

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Bis[ $\mu$ -2-(pyridin-2-yl)ethanolato]-bis[bromidocopper(II)]

M. Mobin Shaikh,<sup>a\*</sup> Saloni Mathur<sup>a</sup> and Md. Jamal Uddin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>National Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Facility, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Natural Sciences, Coppin State University, 2500 West North Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21216, USA

Correspondence e-mail: xray@chem.iitb.ac.in


Received 25 September 2011; accepted 20 October 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 150$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.031;  $wR$  factor = 0.087; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9.

The title compound,  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Br}_2(\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{NO})_2]$ , was synthesized by reaction of  $\text{CuBr}_2$  with 2-(pyridin-2-yl)ethanol (hep-H) in methanol. The asymmetric unit consists of one hep ligand and a  $\text{CuBr}$  unit. The  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ion is thereby coordinated by the N atom and the deprotonated hydroxy O atom in a distorted square-planar geometry that is completed by another O atom. The latter acts as bridging ligand towards the second, symmetry-equivalent, Cu atom, thus generating a centrosymmetric dimeric unit, with the inversion centre halfway between the Cu atoms. These units are linked *via*  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Br}$  and  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds, leading to the formation of a hydrogen-bonded one-dimensional-polymeric chain along  $a$ .

### Related literature

For similar dinuclear copper complexes see Lah *et al.* (2006); Shaikh *et al.* (2010).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

 $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Br}_2(\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{NO})_2]$  $M_r = 531.19$ Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$  $a = 4.2066$  (2) Å $b = 8.4338$  (3) Å $c = 11.5113$  (6) Å $\alpha = 91.122$  (4)° $\beta = 90.195$  (3)° $\gamma = 97.033$  (1)° $V = 405.24$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 1$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation $\mu = 7.56$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 150$  K

0.28 × 0.21 × 0.17 mm

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur-S diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis RED*; Oxford Diffraction, 2009) $T_{\min} = 0.226$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.360$ 

3453 measured reflections

1388 independent reflections

1298 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.026$ 

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$  $wR(F^2) = 0.087$  $S = 1.05$ 

1388 reflections

100 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.84$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.74$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	1.910 (3)	Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	3.0294 (9)
Cu1—O1	1.943 (3)		
Cu1—N1	1.977 (3)		
Cu1—Br1	2.3670 (6)		
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1	76.32 (12)	Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —O1—Cu1	103.68 (12)

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 2$ .

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C1—H1 <sup>i</sup> ⋯Br1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	3.00	3.716 (4)	134
C6—H6A <sup>i</sup> ⋯O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.99	2.64	3.545 (5)	153

Symmetry codes: (ii)  $x + 1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $x - 1, y, z$ .

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); cell refinement: *CrysAlis CCD*; data reduction: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *pubCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Financial support received from the Department of Science and Technology (New Delhi, India) is gratefully acknowledged. We also gratefully acknowledge Professor Pradeep Mathur, National Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Facility, IIT Bombay, and Professor Goutam K. Lahiri, Chemistry Department, IIT Bombay, for their kind support for this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FI2115).

### References

- Brandenburg, K. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Lah, N., Leban, I. & Clérac, R. (2006). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 4888–4894.
- Oxford Diffraction (2009). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- Shaikh, M. M., Srivastava, A. K., Mathur, P. & Lahiri, G. K. (2010). *Dalton Trans.* **39**, 1447–1449.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2011). E67, m1612 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811043637]

**Bis[ $\mu$ -2-(pyridin-2-yl)ethanolato]bis[bromidocopper(II)]**

**M. Mobin Shaikh, Saloni Mathur and Md. Jamal Uddin**

**S1. Comment**

Dinuclear Cu(II) complexes have often been used as models to study the magnetic-exchange interactions and as building blocks for the construction of polynuclear compounds with interesting magnetic properties (Lah *et al.* 2006). The alkoxo bridged dinuclear Cu(II) complexes consists of two copper atoms bridged by two alkoxido oxygen atoms from alkoxy-pyridine-type ligands have drawn considerable interest in solid state transformations (Shaikh *et al.* 2010).

The dimeric title compound (Fig.1) features a dinuclear complex with site symmetry  $-1$ . The Cu (II) ions are linked via the two  $\mu^2$ -alcoholic oxygen atoms, yielding a four-membered planar ring  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_2$ . One pyridine nitrogen atom of hep and the bromide ligands complete the coordination environment, yielding a distorted square-planar geometry. The Cu ions are separated by 3.0294 (9) Å. The  $\mu$ -O bridge is slightly asymmetric with Cu—O distances of 1.910 (3) and 1.943 (3) Å and Cu—O—Cu angle of 103.68°. (Table 1). These bond-distances and angles are in agreement with the reported dimeric molecules by Lah *et al.* (2006) and Shaikh *et al.* (2010).


Moreover, each dimeric unit is further extended through C—H $\cdots$ Br and C—H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bondings (Table 2) with the neighboring dimeric unit forming a one-dimensional-polymeric chains along *a*-axis (Fig. 2).

**S2. Experimental**


A solution of hep-H (123 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 30 ml methanol was added to a 10 ml methanolic solution of  $\text{CuBr}_2$  (223 mg, 1.0 mmol) and the resultant solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solution was then passed through filter paper (Whatman filter paper, 70 mm) in order to remove any unreacted materials. The filtrate was allowed to stand at room temperature for crystallization. On slow evaporation light blue single crystals of  $[\text{Cu}(\mu\text{-hep})\text{Br}]_2$  were obtained after 10 days. M.P.:488–490 K. Yield: 82%. Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{Br}_2\text{Cu}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$  (Mr = 531.19): C,31.66; H, 3.04; N, 5.27. Found: C,31.30; H,3.11; N, 5.67.

**S3. Refinement**

The hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically and treated as riding on their parent atoms, with C—H 0.95 (pyridyl), C—H 0.99 (methylene) Å [ $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ ].

**Figure 1**

View of the molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Symmetry-related moiety generated by  $i: -x, -y, -z$ .

**Figure 2**

A tilted perspective view of hydrogen bonded one-dimensional-polymeric chain along  $a$ -axis. Hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.

**Bis[ $\mu$ -2-(pyridin-2-yl)ethanolato]bis[bromidocopper(II)]***Crystal data*[Cu<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>NO)<sub>2</sub>] $M_r = 531.19$ Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$ 

Hall symbol: -P 1

 $a = 4.2066$  (2) Å $b = 8.4338$  (3) Å $c = 11.5113$  (6) Å $\alpha = 91.122$  (4)° $\beta = 90.195$  (3)° $\gamma = 97.033$  (1)° $V = 405.24$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 1$  $F(000) = 258$  $D_x = 2.177$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 3586 reflections

 $\theta = 3.5$ – $30.0$ ° $\mu = 7.56$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 150$  K

Block, blue

 $0.28 \times 0.21 \times 0.17$  mm*Data collection*

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur-S

diffractometer

Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source

Graphite monochromator

Detector resolution: 15.9948 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> $\omega/q$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(CrysAlis PRO-RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2009)

 $T_{\min} = 0.226$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.360$ 

3453 measured reflections

1388 independent reflections

1298 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.026$  $\theta_{\max} = 25.0$ °,  $\theta_{\min} = 3.5$ ° $h = -5 \rightarrow 4$  $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ *Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$  $wR(F^2) = 0.087$  $S = 1.05$ 

1388 reflections

100 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0549P)^2 + 0.5089P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.84$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.74$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>*Special details*

**Geometry.** All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.91306 (11)	0.96968 (5)	0.87303 (4)	0.01736 (18)
Br1	0.60658 (9)	0.76112 (4)	0.76674 (3)	0.02191 (18)
O1	1.0535 (7)	1.1399 (3)	0.9846 (2)	0.0219 (6)

N1	1.0035 (8)	1.1189 (4)	0.7436 (3)	0.0174 (7)
C1	1.1498 (10)	1.0720 (5)	0.6475 (4)	0.0220 (9)
H1	1.1873	0.9635	0.6396	0.026*
C2	1.2475 (10)	1.1759 (5)	0.5598 (4)	0.0254 (9)
H2	1.3495	1.1398	0.4925	0.030*
C3	1.1933 (10)	1.3341 (5)	0.5722 (4)	0.0266 (10)
H3	1.2575	1.4082	0.5131	0.032*
C4	1.0444 (10)	1.3833 (5)	0.6716 (4)	0.0226 (9)
H4	1.0082	1.4917	0.6817	0.027*
C5	0.9486 (9)	1.2720 (5)	0.7564 (3)	0.0186 (8)
C6	0.7862 (9)	1.3159 (5)	0.8657 (4)	0.0197 (8)
H6A	0.5811	1.2456	0.8729	0.024*
H6B	0.7365	1.4273	0.8605	0.024*
C7	0.9894 (10)	1.3012 (4)	0.9745 (3)	0.0195 (8)
H7A	1.1934	1.3728	0.9691	0.023*
H7B	0.8737	1.3335	1.0441	0.023*

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0243 (3)	0.0158 (3)	0.0116 (3)	0.0008 (2)	0.0009 (2)	0.0016 (2)
Br1	0.0253 (3)	0.0211 (3)	0.0183 (3)	-0.00140 (17)	-0.00193 (18)	0.00043 (17)
O1	0.0347 (17)	0.0151 (14)	0.0161 (15)	0.0033 (12)	-0.0002 (12)	0.0032 (11)
N1	0.0201 (17)	0.0206 (17)	0.0117 (17)	0.0031 (13)	-0.0019 (13)	0.0001 (13)
C1	0.025 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.0060 (16)	0.0004 (17)	0.0012 (17)
C2	0.025 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.0017 (18)	0.0049 (17)	-0.0003 (18)
C3	0.028 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.022 (2)	-0.0008 (18)	0.0017 (18)	0.0086 (18)
C4	0.027 (2)	0.019 (2)	0.021 (2)	-0.0008 (16)	-0.0011 (17)	0.0030 (16)
C5	0.0153 (19)	0.023 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.0019 (15)	-0.0031 (15)	0.0012 (16)
C6	0.020 (2)	0.0186 (19)	0.021 (2)	0.0043 (16)	0.0010 (16)	0.0007 (16)
C7	0.023 (2)	0.0172 (19)	0.018 (2)	0.0034 (15)	0.0048 (16)	0.0000 (16)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

Cu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	1.910 (3)	C2—H2	0.9500
Cu1—O1	1.943 (3)	C3—C4	1.387 (6)
Cu1—N1	1.977 (3)	C3—H3	0.9500
Cu1—Br1	2.3670 (6)	C4—C5	1.394 (6)
Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	3.0294 (9)	C4—H4	0.9500
O1—C7	1.426 (4)	C5—C6	1.496 (6)
O1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	1.910 (3)	C6—C7	1.529 (6)
N1—C5	1.344 (5)	C6—H6A	0.9900
N1—C1	1.344 (5)	C6—H6B	0.9900
C1—C2	1.380 (6)	C7—H7A	0.9900
C1—H1	0.9500	C7—H7B	0.9900
C2—C3	1.385 (6)		
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1	76.32 (12)	C2—C3—C4	119.4 (4)

O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	162.34 (14)	C2—C3—H3	120.3
O1—Cu1—N1	90.44 (12)	C4—C3—H3	120.3
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—Br1	98.08 (8)	C3—C4—C5	119.3 (4)
O1—Cu1—Br1	163.87 (9)	C3—C4—H4	120.4
N1—Cu1—Br1	97.69 (10)	C5—C4—H4	120.4
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	38.54 (8)	N1—C5—C4	120.8 (4)
O1—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	37.78 (8)	N1—C5—C6	116.9 (3)
N1—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	127.28 (10)	C4—C5—C6	122.4 (4)
Br1—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	134.80 (3)	C5—C6—C7	112.9 (3)
C7—O1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	125.6 (2)	C5—C6—H6A	109.0
C7—O1—Cu1	124.4 (2)	C7—C6—H6A	109.0
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —O1—Cu1	103.68 (12)	C5—C6—H6B	109.0
C5—N1—C1	119.7 (3)	C7—C6—H6B	109.0
C5—N1—Cu1	119.9 (3)	H6A—C6—H6B	107.8
C1—N1—Cu1	120.0 (3)	O1—C7—C6	109.4 (3)
N1—C1—C2	122.3 (4)	O1—C7—H7A	109.8
N1—C1—H1	118.9	C6—C7—H7A	109.8
C2—C1—H1	118.9	O1—C7—H7B	109.8
C1—C2—C3	118.5 (4)	C6—C7—H7B	109.8
C1—C2—H2	120.8	H7A—C7—H7B	108.2
C3—C2—H2	120.8		
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1—C7	-153.1 (4)	Cu1—N1—C1—C2	-173.3 (3)
N1—Cu1—O1—C7	38.7 (3)	N1—C1—C2—C3	0.3 (6)
Br1—Cu1—O1—C7	-81.8 (4)	C1—C2—C3—C4	0.2 (6)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1—C7	-153.1 (4)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.8 (6)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	0.0	C1—N1—C5—C4	-0.6 (6)
N1—Cu1—O1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	-168.20 (15)	Cu1—N1—C5—C4	172.6 (3)
Br1—Cu1—O1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	71.3 (3)	C1—N1—C5—C6	-179.7 (4)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C5	-77.1 (5)	Cu1—N1—C5—C6	-6.5 (5)
O1—Cu1—N1—C5	-36.2 (3)	C3—C4—C5—N1	1.1 (6)
Br1—Cu1—N1—C5	129.8 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-179.9 (4)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C5	-45.2 (3)	N1—C5—C6—C7	65.4 (5)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C1	96.1 (5)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-113.7 (4)
O1—Cu1—N1—C1	137.0 (3)	Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —O1—C7—C6	-145.3 (3)
Br1—Cu1—N1—C1	-56.9 (3)	Cu1—O1—C7—C6	1.9 (4)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C1	128.0 (3)	C5—C6—C7—O1	-60.6 (4)
C5—N1—C1—C2	-0.1 (6)		

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x+2, -y+2, -z+2$ .

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C1—H1 $\cdots$ Br1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	3.00	3.716 (4)	134
C6—H6A $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.99	2.64	3.545 (5)	153

Symmetry codes: (ii)  $x+1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $x-1, y, z$ .