

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Diaquatetrabromidotin(IV) trihydrate

Fei Ye and Hans Reuter*

Institut für Chemie, Anorganische Chemie II, Universität Osnabrück, Barbarastrasse 7, 49069 Osnabrück, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: hreuter@uni-osnabrueck.de

Received 16 August 2012; accepted 21 August 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 100$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{Sn}-\text{O}) = 0.001$ Å; R factor = 0.013; wR factor = 0.028; data-to-parameter ratio = 31.7.

The title compound, $[\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, forms large colourless crystals in originally sealed samples of tin tetrabromide. It constitutes the first structurally characterized hydrate of SnBr_4 and is isostructural with the corresponding hydrate of SnCl_4 . It is composed of Sn^{IV} atoms octahedrally coordinated by four Br atoms and two *cis*-related water molecules. The octahedra exhibit site symmetry 2. They are arranged into columns along [001] *via* medium-strong O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds involving the two lattice water molecules (one situated on a twofold rotation axis) while the chains are interconnected *via* longer O—H \cdots Br hydrogen bonds, forming a three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For background information on hydrates of SnBr_4 , see: Pfeiffer (1914); Pickering (1895); Rayman & Preis (1884). For the isostructural compound $[\text{SnCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, see: Shihada *et al.* (2004). For structural information on other hydrates of SnCl_4 , see: Semenov *et al.* (2005); Genge *et al.* (2004).

Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$V = 1104.04$ (8) Å ³
$M_r = 528.41$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 12.6484$ (5) Å	$\mu = 16.77$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 10.1647$ (4) Å	$T = 100$ K
$c = 8.8683$ (4) Å	$0.18 \times 0.15 \times 0.12$ mm
$\beta = 104.462$ (1)°	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	16999 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009)	1618 independent reflections
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.148$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.234$	1523 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.033$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.013$	51 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.028$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.10$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.46$ e Å ⁻³
1618 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.41$ e Å ⁻³

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Sn1—O1	2.1378 (12)	Sn1—Br1	2.5439 (2)
Sn1—Br2	2.5420 (2)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O3—H3 \cdots Br2 ⁱ	0.96	2.67	3.5239 (16)	148
O2—H22 \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.92	3.6365 (14)	133
O2—H22 \cdots Br1 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.58	3.4004 (13)	144
O2—H21 \cdots O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96	1.82	2.7595 (19)	167
O1—H12 \cdots O2 ^{iv}	0.96	1.82	2.7182 (18)	155
O1—H11 \cdots O2	0.96	1.80	2.7318 (18)	164

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (iii) $x - 1, y, z$; (iv) $x, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006) and Mercury (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FJ2592).

References

- Brandenburg, K. (2006). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Genge, A. R. J., Levason, W., Patel, R., Reid, G. & Webster, M. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **C60**, i47–i49.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Pfeiffer, P. (1914). *Z. Anorg. Chem.* **87**, 235–247.
- Pickering, S. U. (1895). *Phil. Mag.* **39**, 510–528.
- Rayman, B. & Preis, K. (1884). *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **223**, 323–334.
- Semenov, S. N., Maltsev, E. Y., Timokhin, I. G., Drozdov, A. A. & Troyanov, S. I. (2005). *Mendeleev Commun.* pp. 205–207.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Shihada, A.-F., Abushamleh, A. S. & Weller, F. (2004). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **630**, 841–847.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, i70 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812036434]

Diaquatetrabromidotin(IV) trihydrate

Fei Ye and Hans Reuter

S1. Comment

In contrast to tin(IV) chloride, SnCl_4 , from which the crystal structures of hydrates, $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, with $n = 2$ (Semenov *et al.*, 2005), $n = 3$ (Genge *et al.*, 2004; Semenov *et al.*, 2005), $n = 4$ (Genge *et al.*, 2004; Shihada *et al.*, 2004), and $n = 5$ (Shihada *et al.*, 2004) are known in detail, no structural data are available concerning the hydrates of tin(IV) bromide, $\text{SnBr}_4 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, although there are some hints in the older literature that a tetrahydrate, $n = 4$, (Rayman & Preis, 1884; Pfeiffer, 1914) and octahydrate, $n = 8$, (Pickering, 1895) exist. By chance, we found near the neck of some several years old, originally sealed bottles of tin(IV) bromide some large, colorless, transparent single-crystal in shape totally different from those of SnBr_4 at the bottom of the flask. From single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments we were able to confirm their composition to correspond to a so far unknown pentahydrate of tin tetrabromide, $\text{SnBr}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, **1**.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound consists of half a $\{\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\}$ octahedron with tin at a twofold rotation axes, and one and a half water molecule in general position, respectively, on a twofold rotation axes, too. The $\{\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\}$ octahedron (Fig. 1) belongs to point group C_2 with the two coordinated water molecules in a *cis* position, a structural feature that is common to all the hydrates of SnCl_4 , mentioned above. Since the title compound is isostructural to the corresponding pentahydrate of SnCl_4 , **2**, the influence of the larger bromine atom on bond angles and bond lengths can be studied in more detail. Both different tin-bromine distances are very similar and differ by only 0.0002 (2) Å, the mean value being 2.5435 (2) Å. The corresponding tin-chlorine distances were found to be 2.3824 (6) and 2.3826 (7) Å. The tin-oxygen distance of 2.135 (1) Å in **1** is somewhat longer than in **2** [2.115 (2) Å] but in the range [2.106 (5), tetrahydrate, T = 130 K, - 2.168 (2), dihydrate, T = 150 K] found in the other hydrates of SnCl_4 . Influence of the larger bromine atom on the structural parameters of the coordination polyhedron becomes more evident with respect to bond angles. Thus, the angle between the two water molecules [78.26 (7)°, Hal = Br] is slightly reduced [79.9 (1)°, Hal = Cl]. This is also valid for the angle between the bromine atoms being *trans* to each other [170.32 (1)°, **1**, 171.47 (3)°, **2**]. In contrast, the angle [99.84 (1)°] between the bromine atoms *trans* to the water molecules is significantly enlarged in comparison to the corresponding angle between the chlorine atoms [93.54 (2)°] of **2**.

Between the $\{\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\}$ octahedrons and the uncoordinated water molecules an extended array of hydrogen bonds of different strengths (Tab. 2) exist. The strongest ones are those of type O—H \cdots O between the three different water molecules giving rise to a tube-like arrangement (Fig. 2) of these components. Within these *tubes*, the coordinated water molecule 1 acts as acceptor for one and donor for two hydrogen bonds. From the two other uncoordinated water molecules, molecule 2 serves as donor for one and acceptor for two hydrogen bonds, whereas molecule 3 is only acceptor for two hydrogen bonds. In addition, the hydrogen atoms of these two molecules not involved into O—H \cdots O hydrogen bridges form weaker (longer) hydrogen bonds to bromine atoms. The shortest one of these O—H \cdots Br hydrogen bridges interconnects neighboring *tubes* (Fig. 3) in the third dimension.

S2. Experimental

A suitable single-crystal was selected under a polarization microscope and mounted on a 50 μm MicroMesh MiTeGen Micromount™ using FROMBLIN Y perfluoropolyether (LVAC 16/6, Aldrich).

S3. Refinement

All H atoms of the water molecules were found in a difference Fourier synthesis. Their positions were first refined with respect to a O—H distance of 0.96 Å and an H—O—H angle of 104.95°. Thereafter their positions were constrained to ride on their parent oxygen atoms, with three common isotropic displacement parameter for the three different water molecules.






Figure 1

Ball-and-stick model of the {SnBr₄(H₂O)₂} octahedron showing the atom labeling scheme used and the orientation of the twofold (C₂) rotation axes. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Symmetry code: ¹⁾ -x, y, 1/2 - z.

**Figure 2**

Schematic representation of the O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds (red broken lines) between the $\{\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\}$ octahedrons and the uncoordinated water molecules. (a) View perpendicular to, (b) into their tubelike arrangement. Symmetry codes: ¹⁾ $-1 + x, y, z$; ²⁾ $1 - x, y, 3/2 - z$.

**Figure 3**

Tube packing as capped sticks model with the shortest O—H \cdots Br hydrogen bridges (green broken lines) interconnecting neighboring *tubes* in the third dimension.

Diaquatetrabromidotin(IV) trihydrate

Crystal data

$[\text{SnBr}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$M_r = 528.41$

Monoclinic, $C2/c$

Hall symbol: $-C 2yc$

$a = 12.6484 (5) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 10.1647 (4) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 8.8683 (4) \text{ \AA}$

$\beta = 104.462 (1)^\circ$

$V = 1104.04 (8) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 960$

$D_x = 3.179 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 8700 reflections

$\theta = 2.6\text{--}30.0^\circ$

$\mu = 16.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 100 \text{ K}$

Block, colourless

$0.18 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	16999 measured reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1618 independent reflections
Graphite monochromator	1523 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.033$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^\circ$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.148$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.234$	$h = -17 \rightarrow 16$
	$k = -12 \rightarrow 14$
	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.013$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0089P)^2 + 1.3814P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.028$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.10$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
1618 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.46 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
51 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kFc[1 + 0.001x\text{Fc}^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction coefficient: 0.00035 (4)
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Sn1	0.0000	0.259481 (16)	0.2500	0.00769 (5)
Br1	0.143871 (16)	0.280603 (17)	0.09578 (2)	0.01115 (5)
Br2	0.117864 (16)	0.098459 (17)	0.43896 (2)	0.01257 (5)
O1	0.07836 (11)	0.42263 (12)	0.38481 (14)	0.0123 (3)
H11	0.1025	0.4275	0.4963	0.048 (6)*
H12	0.1063	0.5008	0.3480	0.048 (6)*
O2	0.13528 (11)	0.39033 (13)	0.70061 (15)	0.0134 (3)
H21	0.0954	0.3121	0.7118	0.038 (5)*
H22	0.2103	0.3632	0.7231	0.038 (5)*
O3	1.0000	0.19063 (19)	0.7500	0.0170 (4)
H3	0.9756	0.1311	0.6643	0.056 (10)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Sn1	0.00819 (9)	0.00818 (8)	0.00695 (8)	0.000	0.00236 (6)	0.000
Br1	0.01097 (10)	0.01404 (9)	0.00975 (8)	0.00156 (7)	0.00504 (7)	0.00131 (6)
Br2	0.01312 (10)	0.01227 (9)	0.01191 (9)	0.00208 (6)	0.00235 (7)	0.00356 (6)
O1	0.0151 (7)	0.0124 (6)	0.0087 (6)	-0.0042 (5)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0013 (5)
O2	0.0131 (7)	0.0143 (6)	0.0126 (6)	0.0033 (5)	0.0027 (5)	0.0009 (5)
O3	0.0250 (12)	0.0150 (9)	0.0102 (9)	0.000	0.0026 (8)	0.000

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Sn1—O1 ⁱ	2.1378 (12)	O1—H11	0.9600
Sn1—O1	2.1378 (12)	O1—H12	0.9600
Sn1—Br2 ⁱ	2.5420 (2)	O2—H21	0.9600
Sn1—Br2	2.5420 (2)	O2—H22	0.9600
Sn1—Br1	2.5439 (2)	O3—H3	0.9602
Sn1—Br1 ⁱ	2.5439 (2)		
O1 ⁱ —Sn1—O1	78.26 (7)	O1 ⁱ —Sn1—Br1 ⁱ	86.63 (4)
O1 ⁱ —Sn1—Br2 ⁱ	90.98 (4)	O1—Sn1—Br1 ⁱ	85.86 (4)
O1—Sn1—Br2 ⁱ	169.06 (4)	Br2 ⁱ —Sn1—Br1 ⁱ	91.620 (7)
O1 ⁱ —Sn1—Br2	169.06 (4)	Br2—Sn1—Br1 ⁱ	94.613 (7)
O1—Sn1—Br2	90.98 (4)	Br1—Sn1—Br1 ⁱ	170.317 (10)
Br2 ⁱ —Sn1—Br2	99.837 (10)	Sn1—O1—H11	126.8
O1 ⁱ —Sn1—Br1	85.86 (4)	Sn1—O1—H12	127.6
O1—Sn1—Br1	86.63 (4)	H11—O1—H12	105.0
Br2 ⁱ —Sn1—Br1	94.612 (7)	H21—O2—H22	105.0
Br2—Sn1—Br1	91.621 (7)		

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y, -z+1/2$.Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O3—H3 \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.67	3.5239 (16)	148
O2—H22 \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96	2.92	3.6365 (14)	133
O2—H22 \cdots Br1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96	2.58	3.4004 (13)	144
O2—H21 \cdots O3 ^{iv}	0.96	1.82	2.7595 (19)	167
O1—H12 \cdots O2 ^v	0.96	1.82	2.7182 (18)	155
O1—H11 \cdots O2	0.96	1.80	2.7318 (18)	164

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$; (iii) $-x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1$; (iv) $x-1, y, z$; (v) $x, -y+1, z-1/2$.