

# 5,5'-[1,4-Phenylenebis(methylene-sulfanediyl)]bis[1,3,4-thiadiazol-2(3H)-one] dimethyl sulfoxide disolvate

Sung Kwon Kang,\* Nam Sook Cho and Siyoung Jang

Department of Chemistry, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764,  
Republic of Korea  
Correspondence e-mail: skkang@cnu.ac.kr


Received 9 February 2012; accepted 13 February 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 296\text{ K}$ ; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002\text{ \AA}$ ; disorder in solvent or counterion;  $R$  factor = 0.034;  $wR$  factor = 0.100; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.8.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2\text{S}_4 \cdot 2\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{OS}$ , contains one half of the *p*-xylene molecule and one dimethyl sulfoxide molecule. The *p*-xylene molecule is located about a crystallographic inversion centre. In the molecule, the thiadiazole and benzene rings are almost perpendicular to one another, with a dihedral angle of  $88.95(6)^\circ$ . In the crystal, an  $\text{N}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$  hydrogen bond is observed between the two components. The dimethyl sulfoxide molecule is disordered over two orientations with an occupancy ratio of 0.879 (1):0.121 (1).

## Related literature

For general background to polydentate macrocyclic compounds, see: Dietrich *et al.* (1993); Vogle (1991). For the synthesis and reactivity of thiadiazole derivatives, see: Cho *et al.* (1998, 1999, 2001).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2\text{S}_4 \cdot 2\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{OS}$	$\gamma = 91.15(3)^\circ$
$M_r = 526.74$	$V = 610.0(2)\text{ \AA}^3$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$Z = 1$
$a = 7.5723(15)\text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$b = 8.3258(17)\text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.59\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 10.346(2)\text{ \AA}$	$T = 296\text{ K}$
$\alpha = 109.70(4)^\circ$	$0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.12\text{ mm}$
$\beta = 95.74(3)^\circ$	

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	21342 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2002)	3039 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.895$ , $T_{\max} = 0.923$	2124 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.083$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.100$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.16\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
$S = 1.02$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.22\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
3039 reflections	
162 parameters	
3 restraints	

**Table 1**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ ).

$D-\text{H} \cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H} \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-\text{H} \cdots A$
N3—H3 · · · O13	0.91 (2)	1.83 (2)	2.742 (3)	175.6 (19)

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS5071).

## References

- Bruker (2002). *SADABS, SAINT* and *SMART*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cho, N. S., Hong, S. I., Park, Y. S. & Suh, I. H. (2001). *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **22**, 1280–1282.
- Cho, N. S., Park, C. K., Kim, H. S., Choi, E. S. & Kang, S. K. (1998). *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **19**, 103–106.
- Cho, N. S., Park, C. K., Kim, H. S., Oh, J. G., Suh, I. H. & Oh, M. R. (1999). *Heterocycles*, **51**, 2739–2746.
- Dietrich, B., Viout, P. & Lehn, J. M. (1993). In *Macrocyclic Chemistry: Aspects of Organic and Inorganic Supramolecular Chemistry*. Weinheim: VCH.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Vogle, F. (1991). In *Supramolecular Chemistry*. Chichester: Wiley.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2012). E68, o781 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812006289]

## 5,5'-[1,4-Phenylenebis(methylenesulfanediyl)]bis[1,3,4-thiadiazol-2(3*H*)-one] dimethyl sulfoxide disolvate

Sung Kwon Kang, Nam Sook Cho and Siyoung Jang

### S1. Comment

Polydentate macrocyclic compounds containing heterocyclic rings as subunits possess a variety of interesting properties. Heterocyclic units contain oxygen, nitrogen or sulfur, which provide the coordination sites allowing the heterocycles to form complexes with metals and act as effective hosts for different kinds of molecules (Dietrich *et al.*, 1993; Vogle, 1991). We studied on macrocyclic compounds composed of two 5-mercaptop-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadizol-2-ones and two *p*-xylenes (Cho *et al.*, 1998, 1999, 2001). The NH of the title compound,  $\alpha,\alpha'$ -bis[(4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1,3,4-thiazol-2-ylthio]-*p*-xylene (I) is acidic enough to be alkylated in triethylamine with alkyl halide. The two NH functional groups can afford ring formation through an [2 + 2] alkylation.


The 5-oxo-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl unit is planar, with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.004 Å from the corresponding squares plane defined by the seven constituent atoms. There is a crystallographic inversion center located in the middle of benzene ring. The bond distance of N4—C5 [1.281 (2) Å] is shorter than that of C2—N3 [1.337 (2) Å], which is consistent with double bond character. The thiadiazole and benzene rings are almost perpendicular to each other, with a dihedral angle 88.95 (6)°. The crystal structure is stabilized by the intermolecular N—H···O hydrogen bonds between the *p*-xylene compound and the dimethyl sulfoxide molecules (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

### S2. Experimental


To a solution of  $\alpha,\alpha'$ -bis[(5-ethoxy-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-ylthio]-*p*-xylene (Cho *et al.*, 1999, 2001) (2.56 g, 6 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml), was added HBr (47%, 3.5 ml, 30 mmol), in one portion. The mixture was heated under reflux until the above *p*-xylene compound was disappeared on TLC. The solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to leave a solid residue, which was washed with water. The crude product was recrystallized from EtOH:THF = 3:1. Colorless crystals of (I) were obtained from its DMSO solution by slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature. Yield 92%, m.p. 208–210°C;  $R_f$ : 0.63 (n-hexane: EA = 5: 5); IR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3120 (NH), 3062, 2950 (CH), 1656 (C=O), 1500, 1200; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, p.p.m.): 12.95(2*H*, s, NH), 7.35(4*H*, s, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.32(4*H*, s, SCH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, p.p.m.): 171.4 (C=O), 147.8 (C—S), 135.9, 129.2, (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 36.2 (SCH<sub>2</sub>).

### S3. Refinement

Atom H3 of the NH group was located in a difference Fourier map and refined freely [refined distance: N—H = 0.91 (2) Å]. Other H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å, and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{carrier C})$  for aromatic and methylene, and  $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{carrier C})$  for methyl H atoms. DMSO molecule is disordered with an occupancy ratio of 0.879 (1):0.121 (1). For the minor component of the disordered DMSO molecule, bond length restraints of S=O = 1.49 (2) Å and S—C = 1.80 (2) Å were employed.

**Figure 1**

Molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability ellipsoids. Intermolecular N—H···O hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines. Only major components of the disordered di-methyl sulfoxide molecule are shown.

**Figure 2**

Part of the crystal structure of the title compound, showing molecules linked by intermolecular N—H···O hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).

### 5-({4-[(5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)methyl]phenyl}methyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-one dimethyl sulfoxide disolvate

#### Crystal data



$M_r = 526.74$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: -P 1

$a = 7.5723 (15)$  Å

$b = 8.3258 (17)$  Å

$c = 10.346 (2)$  Å

$\alpha = 109.70 (4)^\circ$

$\beta = 95.74 (3)^\circ$

$\gamma = 91.15 (3)^\circ$

$V = 610.0 (2)$  Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 1$

$F(000) = 274$

$D_x = 1.434$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 5678 reflections

$\theta = 2.6\text{--}25.2^\circ$  $\mu = 0.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  $T = 296 \text{ K}$ 

Block, colourless

 $0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ *Data collection*

Bruker APEXII CCD

diffractometer

Graphite monochromator

 $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scansAbsorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2002) $T_{\min} = 0.895$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.923$ 

21342 measured reflections

3039 independent reflections

2124 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.083$  $\theta_{\max} = 28.3^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.1^\circ$  $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$  $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ *Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$  $wR(F^2) = 0.100$  $S = 1.02$ 

3039 reflections

162 parameters

3 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sitesH atoms treated by a mixture of independent  
and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0506P)^2]$   
where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.16 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.22 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$ *Special details*

**Geometry.** All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
S1	0.76776 (6)	0.37928 (6)	0.42321 (5)	0.07516 (18)	
C2	0.7758 (2)	0.3744 (2)	0.59474 (19)	0.0668 (4)	
N3	0.62432 (19)	0.2937 (2)	0.60130 (16)	0.0688 (4)	
H3	0.589 (3)	0.282 (3)	0.679 (2)	0.090 (7)*	
N4	0.50062 (17)	0.23800 (17)	0.48623 (14)	0.0611 (3)	
C5	0.55873 (19)	0.27358 (19)	0.38640 (17)	0.0549 (4)	
O6	0.89774 (16)	0.43193 (18)	0.68683 (15)	0.0946 (5)	
S7	0.44211 (6)	0.22149 (6)	0.22259 (5)	0.06970 (16)	
C8	0.2421 (2)	0.1210 (2)	0.25284 (16)	0.0598 (4)	
H8A	0.2729	0.0257	0.283	0.072*	
H8B	0.1848	0.2027	0.3249	0.072*	
C9	0.11735 (19)	0.05870 (19)	0.12143 (15)	0.0516 (3)	
C10	-0.0134 (2)	0.1597 (2)	0.09349 (16)	0.0610 (4)	

H10	-0.0228	0.2687	0.1563	0.073*	
C11	0.1302 (2)	-0.1018 (2)	0.02588 (16)	0.0603 (4)	
H11	0.2181	-0.1713	0.0421	0.072*	
S12	0.32235 (7)	0.21416 (7)	0.85488 (5)	0.0693 (2)	0.8786 (14)
O13	0.5091 (2)	0.2414 (3)	0.8277 (2)	0.0771 (6)	0.8786 (14)
C14	0.1951 (5)	0.1372 (4)	0.6870 (4)	0.0807 (9)	0.8786 (14)
H14A	0.2232	0.0218	0.639	0.121*	0.8786 (14)
H14B	0.2238	0.2084	0.635	0.121*	0.8786 (14)
H14C	0.0706	0.1402	0.6975	0.121*	0.8786 (14)
C15	0.2328 (6)	0.4158 (6)	0.9137 (4)	0.0964 (12)	0.8786 (14)
H15A	0.2907	0.4803	1.0039	0.145*	0.8786 (14)
H15B	0.1078	0.4017	0.9183	0.145*	0.8786 (14)
H15C	0.2511	0.4757	0.8509	0.145*	0.8786 (14)
S12A	0.3220 (5)	0.3284 (5)	0.7826 (4)	0.0717 (14)	0.1214 (14)
O13A	0.5108 (17)	0.3036 (17)	0.8234 (16)	0.057 (4)*	0.1214 (14)
C14A	0.209 (5)	0.126 (3)	0.729 (3)	0.093 (11)*	0.1214 (14)
H14D	0.29	0.0394	0.6939	0.14*	0.1214 (14)
H14E	0.1146	0.1194	0.6573	0.14*	0.1214 (14)
H14F	0.1595	0.1102	0.8058	0.14*	0.1214 (14)
C15A	0.250 (5)	0.410 (5)	0.952 (2)	0.087 (10)*	0.1214 (14)
H15D	0.3501	0.4642	1.0183	0.13*	0.1214 (14)
H15E	0.1998	0.3176	0.9746	0.13*	0.1214 (14)
H15F	0.1623	0.4918	0.953	0.13*	0.1214 (14)

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
S1	0.0514 (3)	0.0787 (3)	0.0898 (4)	-0.0150 (2)	-0.0004 (2)	0.0249 (2)
C2	0.0489 (9)	0.0614 (10)	0.0737 (11)	-0.0010 (7)	-0.0108 (8)	0.0069 (8)
N3	0.0541 (8)	0.0853 (10)	0.0560 (8)	-0.0119 (7)	-0.0137 (7)	0.0162 (7)
N4	0.0495 (7)	0.0731 (9)	0.0527 (7)	-0.0090 (6)	-0.0092 (6)	0.0159 (6)
C5	0.0436 (8)	0.0553 (8)	0.0607 (9)	-0.0018 (6)	-0.0025 (7)	0.0156 (7)
O6	0.0600 (8)	0.0931 (10)	0.0981 (10)	-0.0088 (7)	-0.0304 (7)	0.0017 (8)
S7	0.0588 (3)	0.0926 (3)	0.0573 (3)	-0.0129 (2)	-0.00617 (19)	0.0296 (2)
C8	0.0500 (9)	0.0749 (10)	0.0494 (8)	-0.0074 (7)	-0.0062 (7)	0.0184 (7)
C9	0.0442 (8)	0.0595 (9)	0.0460 (8)	-0.0028 (6)	-0.0026 (6)	0.0139 (7)
C10	0.0565 (9)	0.0579 (9)	0.0562 (9)	0.0060 (7)	-0.0038 (7)	0.0062 (7)
C11	0.0512 (9)	0.0627 (10)	0.0600 (9)	0.0109 (7)	-0.0066 (7)	0.0149 (7)
S12	0.0666 (3)	0.0796 (4)	0.0724 (4)	0.0135 (2)	0.0099 (2)	0.0388 (3)
O13	0.0570 (9)	0.1063 (17)	0.0751 (10)	0.0135 (10)	0.0017 (7)	0.0413 (12)
C14	0.0665 (16)	0.0774 (18)	0.085 (2)	-0.0001 (11)	-0.0046 (15)	0.0146 (15)
C15	0.0810 (19)	0.098 (2)	0.088 (2)	0.0245 (14)	-0.0073 (19)	0.007 (2)
S12A	0.064 (2)	0.092 (3)	0.070 (2)	0.0013 (18)	-0.0017 (17)	0.045 (2)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $\text{^\circ}$ )*

S1—C5	1.7385 (16)	S12—O13	1.4964 (19)
S1—C2	1.784 (2)	S12—C15	1.757 (4)

C2—O6	1.220 (2)	S12—C14	1.802 (4)
C2—N3	1.337 (2)	C14—H14A	0.96
N3—N4	1.3772 (18)	C14—H14B	0.96
N3—H3	0.91 (2)	C14—H14C	0.96
N4—C5	1.281 (2)	C15—H15A	0.96
C5—S7	1.7406 (17)	C15—H15B	0.96
S7—C8	1.8202 (17)	C15—H15C	0.96
C8—C9	1.502 (2)	S12A—O13A	1.488 (13)
C8—H8A	0.97	S12A—C14A	1.758 (18)
C8—H8B	0.97	S12A—C15A	1.796 (18)
C9—C11	1.380 (2)	C14A—H14D	0.96
C9—C10	1.382 (2)	C14A—H14E	0.96
C10—C11 <sup>i</sup>	1.379 (2)	C14A—H14F	0.96
C10—H10	0.93	C15A—H15D	0.96
C11—C10 <sup>i</sup>	1.379 (2)	C15A—H15E	0.96
C11—H11	0.93	C15A—H15F	0.96
C5—S1—C2	88.75 (8)	C15—S12—C14	97.27 (19)
O6—C2—N3	127.16 (19)	S12—C14—H14A	109.5
O6—C2—S1	126.18 (16)	S12—C14—H14B	109.5
N3—C2—S1	106.66 (12)	H14A—C14—H14B	109.5
C2—N3—N4	119.02 (16)	S12—C14—H14C	109.5
C2—N3—H3	125.3 (14)	H14A—C14—H14C	109.5
N4—N3—H3	115.3 (14)	H14B—C14—H14C	109.5
C5—N4—N3	109.97 (14)	S12—C15—H15A	109.5
N4—C5—S1	115.59 (12)	S12—C15—H15B	109.5
N4—C5—S7	123.92 (12)	H15A—C15—H15B	109.5
S1—C5—S7	120.48 (10)	S12—C15—H15C	109.5
C5—S7—C8	98.72 (8)	H15A—C15—H15C	109.5
C9—C8—S7	109.26 (12)	H15B—C15—H15C	109.5
C9—C8—H8A	109.8	O13A—S12A—C14A	106.7 (13)
S7—C8—H8A	109.8	O13A—S12A—C15A	98.7 (13)
C9—C8—H8B	109.8	C14A—S12A—C15A	97.6 (18)
S7—C8—H8B	109.8	S12A—C14A—H14D	109.5
H8A—C8—H8B	108.3	S12A—C14A—H14E	109.5
C11—C9—C10	118.39 (13)	H14D—C14A—H14E	109.5
C11—C9—C8	120.59 (14)	S12A—C14A—H14F	109.5
C10—C9—C8	121.02 (14)	H14D—C14A—H14F	109.5
C11 <sup>i</sup> —C10—C9	121.18 (14)	H14E—C14A—H14F	109.5
C11 <sup>i</sup> —C10—H10	119.4	S12A—C15A—H15D	109.5
C9—C10—H10	119.4	S12A—C15A—H15E	109.5
C10 <sup>i</sup> —C11—C9	120.43 (14)	H15D—C15A—H15E	109.5
C10 <sup>i</sup> —C11—H11	119.8	S12A—C15A—H15F	109.5
C9—C11—H11	119.8	H15D—C15A—H15F	109.5
O13—S12—C15	107.4 (2)	H15E—C15A—H15F	109.5
O13—S12—C14	105.18 (15)		

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x, -y, -z$ .

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

$D\text{---H}\cdots A$	$D\text{---H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{---H}\cdots A$
N3—H3···O13	0.91 (2)	1.83 (2)	2.742 (3)	175.6 (19)