

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

{4,4',6,6'-Tetrabromo-2,2'-[(2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl)bis(nitrilomethanylylidene)]diphenolato}copper(II)

Hadi Kargar,^{a*} Reza Kia,^b Mahbubeh Haghshenas^a and Muhammad Nawaz Tahir^{c*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Payame Noor University, PO Box 19395-3697 Tehran, I. R. of IRAN, ^bX-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran, and, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran, and ^dDepartment of Physics, University of Sargodha, Punjab, Pakistan
Correspondence e-mail: h.kargar@pnu.ac.ir, dmntahir_uos@yahoo.com


Received 6 January 2012; accepted 2 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 291$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.005$ Å; R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.078; data-to-parameter ratio = 19.8.

In the title compound, $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{16}\text{Br}_4\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)]$, the Cu^{II} ion and the substituted C atom of the diamine fragment lie on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis. The geometry around the Cu^{II} ion is distorted square-planar, which is defined by the N_2O_2 donor atoms of the coordinated Schiff base ligand. The dihedral angle between the symmetry-related substituted benzene rings is $25.33(14)^\circ$. The crystal structure is stabilized by an intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ interaction [centroid-centroid distance = $3.8891(18)$ Å].

Related literature

For standard bond lengths, see: Allen *et al.* (1987). For applications of Schiff base ligands in coordination chemistry, see: Granovski *et al.* (1993); Blower (1998). For a related structure, see: Kargar *et al.* (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{16}\text{Br}_4\text{N}_2\text{O}_2)]$
 $M_r = 687.52$
 Orthorhombic, *Pbcn*
 $a = 16.3594(8)$ Å
 $b = 15.5106(8)$ Å
 $c = 8.4686(4)$ Å

$V = 2148.86(18)$ Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 8.47$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 291$ K
 $0.21 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD
 area-detector diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2005)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.269$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.551$

9913 measured reflections
 2537 independent reflections
 1625 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.078$
 $S = 1.00$
 2537 reflections

128 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.58$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.59$ e Å⁻³

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

HK and MH thank PNU for financial support. MNT thanks GC University of Sargodha, Pakistan, for the research facility.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BV2199).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
 Blower, P. J. (1998). *Transition Met. Chem.*, **23**, 109–112.
 Bruker (2005). *APEX2*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 Granovski, A. D., Nivorozhkin, A. L. & Minkin, V. I. (1993). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **126**, 1–69.
 Kargar, H., Fun, H.-K. & Kia, R. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, m1541–m1542.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
 Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m392 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536812009397]

{4,4',6,6'-Tetrabromo-2,2'-[(2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl)bis(nitrilomethanylylidene)]diphenolato}copper(II)

Hadi Kargar, Reza Kia, Mahbubeh Haghshenas and Muhammad Nawaz Tahir

S1. Comment

Schiff base complexes are one of the most important stereochemical models in transition metal coordination chemistry, with the ease of preparation and structural variations (Granovski *et al.*, 1993; Blower (1998)).


The asymmetric unit of the title compound, Fig. 1, comprises half of a potentially tetradentate Schiff base ligand. The bond lengths (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are within the normal ranges. The Cu1 and C9 atoms lie on crystallographic two-fold rotation axis. The crystal structure is further stabilized by the intermolecular π - π interaction, (Fig. 2), [$Cg1 \cdots Cg1^i = 3.8891(18)\text{\AA}$; (i) 1 - X, 1 - Y, -Z; Cg1 is the centroid of Cu(1)/O(1)/C(1)/C(6)/C(7)/N(1) ring].

S2. Experimental


The title compound was synthesized by adding 3,5-dibromo-salicylaldehyde-2,2-dimethyl-1,3- propanediamine (2 mmol) to a solution of $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2.1 mmol) in ethanol (30 ml). The mixture was refluxed with stirring for half an hour. The resultant solution was filtered. Green single crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray structure determination were recrystallized from ethanol by slow evaporation of the solvents at room temperature over several days.

S3. Refinement

All hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically with C—H = 0.93-0.97 Å and included in a riding model and treated as riding atoms: C—H = 0.93, 0.96 and 0.97 Å for CH, CH₃ and CH₂ H-atoms, respectively, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = k \times U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$, where $k = 1.5$ for CH₃ H-atoms, and $k = 1.2$ for all other H-atoms..

**Figure 1**

The ORTEP plot of the title compound, showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering.

**Figure 2**

The packing diagram of the title compound viewed down the *b*-axis showing linking of molecules through the intermolecular π - π interactions (dashed lines). The hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

[4,4',6,6'-Tetrabromo-2,2'-[(2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diyl)bis(nitrilomethanylylidene)]diphenolato}copper(II)*Crystal data*[Cu(C₁₉H₁₆Br₄N₂O₂)] $M_r = 687.52$ Orthorhombic, *Pbcn*

Hall symbol: -P 2n 2ab

 $a = 16.3594$ (8) Å $b = 15.5106$ (8) Å $c = 8.4686$ (4) Å $V = 2148.86$ (18) Å³ $Z = 4$ $F(000) = 1316$ $D_x = 2.125$ Mg m⁻³Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 1535 reflections

 $\theta = 2.5$ – 27.5° $\mu = 8.47$ mm⁻¹ $T = 291$ K

Block, green

 $0.21 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$ mm*Data collection*Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area-detector
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

 φ and ω scansAbsorption correction: multi-scan
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.269$, $T_{\max} = 0.551$

9913 measured reflections

2537 independent reflections

1625 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$ $\theta_{\max} = 28.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 1.8^\circ$ $h = -21 \rightarrow 18$ $k = -20 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -10 \rightarrow 7$ *Refinement*Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.078$ $S = 1.00$

2537 reflections

128 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0202P)^2 + 1.7635P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.58$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.59$ e Å⁻³*Special details*

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	0.33450 (3)	0.74146 (3)	0.18143 (5)	0.05098 (16)
Br2	0.10313 (3)	0.54552 (4)	-0.15415 (7)	0.0733 (2)
Cu1	0.5000	0.48415 (4)	0.2500	0.03410 (18)
N1	0.4444 (2)	0.39656 (19)	0.1258 (3)	0.0332 (7)
O1	0.42323 (17)	0.57112 (16)	0.1958 (3)	0.0395 (7)

C1	0.3547 (2)	0.5618 (2)	0.1192 (4)	0.0341 (9)
C2	0.3023 (2)	0.6335 (2)	0.0965 (4)	0.0341 (9)
C3	0.2301 (2)	0.6285 (3)	0.0162 (4)	0.0406 (10)
H3	0.1982	0.6776	0.0030	0.049*
C4	0.2042 (2)	0.5504 (3)	-0.0458 (5)	0.0417 (10)
C5	0.2520 (3)	0.4788 (3)	-0.0299 (4)	0.0425 (10)
H5	0.2348	0.4267	-0.0730	0.051*
C6	0.3270 (2)	0.4831 (2)	0.0511 (4)	0.0342 (9)
C7	0.3757 (3)	0.4058 (2)	0.0534 (4)	0.0368 (10)
H7	0.3560	0.3585	-0.0022	0.044*
C8	0.4911 (3)	0.3163 (2)	0.1017 (4)	0.0404 (10)
H8A	0.5453	0.3310	0.0635	0.048*
H8B	0.4644	0.2825	0.0204	0.048*
C9	0.5000	0.2606 (3)	0.2500	0.0390 (14)
C10	0.5749 (3)	0.2038 (3)	0.2270 (5)	0.0574 (13)
H10A	0.6231	0.2391	0.2253	0.086*
H10B	0.5703	0.1733	0.1288	0.086*
H10C	0.5786	0.1633	0.3123	0.086*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.0710 (3)	0.0317 (2)	0.0503 (3)	0.0104 (2)	-0.0024 (2)	-0.0063 (2)
Br2	0.0527 (3)	0.0645 (4)	0.1027 (4)	0.0055 (3)	-0.0303 (3)	0.0001 (3)
Cu1	0.0387 (4)	0.0275 (3)	0.0361 (4)	0.000	-0.0012 (3)	0.000
N1	0.041 (2)	0.0256 (17)	0.0334 (17)	0.0063 (16)	0.0008 (15)	-0.0012 (14)
O1	0.0416 (17)	0.0295 (14)	0.0475 (16)	0.0014 (13)	-0.0063 (13)	-0.0027 (13)
C1	0.042 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.030 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.0088 (18)	0.0010 (18)
C2	0.043 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.0058 (19)	0.0072 (18)	-0.0018 (18)
C3	0.044 (3)	0.039 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.0078 (19)	0.002 (2)
C4	0.035 (2)	0.046 (3)	0.045 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.0028 (19)	0.001 (2)
C5	0.045 (3)	0.039 (2)	0.043 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C6	0.041 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.005 (2)	0.0022 (17)	0.0001 (18)
C7	0.049 (3)	0.029 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.0022 (19)	-0.0042 (18)
C8	0.052 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.038 (2)	0.012 (2)	-0.0001 (19)	-0.0050 (19)
C9	0.047 (4)	0.026 (3)	0.044 (3)	0.000	-0.007 (3)	0.000
C10	0.064 (3)	0.046 (3)	0.062 (3)	0.017 (3)	-0.013 (2)	0.005 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C2	1.897 (4)	C4—C5	1.364 (6)
Br2—C4	1.893 (4)	C5—C6	1.407 (5)
Cu1—O1 ⁱ	1.899 (3)	C5—H5	0.9300
Cu1—O1	1.899 (3)	C6—C7	1.440 (5)
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	1.944 (3)	C7—H7	0.9300
Cu1—N1	1.944 (3)	C8—C9	1.531 (5)
N1—C7	1.288 (5)	C8—H8A	0.9700
N1—C8	1.475 (5)	C8—H8B	0.9700

O1—C1	1.303 (5)	C9—C10 ⁱ	1.522 (5)
C1—C2	1.418 (5)	C9—C10	1.522 (5)
C1—C6	1.425 (5)	C9—C8 ⁱ	1.531 (5)
C2—C3	1.364 (5)	C10—H10A	0.9600
C3—C4	1.387 (6)	C10—H10B	0.9600
C3—H3	0.9300	C10—H10C	0.9600
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—O1	89.50 (16)	C5—C6—C1	121.0 (4)
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	93.23 (12)	C5—C6—C7	116.8 (4)
O1—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	159.45 (11)	C1—C6—C7	122.1 (4)
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	159.45 (11)	N1—C7—C6	125.6 (4)
O1—Cu1—N1	93.23 (12)	N1—C7—H7	117.2
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	91.32 (18)	C6—C7—H7	117.2
C7—N1—C8	118.7 (3)	N1—C8—C9	114.3 (3)
C7—N1—Cu1	126.0 (3)	N1—C8—H8A	108.7
C8—N1—Cu1	115.0 (3)	C9—C8—H8A	108.7
C1—O1—Cu1	127.6 (2)	N1—C8—H8B	108.7
O1—C1—C2	120.1 (4)	C9—C8—H8B	108.7
O1—C1—C6	124.8 (4)	H8A—C8—H8B	107.6
C2—C1—C6	115.1 (4)	C10 ⁱ —C9—C10	109.2 (5)
C3—C2—C1	123.2 (4)	C10 ⁱ —C9—C8 ⁱ	107.3 (2)
C3—C2—Br1	118.7 (3)	C10—C9—C8 ⁱ	110.8 (2)
C1—C2—Br1	118.2 (3)	C10 ⁱ —C9—C8	110.8 (2)
C2—C3—C4	120.2 (4)	C10—C9—C8	107.3 (2)
C2—C3—H3	119.9	C8 ⁱ —C9—C8	111.3 (4)
C4—C3—H3	119.9	C9—C10—H10A	109.5
C5—C4—C3	119.9 (4)	C9—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C4—Br2	121.1 (3)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C3—C4—Br2	119.0 (3)	C9—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C5—C6	120.6 (4)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C5—H5	119.7	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
C6—C5—H5	119.7		
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C7	-102.7 (4)	C2—C3—C4—Br2	179.2 (3)
O1—Cu1—N1—C7	-5.5 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	1.0 (6)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C7	154.5 (4)	Br2—C4—C5—C6	-179.9 (3)
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C8	70.7 (4)	C4—C5—C6—C1	0.3 (6)
O1—Cu1—N1—C8	167.9 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-176.2 (4)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C8	-32.2 (2)	O1—C1—C6—C5	180.0 (3)
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—O1—C1	167.4 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.9 (5)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—O1—C1	-94.7 (4)	O1—C1—C6—C7	-3.7 (6)
N1—Cu1—O1—C1	7.8 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C7	175.4 (3)
Cu1—O1—C1—C2	176.5 (2)	C8—N1—C7—C6	-173.3 (3)
Cu1—O1—C1—C6	-4.5 (5)	Cu1—N1—C7—C6	-0.1 (6)
O1—C1—C2—C3	179.4 (3)	C5—C6—C7—N1	-177.5 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.2 (5)	C1—C6—C7—N1	6.1 (6)
O1—C1—C2—Br1	-0.4 (5)	C7—N1—C8—C9	-115.0 (4)
C6—C1—C2—Br1	-179.5 (3)	Cu1—N1—C8—C9	71.1 (4)

C1—C2—C3—C4	1.0 (6)	N1—C8—C9—C10 ⁱ	83.8 (4)
Br1—C2—C3—C4	-179.2 (3)	N1—C8—C9—C10	-157.0 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.7 (6)	N1—C8—C9—C8 ⁱ	-35.6 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1, y, -z+1/2$.