.9 g) was disolved in 15 ml of H₂O solution with the pH of 6 adjusted by NaOH, colorless crystals was obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

S3. Refinement

H atoms of the water molecule were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding with an O—H distance restraint of 0.84 (1) Å, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5~U_{eq}$. The H···H distances within the water molecules were restraint to 1.39 (1) Å. Carboxyl H atoms were located in a difference map but were refined as riding on the parent O atoms with with O—H = 0.82 Å with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5~U_{eq}(O)$. Carbon and nitrogen bound H atoms were placed at calculated positions and were treated as riding on the parent C or N atoms with C—H = 0.96 (methyl), 0.97 (methylene) and N—H = 0.86 Å, $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2~\text{or}~1.5~U_{eq}(C, N)$.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o996–o997





Figure 1The structure of the title compound, showing the atomic numbering scheme. Non-H atoms are shown with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids.



 $\label{eq:constructed} \textbf{Figure 2} \\ A view of the three-dimensional network constructed by O—H···O and N—H···O hydrogen bonding interactions \\ \textbf{A} \\ \textbf{A$

2-Ethyl-1*H*-imidazole-4-carboxylate monohydrate

 $C_7 H_{10} N_2 O_5$ F(000) = 424 $D_{\rm x} = 1.560 {\rm \ Mg \ m^{-3}}$ $M_r = 202.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc Cell parameters from 1702 reflections a = 7.6132 (6) Å $\theta = 2.5 - 25.9^{\circ}$ b = 14.3779 (16) Å $\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 7.9396 (8) Å T = 298 K $\beta = 97.799 (1)^{\circ}$ Block, colorless $V = 861.04 (15) \text{ Å}^3$ $0.50\times0.41\times0.40~mm$ Z = 4

Acta Cryst. (2011). E**67**, o996–o997

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

 φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2007)

 $T_{\min} = 0.936$, $T_{\max} = 0.948$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$

 $wR(F^2) = 0.106$

S = 1.05

1510 reflections

129 parameters

3 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier

map

4224 measured reflections 1510 independent reflections

1166 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.7^{\circ}$

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 8$

 $k = -17 \rightarrow 13$

 $l = -9 \rightarrow 7$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.047P)^2 + 0.3916P]$

where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.28 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.21 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$

Extinction correction: SHELXL,

 $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.060 (5)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2 \operatorname{sigma}(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	X	y	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
N1	0.4705 (2)	0.42162 (11)	0.2301(2)	0.0314 (4)	
H1	0.4860	0.4805	0.2208	0.038*	
N2	0.3607(2)	0.29056 (11)	0.2927 (2)	0.0305 (4)	
H2	0.2932	0.2499	0.3308	0.037*	
O1	0.7740(2)	0.46097 (10)	0.0811 (2)	0.0517 (5)	
O2	0.8238 (2)	0.31103 (10)	0.0434(2)	0.0457 (5)	
H2A	0.7770	0.2619	0.0653	0.069*	
O3	0.6974(2)	0.16119 (9)	0.1124(2)	0.0433 (4)	
O4	0.4800(2)	0.11124 (9)	0.2502(2)	0.0436 (4)	
O1W	0.8455 (2)	0.65550 (10)	0.0862(2)	0.0501 (5)	
H1W	0.9476	0.6582	0.0543	0.075*	
H2W	0.8189	0.5995	0.1057	0.075*	
C1	0.7364(3)	0.37964 (14)	0.0950(3)	0.0356 (5)	
C2	0.5789(3)	0.35434 (13)	0.1777 (2)	0.0295 (5)	
C3	0.5090 (3)	0.27125 (13)	0.2173 (2)	0.0282 (5)	

supporting information

C4	0.5648 (3)	0.17372 (13)	0.1935 (3)	0.0314 (5)	
C5	0.3383 (3)	0.38238 (13)	0.2972(3)	0.0310 (5)	
C6	0.1908(3)	0.43371 (15)	0.3579(3)	0.0466 (6)	
H6A	0.1212	0.4632	0.2610	0.056*	
H6B	0.2403	0.4827	0.4340	0.056*	
C7	0.0694(3)	0.37559 (17)	0.4485 (3)	0.0528 (7)	
H7A	0.0221	0.3257	0.3758	0.079*	
H7B	-0.0259	0.4135	0.4773	0.079*	
H7C	0.1345	0.3504	0.5503	0.079*	

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0332 (9)	0.0177 (8)	0.0455 (11)	0.0005 (7)	0.0140 (8)	0.0015 (7)
N2	0.0316 (9)	0.0200(8)	0.0425 (10)	-0.0005(6)	0.0145 (8)	0.0011(7)
O1	0.0503 (10)	0.0288 (9)	0.0821 (13)	-0.0062(7)	0.0310 (9)	0.0027(8)
O2	0.0437 (9)	0.0295 (8)	0.0707 (11)	0.0011 (7)	0.0321 (8)	0.0009(7)
O3	0.0479 (9)	0.0275 (8)	0.0601 (10)	0.0068 (6)	0.0272 (8)	-0.0009(7)
O4	0.0460 (9)	0.0202 (7)	0.0690 (11)	0.0006 (6)	0.0236 (8)	0.0029 (7)
O1W	0.0479 (10)	0.0283 (8)	0.0813 (12)	-0.0006(7)	0.0344 (9)	-0.0023(8)
C1	0.0344 (11)	0.0281 (11)	0.0466 (13)	0.0005 (9)	0.0137 (10)	0.0017 (9)
C2	0.0306 (11)	0.0234 (10)	0.0355 (11)	0.0029(8)	0.0087 (9)	-0.0001(8)
C3	0.0296 (10)	0.0222 (10)	0.0340 (11)	0.0015 (8)	0.0090 (9)	-0.0005(8)
C4	0.0337 (11)	0.0227 (10)	0.0389 (12)	0.0023 (8)	0.0092 (9)	-0.0002(9)
C5	0.0313 (11)	0.0222 (10)	0.0414 (12)	0.0011 (8)	0.0124 (9)	0.0005 (9)
C6	0.0433 (13)	0.0273 (12)	0.0751 (17)	0.0048 (9)	0.0295 (13)	-0.0017(11)
C7	0.0468 (14)	0.0451 (14)	0.0734 (17)	0.0080(11)	0.0334 (13)	0.0037 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

1	<i>'</i>		
N1—C5	1.327 (2)	O1W—H2W	0.8501
N1—C2	1.372 (2)	C1—C2	1.488 (3)
N1—H1	0.8600	C2—C3	1.362 (3)
N2—C5	1.332 (3)	C3—C4	1.485 (3)
N2—C3	1.376 (2)	C5—C6	1.478 (3)
N2—H2	0.8600	C6—C7	1.500 (3)
O1—C1	1.212 (2)	C6—H6A	0.9700
O2—C1	1.288 (2)	C6—H6B	0.9700
O2—H2A	0.8200	C7—H7A	0.9600
O3—C4	1.281 (2)	С7—Н7В	0.9600
O4—C4	1.227 (2)	C7—H7C	0.9600
O1W—H1W	0.8500		
C5—N1—C2	110.02 (16)	O4—C4—C3	118.07 (17)
C5—N1—H1	125.0	O3—C4—C3	117.11 (17)
C2—N1—H1	125.0	N1—C5—N2	107.67 (16)
C5—N2—C3	109.11 (15)	N1—C5—C6	124.74 (17)
C5—N2—H2	125.4	N2—C5—C6	127.55 (17)

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o996–o997 sup-5

supporting information

C3—N2—H2	125.4	C5—C6—C7	115.01 (18)
C1—O2—H2A	109.5	C5—C6—H6A	108.5
H1W—O1W—H2W	110.3	C7—C6—H6A	108.5
O1—C1—O2	124.86 (19)	C5—C6—H6B	108.5
O1—C1—C2	119.35 (18)	C7—C6—H6B	108.5
O2—C1—C2	115.80 (17)	H6A—C6—H6B	107.5
C3—C2—N1	106.15 (16)	C6—C7—H7A	109.5
C3—C2—C1	132.84 (18)	C6—C7—H7B	109.5
N1—C2—C1	121.01 (17)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C2—C3—N2	107.05 (16)	C6—C7—H7C	109.5
C2—C3—C4	132.14 (17)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
N2—C3—C4	120.81 (17)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
O4—C4—O3	124.82 (17)		
C5—N1—C2—C3	-0.7(2)	C5—N2—C3—C4	-179.30 (18)
C5—N1—C2—C1	179.02 (18)	C2—C3—C4—O4	176.4 (2)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-176.8(2)	N2—C3—C4—O4	-3.4(3)
O2—C1—C2—C3	2.9 (4)	C2—C3—C4—O3	-4.6(3)
O1—C1—C2—N1	3.5 (3)	N2—C3—C4—O3	175.56 (18)
O2—C1—C2—N1	-176.74(19)	C2—N1—C5—N2	1.3 (2)
N1—C2—C3—N2	-0.1 (2)	C2—N1—C5—C6	-176.3(2)
C1—C2—C3—N2	-179.8(2)	C3—N2—C5—N1	-1.3(2)
N1—C2—C3—C4	-179.9(2)	C3—N2—C5—C6	176.2 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.4 (4)	N1—C5—C6—C7	-172.7(2)
C5—N2—C3—C2	0.9 (2)	N2—C5—C6—C7	10.2 (4)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H···A	D··· A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N1—H1···O4 ⁱ	0.86	1.91	2.754(2)	168
N2—H2···O1 <i>W</i> ⁱⁱ	0.86	1.89	2.751 (2)	177
O2—H2 <i>A</i> ···O3	0.82	1.63	2.452 (2)	176
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>W</i> ···O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	2.05	2.8863 (19)	169
O1 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>W</i> ···O1	0.85	2.03	2.849 (2)	163

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (iii) -x+2, -y+1, -z.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o996–o997 Sup-6