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In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2+} .2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$, a precursor in the synthesis of organocatalysts, the bipiperidinium ion is located on a twofold rotation axis which passes through the mid-point of the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. The piperidinium ring adopts a chair conformation. In the crystal, the cations are linked together by $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ions through $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ hydrogen bonds, forming layers parallel to the $a b$ plane.

## Related literature

For the synthesis, see: Krumholz (1953); Herrmann et al. (2006). For the application of $N$-substituted enantiopure derivatives of the title compound in organocatalysis, see: Laars et al. (2008). For details of the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$-catalysed Henry reaction, see: Noole et al. (2010). For related structures, see: Sato et al. (1982); Baran et al. (1992a,b); Intini et al. (2008).

$2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$

## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2+} .2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$
$M_{r}=330.12$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
$a=11.789$ (2) A
$b=10.6403$ (18) $\AA$
$c=11.6632(17) \AA$
$V=1393.9(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=5.79 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=300 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

Data collection
Bruker SMART X2S diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.151, T_{\text {max }}=0.391$
4225 measured reflections 1225 independent reflections 1012 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.068$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.038$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093$
$S=1.08$
1224 reflections
70 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.47 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.94$ e $\AA^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 N A \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.95(4)$ | $2.36(4)$ | $3.293(3)$ | $168(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 N B \cdots \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.92(4)$ | 2.34 (4) | $3.228(3)$ | $162(3)$ |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2},-z+1$; (ii) $x,-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

Data collection: GIS (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS2700).
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## rac-2,2'-Bipiperidine-1, $1^{\prime}$ '-diium dibromide

Marju Laars, Kerti Ausmees, Marina Kudrjashova, Tõnis Kanger and Franz Werner

## S1. Comment

$N$-substituted, enantiopure derivatives of the title phase, rac-2,2'-bipiperidine-1, $1^{\prime}$-diium dibromide ( $\mathbf{I}$ ), catalyse stereoselectively both aldol reactions (Laars et al., 2008) and, in the form of their $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$-complexes, Henry (nitro-aldol) reactions (Noole et al., 2010).
Owing to the twofold axis, passing the centre of the bond $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ (Fig. 1), $Z^{\prime}=0.5$. Bond lengths and bond angles in the salt are normal. The piperidinium rings adopt chair conformation, with their least-squares planes (defined by their carbon and nitrogen atoms) twisted by about $77^{\circ}$ against each other. Parallel to the ( 001 ) plane, the structure is made up of layers with a repeating distance of $d_{001} / 2$ of cations, which are hydrogen-bound via bromide ions (Fig. 2).

## S2. Experimental

Single crystals of (I) were prepared from 2, $2^{\prime}$-bipiperidine (Krumholz, 1953) according to Herrmann et al. (2006).

## S3. Refinement

Except for the protonic H atoms H 1 NA and H 1 NB , whose positions were refined freely, H atoms were included at calculated positions $\left[d(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})=0.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.$ or $\left.0.98 \AA(\mathrm{CH})\right]$ and treated as riding on their base atoms. For all H atoms, $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values were set at $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}$ or N$)$. The $\overline{6} 810$ reflection was excluded from the refinement due to its large $\Delta\left(F^{2}\right) /$ esd value.


Figure 1
Cationic moiety in the crystal structure of the title compound together with the bromide ions bound to it through N $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ hydrogen bonds. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Orange dashed lines indicate the hydrogen bonds. Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y, 1 / 2-z$; (ii) $1 / 2-x, 1 / 2-y, 1-z$; (iii) $x,-y,-1 / 2+z$; (iv) $-1 / 2+$ $x, 1 / 2-y,-1 / 2+z ;(\mathrm{v})-x,-y, 1-z$.


## Figure 2

Packing diagram of the title compound. Orange dashed lines indicate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ hydrogen bonds. H atoms not involved in the hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity.

## rac-2,2'-Bipiperidine-1,1'-diium dibromide

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2+} \cdot 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$
$M_{r}=330.12$
Monoclinic, C2/c
Hall symbol: -C 2yc
$a=11.789$ (2) $\AA$
$b=10.6403$ (18) $\AA$
$c=11.6632$ (17) $\AA$
$\beta=107.687(5)^{\circ}$
$V=1393.9(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART X2S
diffractometer
Radiation source: XOS X-beam microfocus source
Doubly curved silicon crystal monochromator $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.151, T_{\text {max }}=0.391$
$F(000)=664$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.573 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 1621 reflections
$\theta=2.6-24.9^{\circ}$
$\mu=5.79 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=300 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, colourless
$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

4225 measured reflections
1225 independent reflections
1012 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.068$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.6^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 14$
$k=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$l=-13 \rightarrow 13$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.038$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093$
$S=1.08$
1224 reflections
70 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

> Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
> Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
> H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
> $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0 . P)^{2}+0.0285 P\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
> $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
> $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.47 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
> $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.94 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving 1.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $0.19514(3)$ | $0.07309(4)$ | $0.77393(4)$ | $0.0429(2)$ |
| N1 | $0.1066(3)$ | $0.1990(3)$ | $0.1590(3)$ | $0.0319(7)$ |
| H1NA | $0.156(3)$ | $0.271(4)$ | $0.183(3)$ | $0.038^{*}$ |
| H1NB | $0.146(3)$ | $0.132(4)$ | $0.202(4)$ | $0.038^{*}$ |
| C1 | $-0.0106(3)$ | $0.2219(3)$ | $0.1814(3)$ | $0.0287(8)$ |
| H1 | -0.0630 | 0.1510 | 0.1473 | $0.034^{*}$ |
| C2 | $-0.0661(3)$ | $0.3394(4)$ | $0.1136(3)$ | $0.0385(9)$ |
| H2A | -0.1423 | 0.3553 | 0.1265 | $0.046^{*}$ |
| H2B | -0.0148 | 0.4111 | 0.1438 | $0.046^{*}$ |
| C3 | $-0.0836(4)$ | $0.3232(5)$ | $-0.0213(4)$ | $0.0524(11)$ |
| H3A | -0.1393 | 0.2553 | -0.0526 | $0.063^{*}$ |
| H3B | -0.1169 | 0.3997 | -0.0635 | $0.063^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.0349(4)$ | $0.2940(4)$ | $-0.0433(3)$ | $0.0489(11)$ |
| H4A | 0.0213 | 0.2782 | -0.1284 | $0.059^{*}$ |
| H4B | 0.0872 | 0.3662 | -0.0208 | $0.059^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.0944(4)$ | $0.1811(4)$ | $0.0280(4)$ | $0.0442(10)$ |
| H5A | 0.0474 | 0.1064 | -0.0017 | $0.053^{*}$ |
| H5B | 0.1725 | 0.1694 | 0.0182 | $0.053^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{\beta 3}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $0.0502(3)$ | $0.0283(3)$ | $0.0495(4)$ | $-0.01100(16)$ | $0.0142(3)$ | $-0.00332(18)$ |
| N1 | $0.0415(17)$ | $0.0201(16)$ | $0.0361(19)$ | $0.0024(14)$ | $0.0146(16)$ | $0.0033(15)$ |


| C1 | $0.0344(18)$ | $0.0208(18)$ | $0.031(2)$ | $-0.0017(15)$ | $0.0101(16)$ | $-0.0031(17)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2 | $0.042(2)$ | $0.034(2)$ | $0.037(2)$ | $0.0072(18)$ | $0.0088(19)$ | $0.0033(19)$ |
| C3 | $0.069(3)$ | $0.053(3)$ | $0.029(2)$ | $0.006(2)$ | $0.005(2)$ | $0.004(2)$ |
| C4 | $0.075(3)$ | $0.044(3)$ | $0.031(2)$ | $-0.004(2)$ | $0.020(2)$ | $0.000(2)$ |
| C5 | $0.068(3)$ | $0.033(2)$ | $0.040(2)$ | $-0.006(2)$ | $0.030(2)$ | $-0.012(2)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left({ }^{A},{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| N1-C1 | 1.501 (4) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.9700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1-C5 | 1.503 (5) | C3-C4 | 1.528 (5) |
| N1-H1NB | 0.92 (4) | C3-H3A | 0.9700 |
| N1-H1NA | 0.95 (4) | C3-H3B | 0.9700 |
| C1-C2 | 1.517 (5) | C4-C5 | 1.508 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 1.542 (6) | C4—H4A | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 0.9800 | C4-H4B | 0.9700 |
| C2-C3 | 1.533 (5) | C5-H5A | 0.9700 |
| C2-H2B | 0.9700 | C5-H5B | 0.9700 |
| C1-N1-C5 | 112.9 (3) | C4-C3-C2 | 110.5 (3) |
| C1-N1-H1NB | 112 (2) | C4-C3-H3A | 109.5 |
| C5-N1-H1NB | 110 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{NA}$ | 109 (2) | C4-C3-H3B | 109.5 |
| C5-N1-H1NA | 106 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 |
| H1NB-N1-H1NA | 107 (3) | H3A-C3-H3B | 108.1 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 108.5 (3) | C5-C4-C3 | 111.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 108.3 (3) | C5-C4-H4A | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 116.7 (2) | C3-C4-H4A | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 107.7 | C5-C4-H4B | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 107.7 | C3-C4-H4B | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 107.7 | H4A-C4-H4B | 108.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 110.2 (3) | N1-C5-C4 | 110.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.6 | N1-C5-H5A | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.6 | C4-C5-H5A | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.6 | N1-C5-H5B | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.6 | C4-C5-H5B | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 108.1 | H5A-C5-H5B | 108.1 |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y,-z+1 / 2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\stackrel{A}{ },{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 N A \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.95(4)$ | $2.36(4)$ | $3.293(3)$ | $168(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 N B \cdots \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $0.92(4)$ | $2.34(4)$ | $3.228(3)$ | $162(3)$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1$; (iii) $x,-y, z-1 / 2$.

