metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

A second polymorph of catena-poly-[[(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N.N'$)copper(II)]-di-*µ*-thiocyanato- $\kappa^2 N:S:\kappa^2 S:N$

Shi-Shen Zhang,* Li-Jiang Chen and Yi-Feng Han

Department of Applied Chemistry, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hang Zhou 310018, People's Republic of China, and Engineering Research Center for Eco-Dyeing & Finishing of Textiles, Ministry of Education, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zhangshishen@126.com

Received 8 December 2010; accepted 12 January 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 294 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.027; wR factor = 0.076; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.0.

In the title coordination polymer, $[Cu(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]_n$, the Cu^{II} atom is situated on a twofold rotation axis and is coordinated by two N atoms from the bidentate 1,10phenanthroline ligand and four thiocyanate groups to confer a CuN₄S₂ octahedral geometry and resulting in a layer structure extending parallel to (100).

Related literature

For the first polymorph of this composition, see: Breneman & Parker (1993). For related structures, see: Kulkarni et al. (2002); Morpurgo et al. (1984).



Experimental

Crystal data

β

$[Cu(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$	V = 1
$M_r = 359.90$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo F
a = 14.0353 (13) Å	$\mu = 1$
b = 10.3081 (9) Å	T = 2
c = 10.2670 (9) Å	0.25
$\beta = 111.034 \ (2)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.633, T_{\max} = 0.755$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.076$ S = 1.081362 reflections

386.4(2)Å³ $K\alpha$ radiation .87 mm⁻ 294 K \times 0.22 \times 0.15 mm

3938 measured reflections 1362 independent reflections 1254 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.015$

97 narameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Qianjiang Talents Project of the Technology Office of Zhejiang Province (grant No. 2009R10029), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20803067) and the Zhejiang Provincial Top Academic Discipline of Applied Chemistry and Eco-Dyeing & Finishing Engineering (grant No. ZYG2010019).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG5084).

References

- Breneman, G. L. & Parker, O. J. (1993). Polvhedron, 12, 891-895.
- Bruker (1998). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Kulkarni, P., Padhye, S., Sinn, E., Anson, C. E. & Powell, A. K. (2002). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 332, 167-175.
- Morpurgo, G. O., Dessy, G. & Fares, V. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 785-791.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m398 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811001759]

A second polymorph of *catena*-poly[[(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)copper(II)]di- μ -thiocyanato- $\kappa^2 N:S; \kappa^2 S:N$]

Shi-Shen Zhang, Li-Jiang Chen and Yi-Feng Han

S1. Comment

Phenanthroline and its derivatives have been achieving rapidly increasing attention not only for their potential application as functional materials, but aslo from their intriguing variety of architectures and topologies. 1, 10-Phenanthroline, as one kind of those ligand, has usually been used to construct a great variety of structurally interesting entities, such as monomers(Breneman *et al.* 1993), ploymers(Kulkarni *et al.* 2002; Morpurgo *et al.* 1984).

The structure of the title compound (I) is illustrated in Fig. 1. the Cu^{II} atom is coordinated by two N atoms from1, 10-Phenanthroline ligand, as well as by the two N atoms and two S atoms from four thiocyanate groups to confer a distorted octahedral coordination at the metal centre. Two S atoms occupy the axial position, showing weak interaction of Cu1— S1 bond [2.952 (3)], which give rise to one-dimensional chain along (100), the crystal packing is stabilized by the intermolecular π - π stacking interaction(Fig. 2).

In contrast to the first polymorph of this composition in which the distance of Cu—S bonds are longer [3.163 (2) Å], and the S—Cu—S' angles are nearly linear [170.86 (6)°]. The S—Cu—N angles in reported complex vary from 73.8 (1) to 99.1 (1)°, which make the octahedral geometry of this compound more disordered than the title compoud.

S2. Experimental

The mixture of CuSCN (0.0244 g, 0.2 mmol), 1, 10-Phenanthroline (0.0132 g, 0.1 mmol), were placed and sealed in a 10 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor and heated to 160 °C for 72 h, then cooled down to room temperature at a rate of 5 °C/ 60 min. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained in the form of black bars in *ca* 35% yield.

The web of checkcif show one Alert level B(Hirshfeld Test Diff S1 - C7..8.52 su), we think this is the result of the sightly distorted S atom of the thiocyanate group for his weak interaction to the Cu atom.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C-H = 0.93?Å(aromatic) and Uĩso(H) = 1.2Ueq(C)



Figure 1

The coordination environment of the title compound



Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound

catena-Poly[[(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$) copper(II)]- di- μ -thiocyanato- $\kappa^2 N:S; \kappa^2 S:N$]

Crystal data

$[Cu(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$	F(000) = 724
$M_r = 359.90$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.724 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -c 2yc	Cell parameters from 1647 reflections
a = 14.0353 (13) Å	$\theta = 2.5 - 27.8^{\circ}$
b = 10.3081 (9) Å	$\mu = 1.87 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 10.2670 (9) Å	T = 294 K
$\beta = 111.034 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, black
V = 1386.4 (2) Å ³	$0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	
Data collection	
Bruker SMART	3938 measured reflections
diffractometer	1362 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1254 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.015$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.5^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -17 \rightarrow 17$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$k = -12 \rightarrow 5$
$T_{\min} = 0.633, \ T_{\max} = 0.755$	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.076$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0475P)^2 + 0.5818P]$
S = 1.08	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
1362 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
97 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Extinction coefficient: 0.0008 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	X	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cu1	0.5000	0.62211 (3)	0.2500	0.03359 (15)	
N2	0.43016 (13)	0.49319 (16)	0.32227 (17)	0.0407 (4)	
N1	0.56960 (11)	0.77181 (16)	0.19112 (15)	0.0330 (3)	
C7	0.39033 (14)	0.43783 (18)	0.38718 (19)	0.0324 (4)	
C6	0.53802 (14)	0.88917 (17)	0.21873 (19)	0.0326 (4)	
C1	0.64028 (15)	0.7684 (2)	0.1322 (2)	0.0426 (5)	
H1	0.6632	0.6884	0.1136	0.051*	
C4	0.57499 (16)	1.0064 (2)	0.1877 (2)	0.0415 (5)	
C3	0.64909 (17)	0.9994 (2)	0.1252 (2)	0.0488 (6)	
Н3	0.6761	1.0749	0.1027	0.059*	
C2	0.68083 (19)	0.8814 (2)	0.0979 (3)	0.0507 (6)	
H2	0.7296	0.8759	0.0562	0.061*	
S1	0.33300 (4)	0.36018 (5)	0.47588 (6)	0.04095 (18)	
C5	0.5358 (2)	1.12518 (19)	0.2202 (3)	0.0548 (6)	
Н5	0.5599	1.2038	0.1999	0.066*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0418 (2)	0.0268 (2)	0.0433 (2)	0.000	0.02887 (17)	0.000
N2	0.0479 (10)	0.0375 (9)	0.0432 (9)	-0.0075 (8)	0.0242 (8)	0.0008 (7)
N1	0.0348 (8)	0.0338 (8)	0.0351 (8)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0182 (6)	0.0016 (6)
C7	0.0353 (9)	0.0279 (9)	0.0358 (9)	-0.0008 (8)	0.0148 (8)	-0.0027 (7)

supporting information

C6	0.0359 (10)	0.0312 (9)	0.0302 (9)	-0.0021 (7)	0.0111 (8)	0.0015 (7)
C1	0.0424 (10)	0.0461 (12)	0.0487 (11)	-0.0017 (9)	0.0280 (9)	0.0019 (9)
C4	0.0451 (11)	0.0366 (11)	0.0391 (10)	-0.0057 (9)	0.0107 (9)	0.0052 (8)
C3	0.0515 (12)	0.0467 (13)	0.0501 (12)	-0.0150 (10)	0.0206 (10)	0.0097 (10)
C2	0.0481 (12)	0.0637 (16)	0.0498 (13)	-0.0116 (10)	0.0293 (11)	0.0039 (10)
S1	0.0430 (3)	0.0442 (3)	0.0433 (3)	-0.0070 (2)	0.0249 (2)	0.0026 (2)
C5	0.0705 (17)	0.0301 (11)	0.0589 (15)	-0.0062 (9)	0.0174 (12)	0.0025 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—N2	1.9492 (16)	C1—C2	1.397 (3)
Cu1—N2 ⁱ	1.9492 (16)	C1—H1	0.9300
Cu1—N1	2.0310 (15)	C4—C3	1.406 (3)
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	2.0310 (15)	C4—C5	1.430 (3)
N2—C7	1.162 (3)	C3—C2	1.359 (3)
N1—C1	1.335 (2)	С3—Н3	0.9300
N1—C6	1.353 (2)	С2—Н2	0.9300
C7—S1	1.6259 (19)	C5—C5 ⁱ	1.351 (6)
C6—C4	1.397 (3)	С5—Н5	0.9300
C6—C6 ⁱ	1.430 (4)		
N2—Cu1—N2 ⁱ	94.04 (10)	N1—C1—H1	119.0
N2—Cu1—N1	173.03 (6)	C2—C1—H1	119.0
N2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	92.49 (7)	C6—C4—C3	117.1 (2)
N2—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	92.49 (7)	C6—C4—C5	118.8 (2)
$N2^{i}$ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	173.03 (6)	C3—C4—C5	124.1 (2)
N1—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	81.10 (9)	C2—C3—C4	119.4 (2)
C7—N2—Cu1	164.69 (16)	С2—С3—Н3	120.3
C1—N1—C6	118.10 (17)	С4—С3—Н3	120.3
C1—N1—Cu1	129.05 (15)	C3—C2—C1	120.1 (2)
C6—N1—Cu1	112.85 (12)	С3—С2—Н2	120.0
N2—C7—S1	179.12 (18)	C1—C2—H2	120.0
N1—C6—C4	123.34 (18)	C5 ⁱ —C5—C4	121.13 (13)
N1-C6-C6 ⁱ	116.59 (10)	C5 ⁱ —C5—H5	119.4
$C4-C6-C6^{i}$	120.07 (12)	С4—С5—Н5	119.4
N1—C1—C2	121.9 (2)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+1/2.