

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# LaZn<sub>12.37 (1)</sub>, a zinc-deficient variant of the NaZn<sub>13</sub> structure type

#### Igor Oshchapovsky,<sup>a</sup>\* Volodymyr Pavlyuk,<sup>a</sup>‡ Grygoriy Dmytriv<sup>a</sup> and Fraser White<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Ivan Franko Lviv National University, Kyryla i Mefodia 6,79005 Lviv, Ukraine, and <sup>b</sup>Agilent Technologies UK Ltd, 10 Mead Road, Oxford Industrial Park, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, OX5 1QU, England Correspondence e-mail: romaniuk@ua.fm

Received 10 July 2011; accepted 18 July 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (La–Zn) = 0.0004 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.024; wR factor = 0.052; data-to-parameter ratio = 9.2.

The title compound (lanthanum dodecazinc),  $LaZn_{12.37}$  (1), is confirmed to be a nonstoichiometric (zinc-deficient) modification of the NaZn<sub>13</sub> structure type, in which one Zn atom (Wyckoff site 8b, site symmetry  $m\overline{3}$ ) has a fractional site occupancy of 0.372 (11). The other Zn atom (96*i*, *m*) and the La atom (8*a*, 432) are fully occupied. The coordination polyhedra of the Zn atoms are distorted icosahedra, whereas the La atoms are surrounded by 24 Zn atoms, forming pseudo-Frank–Kasper polyhedra. Electronic structure calculations indicate that Zn–Zn bonding is much stronger than La–Zn bonding.

#### **Related literature**

For general background to intermetallics, see: Berche *et al.* (2009); Oshchapovsky *et al.* (2010); Pavlyuk *et al.* (2009); Rolla & Iandelli (1941). For isotypic structures, see: Iandelli & Palenzona (1967); Kuz'ma *et al.* (1966); Veleckis *et al.* (1967). For electronic structure calculations with the TB-LMTO-ASA package, see: Andersen *et al.* (1986).

#### Experimental

Crystal data LaZn<sub>12.37</sub>

 $M_r = 947.00$ 

Cubic,  $Fm\overline{3}c$  a = 12.0940 (9) Å V = 1768.9 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 8

#### Data collection

Agilent Gemini Ultra diffractometer with Eos CCD detector Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis PRO*; Agilent, 2011)  $T_{min} = 0.368, T_{max} = 1.0$ 

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$  $wR(F^2) = 0.052$ S = 1.22110 reflections Mo K $\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 37.49 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 K $0.05 \times 0.03 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$ 

inorganic compounds

1543 measured reflections 110 independent reflections 108 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.122$ 

12 parameters  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.81 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -1.08 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2011); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006) and *VESTA* (Momma & Izumi, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The single crystal investigations were supported by Agilent Technologies.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5947).

#### References

- Agilent (2011). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies UK Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Andersen, K., Povlovska, Z. & Jepsen, O. (1986). Phys. Rev. B, 34, 51-53.
- Berche, A., Record, M.-C. & Rogez, J. (2009). Open Thermodynamics J. 3, 7– 16
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). Diamond. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Iandelli, A. & Palenzona, A. (1967). J. Less Common Met. 12, 333–343.
   Kuz'ma, Y. B., Kripyakevich, P. I. & Ugrin, N. S. (1966). Inorg. Mater. 2, 544–548
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 653-658.
- Oshchapovsky, I., Pavlyuk, V., Fässler, T. F. & Hlukhyy, V. (2010). Chem. Met. Alloys, 3, 177-183.
- Pavlyuk, V., Oshchapovsky, I. & Marciniak, B. (2009). J. Alloys Compd, 477, 145–148.
- Rolla, L. & Iandelli, A. (1941). Ric. Sci. 12, 1216-1226.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Veleckis, E., Schablaske, R. V., Johnson, I. & Feder, H. M. (1967). Trans. Metall. Soc. AIME, 239, 58–63.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

<sup>‡</sup> also at Institute of Chemistry and Environmental Protection, Jan Dlugosz University, Armii Krajowej 13/15 Ave, 42-200 Czestochowa, Poland.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, i43 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811028893]

### LaZn<sub>12.37 (1)</sub>, a zinc-deficient variant of the NaZn<sub>13</sub> structure type

#### Igor Oshchapovsky, Volodymyr Pavlyuk, Grygoriy Dmytriv and Fraser White

#### S1. Comment

The results presented in this paper are the part of systematic investigation of ternary rare earth–Zn–Sn systems (see Pavlyuk *et al.*, (2009) and Oshchapovsky *et al.*, (2010)). The corresponding binary La—Zn system is not completely explored yet (Berche *et al.*, 2009).

LaZn<sub>12.37 (1)</sub> is the only nonstoichiometric compound in the binary La—Zn system. It was found by Rolla *et al.* (Rolla & Iandelli,1941) for the first time. Later Kuz'ma *et al.*, (1966), Veleckis *et al.*,(1967) and Iandelli & Palenzona, (1967) determined the cell parameters of LaZn<sub>12.37 (1)</sub>. These parameters vary a little so Veleckis *et al.* supposed that LaZn<sub>12.37 (1)</sub> was nonstoichiometric. However, according to Berche *et al.* (2009) the homogeneity range was not determined accurately. Until now there were no single-crystal data indicating positions with partial occupation. In this article we will try to fill this gap. Unit cell projection of the LaZn<sub>12.37 (1)</sub> compound together with coordination polyhedra of atoms are given in Figure 1. La1 atoms are surrounded by 24 fully occupied positions of zinc atoms forming pseudo-Frank-Kasper polyhedra [LaZn<sub>24</sub>] (CN = 24). Coordination polyhedron of the Zn2 atom is distorted icosahedron [ZnLa<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>10</sub>] (CN = 12) made of two lanthanum atoms and ten or nine zinc atoms forming isosahedron [ZnZn<sub>12</sub>].

Electronic structure of LaZn<sub>12.37(1)</sub> was calculated using TB-LMTO-ASA (Andersen *et al.*, 1986) program package. According to the results of calculations by TB-LMTO-ASA package this compound has metallic bonding (see Fig.2). In this compound the formation of bonds is close to those in Zintl phases, however they have different coordination polyhedra. Lanthanum atoms donate their electrons to zinc atoms. So positive charge density can be observed around lanthanum atoms and negative charge density is around zinc atoms. This indicates that besides of metallic bonding which is dominate in this compound the weak covalent interaction also exists. ELF which indicates bond formation is mostly located at zinc atoms (see Fig. 3 a, b, c). Thus zinc - zinc bonding is much stronger than lanthanum - zinc bonding. So this compound can be treated as insertion of lanthanum atoms into framework made of zinc atoms.

#### S2. Experimental

Small irregularly shaped single-crystal of the  $LaZn_{12.37 (1)}$  binary compound was selected by mechanical fragmentation of sample with nominal composition  $LaZn_{20}Sn_2$ . Alloy was prepared by mixing stoichiometric amounts of powders of zinc, tin and LaZn ligature with subsequent pressing them into pellets. These pellets were enclosed in evacuated silica ampoules and heated in the resistance oven. After that alloys were annealed at 600°C for 30 days and quenched in cold water. No reaction between alloys and quartz containers was observed.

#### **S3. Refinement**

(type here to add refinement details)



#### Figure 1





Figure 2 Density of states plot in the  $LaZn_{12,37(1)}$  compound



#### Figure 3

The results of electromic localization function calculations. **a** - unit cell slice with x=0-1, y=0-1, z=0-0.25 and isosurface drawn at the ELF level=0.5; **b** - ELF map drawn at z=0; **c** - ELF map drawn at z=0.25.

#### lanthanum dodecazinc

Crystal data

LaZn<sub>12.37</sub>  $M_r = 947.00$ Cubic,  $Fm\overline{3}c$ Hall symbol: -F 4c 2 3 a = 12.0940 (9) Å V = 1768.9 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 8F(000) = 3426.0

#### Data collection

Agilent Gemini Ultra diffractometer with Eos CCD detector Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2011)  $T_{\min} = 0.368, T_{\max} = 1.0$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$  $wR(F^2) = 0.052$ S = 1.22110 reflections 12 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods  $D_{\rm x} = 7.113 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 811 reflections  $\theta = 3.4-28.9^{\circ}$  $\mu = 37.49 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KIrregular platelet, grey  $0.05 \times 0.03 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$ 

1543 measured reflections 110 independent reflections 108 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.122$   $\theta_{max} = 28.3^\circ, \theta_{min} = 3.4^\circ$   $h = -14 \rightarrow 16$   $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$  $l = -9 \rightarrow 16$ 

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0097P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.81$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.08$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008), Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.00058 (8)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	0.0081 (5)	
0.0000	0.17786 (6)	0.11938 (6)	0.0113 (4)	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.006 (2)	0.372 (11)
	x 0.2500 0.0000 0.0000	x         y           0.2500         0.2500           0.0000         0.17786 (6)           0.0000         0.0000	x         y         z           0.2500         0.2500         0.2500           0.0000         0.17786 (6)         0.11938 (6)           0.0000         0.0000         0.0000	xyz $U_{iso}^*/U_{eq}$ 0.25000.25000.25000.0081 (5)0.00000.17786 (6)0.11938 (6)0.0113 (4)0.00000.00000.00000.006 (2)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	<i>U</i> <sup>22</sup>	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	<i>U</i> <sup>13</sup>	U <sup>23</sup>
Lal	0.0081 (5)	0.0081 (5)	0.0081 (5)	0.000	0.000	0.000
Zn2	0.0120 (5)	0.0097 (5)	0.0123 (5)	0.000	0.000	0.0031 (3)
Zn3	0.006 (2)	0.006 (2)	0.006 (2)	0.000	0.000	0.000

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)* 

La1—Zn2 <sup>i</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn2—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.6854 (8)
La1—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn2—Zn2 <sup>i</sup>	2.6854 (8)
La1—Zn2	3.5211 (4)	Zn2—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	2.6859 (12)
La1—Zn2 <sup>iii</sup>	3.5211 (4)	$Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	2.6859 (12)
La1—Zn2 <sup>iv</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn2—Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup>	2.8875 (15)
La1—Zn2 <sup>v</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	3.5211 (4)
La1—Zn2 <sup>vi</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xviii</sup>	2.5906 (7)
La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xix</sup>	2.5906 (7)
La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xx</sup>	2.5906 (7)
La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>i</sup>	2.5906 (7)
La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.5906 (7)
La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	3.5211 (4)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	2.5906 (7)
Zn2—Zn2 <sup>xii</sup>	2.5522 (10)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxi</sup>	2.5906 (7)
Zn2—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	2.5522 (10)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	2.5906 (7)
Zn2—Zn3	2.5906 (7)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	2.5906 (7)
Zn2—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	2.6854 (8)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup>	2.5906 (7)
Zn2—Zn2 <sup>xiv</sup>	2.6854 (8)	Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiv</sup>	2.5906 (7)
Zn2i—La1—Zn2ii	44.832 (17)	$Zn2^{ii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	161.98 (3)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2	44.832 (17)	$Zn2^{i}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	108.22 (3)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2	44.832 (17)	$Zn2^{ix}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	65.03 (3)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>iii</sup>	163.67 (2)	$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	106.09 (2)

Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>iii</sup>	128.82 (2)	$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	163.91 (2)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>iii</sup>	146.29 (2)	Zn3—Zn2—Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup>	56.130 (16)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>iv</sup>	128.82 (2)	$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	57.477 (19)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>iv</sup>	146.29 (2)	$Zn2^{xiv}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	105.27 (2)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>iv</sup>	163.67 (2)	$Zn2^{ii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	105.27 (2)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>iv</sup>	44.832 (17)	$Zn2^{i}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	57.477 (19)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>v</sup>	146.29 (2)	$Zn2^{ix}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	57.484 (14)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>v</sup>	163.67 (2)	$Zn2^{xv}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	57.484 (14)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>v</sup>	128.82 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xii</sup> —Zn2—La1	68.752 (6)
$Zn2^{iii}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>v</sup>	44.832 (17)	Zn2 <sup>x</sup> —Zn2—La1	68.752 (6)
Zn2 <sup>iv</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>v</sup>	44.832 (17)	Zn3—Zn2—La1	117.115 (12)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vi</sup>	119.133 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup> —Zn2—La1	173.86 (2)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vi</sup>	106.477 (13)	Zn2 <sup>xiv</sup> —Zn2—La1	122.82 (4)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>vi</sup>	151.31 (2)	Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —Zn2—La1	67.584 (9)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vi</sup>	44.84 (2)	Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —Zn2—La1	67.584 (9)
Zn2 <sup>iv</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vi</sup>	42.496 (13)	Zn2 <sup>ix</sup> —Zn2—La1	67.580 (12)
$Zn2^{v}$ —La1— $Zn2^{vi}$	78.388 (9)	Zn2 <sup>xv</sup> —Zn2—La1	122.80 (3)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	151.31 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup> —Zn2—La1	116.657 (11)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	119.133 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xii</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	68.752 (6)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	106.477 (13)	Zn2 <sup>x</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	68.752 (6)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	42.496 (13)	Zn3—Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	117.115 (12)
Zn2 <sup>iv</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	78.388 (9)	Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	67.584 (9)
Zn2v—La1—Zn2vii	44.84 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xiv</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	67.584 (9)
Zn2 <sup>vi</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>vii</sup>	86.486 (17)	Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	122.82 (4)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	106.477 (13)	Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	173.86 (2)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	151.31 (2)	Zn2 <sup>ix</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	122.80 (3)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	119.133 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xv</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	67.580 (12)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	78.388 (9)	Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup> —Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	116.657 (11)
Zn2 <sup>iv</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	44.84 (2)	La1—Zn2—La1 <sup>xvii</sup>	118.34 (2)
Zn2 <sup>v</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	42.496 (13)	$Zn2^{xviii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xix}$	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>vi</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viiii</sup>	86.486 (17)	$Zn2^{xviii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xx}$	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>vii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>viii</sup>	86.486 (17)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xx}$	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	42.496 (13)	Zn2 <sup>xviii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	78.388 (9)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2$	117.564 (7)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	44.84 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xx</sup> —Zn3—Zn2	180.00 (3)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	151.31 (2)	$Zn2^{xviii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{i}$	180.00 (3)
Zn2 <sup>iv</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	119.133 (3)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{i}$	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>v</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	106.477 (13)	$Zn2^{xx}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{i}$	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>vi</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	146.29 (2)	$Zn2$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{i}$	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>vii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	121.00 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xviii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>viii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	77.04 (2)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{ii}$	180.00 (3)
Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	78.388 (9)	Zn2 <sup>xx</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	44.84 (2)	Zn2—Zn3—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	62.436 (7)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	42.496 (13)	Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	106.477 (13)	Zn2 <sup>xviii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	67.74 (3)
$Zn2^{iv}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	151.31 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xix</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>v</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	119.133 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xx</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{vi}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>x</sup>	121.00 (2)	Zn2—Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	62.436 (7)

	77.04 (2)		112.26 (2)
$Zn2^{\text{m}}$ La1 $Zn2^{\text{m}}$	//.04 (2)	$Zn2^{i}$ $Zn3$ $Zn2^{kii}$	112.26 (3)
$Zn2^{\text{vm}}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>*</sup>	146.29 (2)	$Zn2^{m}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{m}$	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{in}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>*</sup>	86.486 (17)	$Zn2^{AVIII}$ $Zn3$ $Zn2^{AVII}$	11/.564 (/)
$Zn2^{1}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>x1</sup>	44.84 (2)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxi}$	67.74 (3)
$Zn2^{n}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	42.496 (13)	$Zn2^{xx}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xx1}$	62.436 (7)
Zn2—La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	78.388 (9)	$Zn2$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxi}$	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>iii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	119.133 (3)	$Zn2^{i}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxi}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{iv}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	106.477 (13)	$Zn2^{ii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxi}$	112.26 (3)
Zn2 <sup>v</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	151.31 (2)	$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxi}$	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>vi</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	77.04 (2)	$Zn2^{xviii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxii}$	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>vii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	146.29 (2)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxii}$	117.564 (7)
Zn2 <sup>viii</sup> —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	121.00 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xx</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	67.74 (3)
$Zn2^{ix}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	86.486 (17)	Zn2—Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	112.26 (3)
$Zn2^{x}$ —La1—Zn2 <sup>xi</sup>	86.486 (17)	Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^x$	90.0	Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	62.436 (7)
Zn2 <sup>xii</sup> —Zn2—Zn3	162.22 (4)	Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn3$	107.78 (4)	Zn2 <sup>xxi</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xiii}$	113.70 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xviii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	112.26 (3)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xiii}$	116.33 (3)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xxiii}$	117.564 (7)
Zn3—Zn2—Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup>	58.782 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xx</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	134.159 (17)	Zn2—Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	61.64 (4)	Zn2 <sup>i</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	67.74 (3)
$Zn3$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	58.782 (3)	Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	60.0	Zn2 <sup>xiii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	180.0
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ii}$	134.159 (17)	Zn2 <sup>xxi</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ii}$	61.64 (4)	Zn2 <sup>xxii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup>	62.436 (7)
Zn3—Zn2—Zn2 <sup>ii</sup>	58.782 (3)	$Zn2^{xviii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ii}$	111.18 (2)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{xiv}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ii}$	65.05 (4)	$Zn2^{xx}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	112.26 (3)
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{i}$	113.70 (3)	Zn2—Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup>	67.74 (3)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{i}$	116.33 (3)	$Zn2^{i}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn3$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{i}$	58.782 (3)	Zn2 <sup>ii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xvi</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{i}$	106.450 (14)	$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{xiv}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{i}$	111.18 (2)	$Zn2^{xxi}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{ii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{i}$	60.0	$Zn2^{xxii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	180.0
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ix}$	61.62 (4)	$Zn2^{xxiii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xvi}$	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ix}$	134.15 (2)	Zn2 <sup>xviii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiv</sup>	62.436 (7)
Zn3—Zn2—Zn2 <sup>ix</sup>	103.88 (3)	$Zn2^{xix}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	112.26 (3)
$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ix}$	108.22 (3)	$Zn2^{xx}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{xiv}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ix}$	161.98 (3)	$Zn2$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{ii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ix}$	111.90 (3)	$Zn2^{i}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{i}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{ix}$	56.74 (3)	$Zn2^{ii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	67.74 (3)
$Zn2^{xii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	61.62 (4)	$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	62.436 (7)
$Zn2^{x}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	134.15 (2)	$Zn2^{xxi}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	180.00 (3)
Zn3— $Zn2$ — $Zn2$ <sup>xv</sup>	103.88 (3)	$Zn2^{xxii}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	62.436 (7)

### supporting information

$Zn2^{xiii}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	56.74 (3)	Zn2 <sup>xxiii</sup> —Zn3—Zn2 <sup>xiv</sup>	117.564 (7)
$Zn2^{xiv}$ — $Zn2$ — $Zn2^{xv}$	111.90 (3)	$Zn2^{xvi}$ — $Zn3$ — $Zn2^{xiv}$	117.564 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i) y, z, x; (ii) z, x, y; (iii) -z+1/2, -y+1/2, x+1/2; (iv) x+1/2, -z+1/2, -y+1/2; (v) -y+1/2, -x+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) x+1/2, y, -z+1/2; (vii) y, -z+1/2, x+1/2; (viii) -z+1/2, x+1/2, y; (ix) z, -y+1/2, x; (x) x, z, -y+1/2; (xi) -y+1/2, -x, z; (xii) -x, -z+1/2, y; (xiii) -y, z, -x; (xiv) -z, x, y; (xv) -z, -y+1/2, x; (xvi) x, y, -z; (xvii) -x, -y+1/2, -x+1/2, (xviii) -y, -z, -x; (xviii) -x, -y+1/2, -z+1/2, (xviii) -y, -z, -x; (xviii) -x, -y+1/2, -z+1/2, (xviii) -y, -z, -x; (xviii) -x, -y, -z; (xviii) -x, -y, z; (xviii) -x, -x, -y; (xviii) -x, -x, -y; (xviii) -x, -x, -y; (xviii) -x, -x, -y;