



Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2,9-Dichloro-6*H*,13*H*-5:12,7:14-dimethanodibenzo[*d*,*i*][1,3,6,8]tetraazecine

Augusto Rivera,^a* Mauricio Maldonado,^a Jaime Ríos-Motta,^a Karla Fejfarová^b and Michal Dušek^b

^aDepartamento de Química, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Ciudad Universitaria, Bogotá, Colombia, and ^bInstitute of Physics ASCR, v.v.i., Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic

Correspondence e-mail: ariverau@unal.edu.co

Received 3 August 2011; accepted 18 August 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 120 K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$; R factor = 0.036; wR factor = 0.095; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.5.

The title compound, $C_{16}H_{14}Cl_2N_4$, is isomorphous with 2,9-dimethyl-6H,13*H*-5:12,7:14-dimethanodibenzo[d,i]-[1,3,6,8]-tetraazecine [Rivera *et al.* (2009). *Acta Cryst.* E**65**, o2553] and has twofold symmetry, with two carbon atoms located on a twofold axis. Only van der Waals forces occur between molecules in the crystal. In the isomorphous compound the crystal structure is stabilized by weak $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions.

Related literature

For the isomorphous compound see: Rivera *et al.* (2009). For a related compound, see: Murray-Rust & Smith (1975). For uses of benzo-fused aminal cages, see: Schönherr *et al.* (2004); Polshettiwar & Varma (2008); Rivera *et al.* (2008).

Experimental

Crystal data

 $C_{16}H_{14}Cl_2N_4$ $M_r = 333.2$ Orthorhombic, Aba2a = 9.8633 (6) Å b = 19.0429 (14) Å c = 7.6720 (7) Å $V = 1441.00 (19) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur diffractometer with an Atlas (Gemini ultra Cu) detector

Absorption correction: analytical (CrysAlis PRO; Agilent

Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 4.06 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 K $0.48 \times 0.29 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

Technologies, 2010) $T_{\rm min} = 0.291, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.78$ 7379 measured reflections 1265 independent reflections 1174 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.044$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $wR(F^2) = 0.095$ S = 1.331265 reflections 101 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.51 \ {\rm e \ \mathring{A}^{-3}}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \ {\rm e \ \mathring{A}^{-3}}$ Absolute structure: (Flack, 1983), 569 Friedel pairs Flack parameter: -0.03 (3)

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent Technologies, 2010); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR2002 (Burla et al., 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: JANA2006 (Petříček et al., 2006); molecular graphics: DIAMOND(Brandenburg & Putz, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: JANA2006.

We acknowledge the Dirección de Investigaciones, Sede Bogotá (DIB) de la Universidad Nacional de Colombia, for financial support of this work, as well as the Institutional Research Plan No. AVOZ10100521 of the Institute of Physics and the Praemium Academiae project of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BX2366).

References

Agilent Technologies (2010). CrysAlis PRO. Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England. Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2005). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact, Bonn, Germany.

Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1103.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Murray-Rust, P. & Smith, I. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 587-589.

Petříček, V., Dušek, M. & Palatinus, L. (2006). *JANA2006*. Institute of Physics, Prague, Czech Republic.

Polshettiwar, V. & Varma, R. S. (2008). Tetrahedron Lett. 49, 7165-7167.

Rivera, A., Maldonado, M., Ríos-Motta, J., González-Salas, D. & Dacunha-Marinho, B. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* E**65**, o2553.

Rivera, A., Navarro, M. & Rios-Motta, J. (2008). Heterocycles, 75, 1651–1658.
Schönherr, T., Weber, E. & Seichter, W. (2004). J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem. 50, 187–191.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2395 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811033721]

2,9-Dichloro-6*H*,13*H*-5:12,7:14-dimethanodibenzo[*d*,*i*][1,3,6,8]tetraazecine

Augusto Rivera, Mauricio Maldonado, Jaime Ríos-Motta, Karla Fejfarová and Michal Dušek

S1. Comment

Macrocyclic oligoaza compounds such as title compound (I) have been prepared in a variety of structural modifications and studied widely (Schönherr et al., 2004). With regard to their use in the synthesis of ring-fused aminals which are of considerable interest as useful building block sand as potential drug candidates (Polshettiwar & Varma, 2008) we have used aromatic macrocyclic aminal compounds to perform one-pot synthesis of benzimidazole compounds (Rivera et al., 2008). Engaged in the development of new synthetic pathways of ring-fused aminals, we undertaken the synthesis of the macrocyclic aminal 2,9-dichloro-6H,13H-5:12,7:14-dimethane- dibenzo[d,i][1,3,6,8] tetraazecine (I), by the reaction of 4chloro-1,2-diaminobenzene with aqueous formaldehyde using a water-MeOH mixture as solvent. The title compound, shown in Fig. 1, is isomorphous with 2,9-dimethyl-6H,13H-5:12,7:14-dimethane- dibenzo[d,i][1,3,6,8]tetraazecine (Rivera et al., 2009) and has twofold symmetry, with the C1 and C3 atoms located on a twofold axis and is The bond lengths and angles of the title compound are within normal ranges and are comparable with the isomorphous compound and with the related compound 6H,13H-5:12,7:14-dimethanedibenzo[d,i][1,3,6,8]tetraazecine (Murray-Rust & Smith, 1975) .However, the C6—C7 bond [1.369 (4) Å] in (I) is slightly shorter than that observed in the isomorphous structure [1.385 (3) Å Rivera et al., 2009], suggesting some effect of halogen substitution. This fact is further supported by the C7 —C8 bond length [1.403 (2) Å], which is slightly longer than C6—C7 bond [1.369 (4) Å]. The crystal packing is stabilized by van der Waal's force. In the isomorphous compound the crystal structure is stabilized by weak $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions.

S2. Experimental

A solution of 4-chloro-1,2-diaminobenzene (142 mg, 1 mmol) in MeOH/H₂O (5 ml/15 mL) was added dropwise to an aqueous formaldehyde solution (5 ml, 37%) at 273 K. The mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h. at 273 K during which time a white solid was slowly deposited. After completion of the reaction title compound was obtained by filtration of the reaction mixture. The compound isolated was thoroughly washed with water and dried *in vacuo*. Slow evaporation of an ethyl acetate solution of the title compound yielded crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction in 48% yield. Melting point 468 K.

S3. Refinement

All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions with C–H distance 0.96 Å and refined as riding. The isotropic atomic displacement parameters of hydrogen atoms were evaluated as $1.2 \times U_{eq}$ of the parent atom.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2395 Sup-1




Figure 1

A view of the title compound with the numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

4,13-dichloro-1,8,10,17-tetraazapentacyclo[8.8.1.18,17.02,7.011,16]icosa-2,4,6,11 (16),12,14-hexaene

Crystal data

 $C_{16}H_{14}Cl_{2}N_{4}$ $M_{r} = 333.2$ Orthorhombic, Aba2Hall symbol: A 2 -2ac a = 9.8633 (6) Å b = 19.0429 (14) Å c = 7.6720 (7) Å V = 1441.00 (19) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur
diffractometer with an Atlas (Gemini ultra Cu)
detector

Radiation source: Enhance Ultra (Cu) X-ray Source

Mirror monochromator

Detector resolution: 10.3784 pixels mm⁻¹ Rotation method data acquisition using ω scans

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $wR(F^2) = 0.095$ S = 1.331265 reflections 101 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 688 $D_x = 1.536$ Mg m⁻³ Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å Cell parameters from 3667 reflections $\theta = 4.5 - 66.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.06$ mm⁻¹ T = 120 K Plate, colourless $0.48 \times 0.29 \times 0.06$ mm

Absorption correction: analytical (CrysAlis PRO; Agilent Technologies, 2010); analytical numeric absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model $T_{\min} = 0.291$, $T_{\max} = 0.78$ 7379 measured reflections 1265 independent reflections 1174 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.044$ $\theta_{\max} = 66.8^{\circ}$, $\theta_{\min} = 6.5^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -22 \rightarrow 22$

37 constraints H-atom parameters constrained Weighting scheme based on measured s.u.'s $w = 1/(\sigma^2(I) + 0.0016I^2)$ (Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.004 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.51$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.17$ e Å⁻³

 $l = -9 \rightarrow 8$

Absolute structure: (Flack, 1983), 569 Friedel pairs

Absolute structure parameter: -0.03 (3)

Special details

Refinement. The refinement was carried out against all reflections. The conventional R-factor is always based on F. The goodness of fit as well as the weighted R-factor are based on F and F^2 for refinement carried out on F and F^2 , respectively. The threshold expression is used only for calculating R-factors etc. and it is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.

The program used for refinement, Jana2006, uses the weighting scheme based on the experimental expectations, see _refine_ls_weighting_details, that does not force *S* to be one. Therefore the values of *S* are usually larger than the ones from the *SHELX* program.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å2)

	x	y	z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Cl1	0.91895 (7)	0.18505 (3)	0.16603	0.0292 (2)	
N1	0.8773 (2)	0.47904 (11)	0.4178 (4)	0.0189 (6)	
N2	1.0725 (2)	0.44428 (10)	0.1595 (4)	0.0195 (6)	
C1	1	0.5	0.5138 (5)	0.0183 (11)	
C2	1.1711 (3)	0.46992 (13)	0.2877 (4)	0.0202 (7)	
C3	1	0.5	0.0621 (5)	0.0205 (11)	
C4	0.8852(3)	0.40867 (13)	0.3509 (4)	0.0189 (7)	
C5	0.7937 (3)	0.35816 (14)	0.4088 (4)	0.0225 (7)	
C6	0.8032(3)	0.28915 (15)	0.3488 (4)	0.0231 (8)	
C7	0.9055(3)	0.27247 (14)	0.2356 (4)	0.0222 (8)	
C8	0.9965 (3)	0.32191 (13)	0.1713 (5)	0.0215 (7)	
C9	0.9845 (3)	0.39095 (14)	0.2278 (4)	0.0187 (7)	
H1a	1.022741	0.464287	0.59718	0.022*	0.5
H1b	0.977259	0.535713	0.59718	0.022*	0.5
H2a	1.212108	0.430722	0.346134	0.0243*	
H2b	1.248556	0.488821	0.228165	0.0243*	
H3a	1.060567	0.52114	-0.020563	0.0247*	0.5
H3b	0.939433	0.47886	-0.020563	0.0247*	0.5
H5	0.723842	0.370826	0.490066	0.027*	
H6	0.7394	0.254156	0.386118	0.0277*	
Н8	1.065893	0.308653	0.089972	0.0258*	

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C11	0.0363 (4)	0.0194(3)	0.0318 (4)	-0.0013 (2)	0.0042(3)	-0.0043 (3)
N1	0.0200 (11)	0.0177 (10)	0.0189 (11)	0.0005 (9)	0.0006 (10)	0.0007 (9)
N2	0.0201 (10)	0.0184 (10)	0.0199 (10)	-0.0004(8)	0.0012 (10)	-0.0016 (11)
C1	0.0231 (19)	0.0156 (16)	0.0163 (19)	0.0021 (13)	0	0
C2	0.0174 (12)	0.0197 (12)	0.0236 (13)	0.0025 (10)	0.0004 (11)	-0.0009 (11)
C3	0.027(2)	0.0215 (19)	0.014(2)	0.0001 (14)	0	0
C4	0.0182 (13)	0.0213 (13)	0.0172 (12)	0.0006 (10)	-0.0040(11)	0.0019 (11)
C5	0.0222 (14)	0.0245 (12)	0.0207 (13)	-0.0006 (10)	-0.0001 (13)	0.0012 (11)
C6	0.0217 (13)	0.0238 (13)	0.0237 (13)	-0.0031 (10)	-0.0054 (12)	0.0017 (12)

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2395

supporting information

C7	0.0267 (15)	0.0169 (12)	0.0230 (13)	0.0021 (10)	-0.0073 (11)	-0.0016 (11)	
C8	0.0214 (12)	0.0247 (12)	0.0186 (13)	0.0040 (9)	-0.0028 (15)	-0.0016 (12)	
C9	0.0165 (12)	0.0216 (12)	0.0182 (12)	0.0004 (10)	-0.0026 (10)	0.0004 (10)	
Геоте	etric parameters (A	<i>å °</i>)					
	,		(2)	~~ ~~~			
N1—C		1.472 (3)		C3—H3b	0.96		
N1—C2 ⁱ		1.473 (4)		C4—C5	1.392 (4)		
N1—C4		1.437 (3)		C4—C9	1.402 (4)		
N2—C2		1.467 (4)		C5—C6	1.395 (4)		
N2—C3		1.482 (3)		C5—H5	0.96		
N2—C9		1.435 (3)		C6—C7	1.369 (4)		
C1—H1a		0.96		C6—H6	0.96		
C1—H		0.96		C7—C8	1.391 (4)		
C2—H2a		0.96		C8—C9	1.389 (4)		
C2—H		0.96		C8—H8	0.96		
C3—F	I3a	0.96					
C1—N	V1—C2 ⁱ	115.28 (19)		N2—C3—H3a ⁱ	109.4707		
C1—N	√11—C4	112.78	112.78 (19)		109.4708		
C2 ⁱ —N	N1—C4	113.0 (2)		N2 ⁱ —C3—H3b	109.4717		
C2—N	V2—C3	114.81 (18)		H3a—C3—H3b	97.2479		
C2—N2—C9		113.1 (3)		N1—C4—C5	119.7 (3)		
C3—N2—C9		113.53 (18)		N1—C4—C9	120.2 (2)		
N1—C1—N1 ⁱ		119.9 (3)		C5—C4—C9	120.1 (2)		
N1—C1—H1a		109.4716		C4—C5—C6	120.1 (3)		
N1—C1—H1a ⁱ		109.4709		C4—C5—H5	119.9335		
N1 ⁱ —C1—H1a		109.4	709	C6—C5—H5	119.9344		
N1 ⁱ —C1—H1b		109.4	716	C5—C6—C7	-C6C7 118.5 (3		
H1a—C1—H1b		96.48	16	C5—C6—H6	120.7546		
N1 ⁱ —C2—N2 117.3		.3 (2) C7—C6—H6		120.755			
N1 ⁱ —C2—H2a 109		109.4	713	C6—C7—C8		122.9 (3)	
N1 ⁱ —C2—H2b		109.4		C7—C8—C9 118.4 (3		* *	
N2—C2—H2a		109.4	71	C7—C8—H8		20.82	
N2—C2—H2b 109.		9.4709 C9—C8—H8		120.8182			
H2a—C2—H2b		100.28	895	N2—C9—C4	119.9 (2)		
	C3—N2 ⁱ		119.4 (3)		120.3 (3)		
N2—C3—H3a		109.4717		C4—C9—C8	119.8 (3)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y+1, z.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2395