Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[tri- μ_4 -formato-cobalt(II)potassium]

Susanne Wöhlert,^a* Mario Wriedt,^b Inke Jess^a and Christian Näther^a

^aInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Max-Eyth-Strasse 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany, and ^bDepartement of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA Correspondence e-mail: swoehlert@ac.uni-kiel.de

Received 18 February 2011; accepted 7 March 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (O–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.020; wR factor = 0.046; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.5.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $[CoK(CHO_2)_3]_n$ the Co²⁺ cations are coordinated by six O-bonded formate anions in an octahedral coordination mode and the K⁺ cations are eightfold coordinated by seven O-bonded formate anions within irregular polyhedra. The Co^{2+} cations are connected by bridging formate anions into a three-dimensional coordination network in which the K⁺ cations are embedded. The asymmetric unit consits of one Co²⁺ cation located on a center of inversion, one K⁺ cation located on a twofold axis and two crystallographically independent formato anions, of which one is located on a twofold axis and the other occupies a general position.

Related literature

For background to this work see: Boeckmann et al. (2010); Wriedt & Näther (2010); Wriedt et al. (2009). For structures of bimetallic compounds based on potassium formate, see: Antsyshkina et al. (1983); Leontiev et al. (1988). For a description of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[CoK(CHO_2)_3]$ $M_r = 233.08$	
Monoclinic, C2/c	
a = 10.7244 (8) Å	
b = 8.9653 (6) Å	
c = 6.8742 (5) Å	
$\beta = 95.539 \ (6)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Stoe IPDS-2 diffractometer Absorption correction: numerical (X-SHAPE and X-RED32; Stoe & Cie, 2008) $T_{\min} = 0.711, \ T_{\max} = 0.817$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$	54 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.046$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.15	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
892 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

V = 657.85 (8) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.16 \times 0.09 \times 0.06 \; \rm mm$

6120 measured reflections

892 independent reflections

853 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 3.22 \text{ mm}^-$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$

Z = 4

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å).

1-011	2.8507 (11)	01-011	2.1026 (9)
$(1 - 02^{i})$	2.8193(10)	$Co1 - O2^{n}$	2.1015 (10)
K1-01	2.7371 (10)	Co1-O1	2.0943 (10)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) $x, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$.

Data collection: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2008); cell refinement: X-AREA; data reduction: X-AREA; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: XCIF in SHELXTL.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the DFG (project number NA 720/3-1) and the State of Schleswig-Holstein. We thank Professor Dr Bensch for access to his experimental facility.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KJ2172).

References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

Antsyshkina, A. S., Porai-Koshits, M. A., Ostrikova, V. N. & Sadikov, G. G. (1983). Koord. Khim. 9, 855-864.

Boeckmann, J., Wriedt, M. & Näther, C. (2010). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 1820-1828.

Brandenburg, K. (1999). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

- Leontiev, A. Yu., Arion, M. D., Razdobreev, I. M., Kiosse, G. A., Yablokov, Yu. V., Malinovskii, T. I. & Popvich, G. A. (1988). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 300, 1129-1140.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Stoe & Cie (2008). X-AREA, X-RED32 and X-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Wriedt, M. & Näther, C. (2010). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 636, 569-575.

Wriedt, M., Sellmer, S. & Näther, C. (2009). Inorg. Chem. 48, 6896-6903.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m422 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811008737]

Polymeric potassium triformatocobalt(II)

Susanne Wöhlert, Mario Wriedt, Inke Jess and Christian Näther

S1. Comment

In our current investigation on the synthesis, structures and properties of new coordination polymers based on paramagnetic transition metal, small-sized anions and N-donor ligands, we have shown that thermal decomposition reactions are an elegante route for the discovery and preparation of new ligand-deficient coordination polymers (Boeckmann *et al.*, 2010; Wriedt & Näther, 2010; Wriedt *et al.*, 2009). Within this project we tried to prepare new ligand-rich precursor compounds based on cobalt formate and pyrazine as coligand. However, reaction of cobalt(II) chloride, potassium formate and pyrazine in acteonitrile unexpectedly resulted in single crystals of the title compound.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, each cobalt(II) cation is coordinated by six bridging formato anions with Co—OCHO distances between 2.0943 (10) Å and 2.1026 (9) Å. The CoO₆ octahedron is slightly distorted with angles ranging from 82.66 (4) ° to 97.34 (4) ° and 180° (Fig. 1 and Tab. 1). The K⁺ cations are coordinated by eight oxygen atoms belonging to seven formato anions within irregular polyhedra. The K—O distances ranges from 2.7371 (10) Å to 2.8507 (11) Å and the O—K—O angles are between 59.81 (3) ° and 147.11 (3) °. The cobalt cations are connected via μ -1,3 bridging formato anions into a three dimensional coordination network (Fig. 2). Within this networks cavities are formed in which the K⁺ cations are embedded (Fig 3). The shortest Co…Co distances amount to 5.6487 (3) Å and the shortest K…K distances are 3.9067 (4) Å).

According to a search in the CCDC database (ConQuest Ver.1.12.2010) (Allen, 2002) mixed cobalt and potassium formates are unkown but bimetallic compounds based on potassium formate are known with different metals (Antsyshkina *et al.*, 1983 and Leontiev *et al.*, 1988.

S2. Experimental

Potassium formate (KCHOO) and pyrazine were obtained from Alfa Aesar and cobalt(II) chloride was obtained from Acros Organics. All chemicals were used without further purification. 0.25 mmol (32.5 mg) CoCl₂, 0.5 mmol (42.1 mg) KCHOO and 0.5 mmol (40 mg) pyrazine were reacted with 1 ml acetonitrile in a closed test-tube at 120°C for three days. On cooling block-shaped single crystals of the title compound were obtained in a mixture with an unknown phase. It must be noted, that the reaction under similar conditions without pyrazine does not lead to the formation of the title compound.

S3. Refinement

The H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined isotropic with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ and C—H distances of 0.93 Å using a riding model.



Figure 1

Crystal structure of the title compound with labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50 % probability level. Symmetry codes: i = -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; ii = -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z; iii = +x, -y+1, +z-1/2; iv = -x+1, +y, -z+1/2; v = +x-1/2, -y+1/2, +z-1/2.



Figure 2

Crystal structure of the title compound with view along the crystallographic b axis. The K⁺ cations are omitted for clarity.



Figure 3

Crystal structure of the title compound with view along the crystallographic c axis.

Poly[tri-µ-formato-cobalt(II)potassium]

Crystal data	
[CoK(CHO ₂) ₃]	F(000) = 460
$M_r = 233.08$	$D_{\rm x} = 2.353 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 6120 reflections
a = 10.7244 (8) Å	$\theta = 3.0 - 29.2^{\circ}$
b = 8.9653 (6) Å	$\mu = 3.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 6.8742 (5) Å	T = 293 K
$\beta = 95.539 \ (6)^{\circ}$	Block, light blue
$V = 657.85 (8) Å^3$	$0.16 \times 0.09 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	
Data collection	
Stoe IPDS-2	6120 measured reflections
diffractometer	892 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	853 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 29.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.0^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: numerical	$h = -14 \rightarrow 14$
(X-SHAPE and X-RED32; Stoe & Cie, 2008)	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.711, \ T_{\max} = 0.817$	$l = -9 \longrightarrow 9$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.046$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0276P)^2 + 0.1263P]$
S = 1.15	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
892 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
54 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.57 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Extinction coefficient: 0.0126 (12)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F², conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F² are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
K1	0.0000	0.10357 (5)	0.2500	0.02582 (12)	
Col	0.2500	0.2500	0.0000	0.01561 (10)	
01	0.16793 (10)	0.33291 (11)	0.24238 (15)	0.0274 (2)	
O2	0.25445 (9)	0.54804 (11)	0.34563 (15)	0.0271 (2)	
C1	0.18397 (13)	0.44031 (15)	0.3568 (2)	0.0244 (3)	
H1	0.1371	0.4392	0.4638	0.029*	
011	0.43048 (9)	0.31029 (12)	0.12114 (14)	0.0260 (2)	
C11	0.5000	0.2478 (2)	0.2500	0.0271 (4)	
H11	0.5000	0.1441	0.2500	0.033*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
K1	0.0278 (2)	0.01932 (19)	0.0318 (2)	0.000	0.01004 (16)	0.000
Col	0.01611 (14)	0.01374 (14)	0.01648 (14)	-0.00041 (8)	-0.00102 (8)	0.00122 (8)
01	0.0332 (5)	0.0223 (5)	0.0277 (5)	-0.0087 (4)	0.0091 (4)	-0.0093 (4)
O2	0.0300 (5)	0.0204 (4)	0.0318 (5)	-0.0063 (4)	0.0076 (4)	-0.0089 (4)
C1	0.0312 (6)	0.0213 (6)	0.0215 (6)	-0.0042 (5)	0.0069 (5)	-0.0037 (5)
011	0.0215 (4)	0.0303 (5)	0.0245 (5)	-0.0036 (4)	-0.0063 (4)	0.0033 (4)
C11	0.0270 (9)	0.0217 (9)	0.0310 (10)	0.000	-0.0057 (8)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

K1—01	2.7371 (10)	Co1—O11 ^{iv}	2.1026 (9)
K1	2.8193 (10)	O1—C1	1.2448 (17)
K1—O11 ⁱⁱ	2.8335 (10)	O2—C1	1.2335 (17)
K1-011 ⁱ	2.8507 (11)	C1—H1	0.9300
K1-C11 ⁱ	3.189 (2)	O11—C11	1.2356 (13)
Co1—O1	2.0943 (10)	C11—H11	0.9300
Co1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.1015 (10)		
O1—K1—O1 ^v	82.62 (5)	O1-Co1-O11 ^{iv}	87.99 (4)
O1—K1—O2 ⁱ	140.19 (3)	O1 ^{iv} —Co1—O11 ^{iv}	92.01 (4)
$O1^{v}$ —K1— $O2^{i}$	59.81 (3)	O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —Co1—O11 ^{iv}	94.96 (4)
$O2^{i}$ —K1— $O2^{vi}$	159.66 (4)	O2 ^{vi} —Co1—O11 ^{iv}	85.04 (4)
O1—K1—O11 ⁱⁱ	92.48 (3)	O11 ^{iv} —Co1—O11	180.00 (6)
O1 ^v —K1—O11 ⁱⁱ	63.08 (3)	C1C01	137.25 (9)
O2 ⁱ —K1—O11 ⁱⁱ	60.35 (3)	C1—O1—K1	128.31 (9)
$O2^{vi}$ —K1—O11 ⁱⁱ	126.22 (3)	Co1—O1—K1	94.38 (3)
O11 ⁱⁱ —K1—O11 ^{iv}	148.37 (5)	C1—O2—Co1 ^{vii}	126.81 (9)
O1—K1—O11 ⁱ	147.11 (3)	C1	138.13 (9)
O1 ^v —K1—O11 ⁱ	123.09 (3)	Co1 ^{vii} —O2—K1 ^{viii}	91.88 (3)
$O2^{i}$ —K1—O11 ⁱ	71.79 (3)	O2—C1—O1	127.90 (13)
$O2^{vi}$ —K1—O11 ⁱ	89.25 (3)	O2—C1—H1	116.0
O11 ⁱⁱ —K1—O11 ⁱ	116.58 (3)	O1—C1—H1	116.0
O11 ^{iv} —K1—O11 ⁱ	93.17 (3)	C11—O11—Co1	129.50 (9)
O11 ⁱ —K1—O11 ^{vi}	45.45 (4)	C11—O11—K1 ^{iv}	125.17 (6)
O1—K1—C11 ⁱ	138.69 (2)	Co1—O11—K1 ^{iv}	91.47 (3)
$O2^{i}$ —K1—C11 ⁱ	79.83 (2)	C11—O11—K1 ^{viii}	94.23 (9)
$O11^{ii}$ —K1—C11 ⁱ	105.81 (2)	Co1—O11—K1 ^{viii}	124.29 (4)
O11 ⁱ —K1—C11 ⁱ	22.727 (19)	K1 ^{iv} —O11—K1 ^{viii}	86.83 (3)
O1-Co1-O1 ^{iv}	180.0	O11 ^{ix} —C11—O11	126.09 (18)
01—Co1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	97.34 (4)	O11 ^{ix} —C11—K1 ^{viii}	63.04 (9)
01 ^{iv} —Co1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	82.66 (4)	O11—C11—H11	117.0
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —Co1—O2 ^{vi}	180.00 (3)	K1 ^{viii} —C11—H11	180.0

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*; (ii) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iii) *x*, -*y*+1, *z*-1/2; (iv) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*; (v) -*x*, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (vi) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1/2